

Habitat Regulations Assessment

Appendix 2 – Information to Support AA Report - Screening Matrices

Applicant: East Anglia TWO Limited

Document Reference: 5.3.2

SPR Reference: EA2-DWF-ENV-REP-IBR-000932_002 Rev03

Pursuant to APFP Regulation: 5(2)(g)

Date: 15th December 2020 Revision: Version 03

Author: Royal HaskoningDHV

Applicable to East Anglia TWO







	Revision Summary						
Rev	Date	Prepared by	Checked by	Approved by			
001	08/10/2019	Paolo Pizzolla	Julia Bolton	Helen Walker			
002	02/11/2020	Paolo Pizzolla	Lesley Jamieson	Rich Morris			
003	15/12/2020	Paolo Pizzolla	Lesley Jamieson	Rich Morris			

Descrip	Description of Revisions						
Rev Page Section Description							
001	n/a	n/a	Final for Submission				
002	n/a	n/a	Final for Submission following Exa Qs 1.2.3				
003	n/a	n/a	Update to address Deadline 2 comments from Natural England				







Glossary of Acronyms

AA	Appropriate Assessment
APP	Application Document
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EMF	Electromagnetic Field
HRA	Habitats Regulations Assessment
LSE	Likely Significant Effect
SAC	Special Area of Conservation
SCI	Site of Community Importance
SPA	Special Protection Area

East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarm 5.3.2 Information to Support AA – Screening Matrices





Glossary of Terminology

Applicant	East Anglia TWO Limited.
East Anglia TWO project	The proposed project consisting of up to 75 wind turbines, up to four offshore electrical platforms, up to one construction, operation and maintenance platform, inter-array cables, platform link cables, up to one operational meteorological mast, up to two offshore export cables, fibre optic cables, landfall infrastructure, onshore cables and ducts, onshore substation, and National Grid infrastructure.
East Anglia TWO windfarm site	The offshore area within which wind turbines and offshore platforms will be located.
European site	Sites designated for nature conservation under the Habitats Directive and Birds Directive, as defined in regulation 8 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 and regulation 18 of the Conservation of Offshore Marine Habitats and Species Regulations 2017. These include candidate Special Areas of Conservation, Sites of Community Importance, Special Areas of Conservation and Special Protection Areas.
Evidence Plan Process (EPP)	A voluntary consultation process with specialist stakeholders to agree the approach to the EIA and the information required to support HRA.
Natura 2000 site	A site forming part of the network of sites made up of Special Areas of Conservation and Special Protection Areas designated respectively under the Habitats Directive and Birds Directive.
Offshore cable corridor	This is the area which will contain the offshore export cables between offshore electrical platforms and landfall.
Offshore development area	The East Anglia TWO windfarm site and offshore cable corridor (up to Mean High Water Springs).
Offshore export cables	The cables which would bring electricity from the offshore electrical platforms to the landfall. These cables will include fibre optic cables.
Offshore infrastructure	All of the offshore infrastructure including wind turbines, platforms, and cables.







Table of Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Screening Matrices	2
2.1	Effects Considered	2
2.2	Sites Considered	3
2.3	Assessment of potential effects	16





1 Introduction

- 1. This document provides the Information to Support Appropriate Assessment Report screening matrices for the proposed East Anglia TWO project. The matrices summarise information provided in *Appendix 1* (Offshore Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) Screening) of the Information to Support Appropriate Assessment (AA) report (document reference 5.3).
- 2. For Deadline 1 this document has been revised to address the following:
 - A request from the Examining Authority to include the following sites that were missing from the Screening Matrices submitted with the application (Question 1.2.3):
 - Plymouth Sound and Estuaries SAC
 - Severn Estuary SAC
 - River Avon SAC
 - Havet Omkring Nordre Ronner (SAC or SPA not stated)
 - Knudegrund SAC
 - Lønstrup Rødgrund SAC
 - Sandbanker ud for Thorsminde SAC
 - Sandbanker ud for Thyboron SAC
 - Thyboron Stenvolde SCI
 - Littoral Cauchois SAC
 - Panache De La Gironde Et Plateau Rocheux De Cordouan (Système Pertuis Gironde) SAC
 - Pertuis Charentais SAC
 - Mühlenberger Loch SPA
 - SchleswigHolsteinisches Elbastuar und angrenzende Flachen SAC
 - Unterelbe SCI
 - Saxa Water SPA and Ramsar site (this was a typographic error in the HRA screening report (APP-044) and should be 'Hamford Water SPA and Ramsar site'. Hamford Water SPA and Ramsar site was excluded from the screening matrices in error and has now been included)
 - Schleswig-Holsteinisches Elbastuar und angrenzende Flachen SAC







 Provide a revised version of the matrices with footnotes updated to include document and paragraph number references to the application materials where the evidence can be found (Question 1.2.4).

2 Screening Matrices

2.1 Effects Considered

3. Potential effects upon the European sites which are considered within the submitted Information to Support AA report are provided in *Table 2.1*.

Table 2.1 Potential Effects consider in Screening

Site Type	Feature(s)	Potential Effects
Special Protection Area (SPA)	All birds	Offshore effects:
Special Area of Conservation/Site of Community Importance (SAC/SCI)	Benthic habitats	 Permanent loss (and introduction of new sediment where applicable) Temporary physical disturbance Smothering due to increased suspended sediment Re- mobilisation of contaminated sediments Underwater noise and vibration Cumulative/ In-combination Underwater noise
	mammals	 Underwater noise Vessel Interactions Indirect effects on prey Changes to water quality Cumulative/ In-combination







Site Type	Feature(s)	Potential Effects
	Fish	 Permanent loss (and introduction of new sediment where applicable)
		Temporary physical disturbance
		Smothering due to increased suspended sediment
		Re- mobilisation of contaminated sediments
		Underwater noise and vibration
		Electromagnetic fields (EMF)
		Cumulative/ In-combination
	Terrestrial	Direct effects (e.g. habitat loss)
		Impacts on ex-situ habitats functionally connected to the SAC
		 Impacts from alterations to geology and land contamination
		Disturbance due to groundwater / hydrology changes
		Impacts from noise disturbance
		Impacts from changing air quality
		Impacts from light disturbance
		Impacts from visual disturbance

2.2 Sites Considered

- 4. The methodology for screening of sites and effects is discussed in *Appendix 1* of the Information to Support AA report.
- 5. The following sites displayed in *Table 2.2* were included in the Screening stage.

Table 2.2 Sites included in Screening

East Anglia TWO	Designated site	Ornithology	Marine Mammals	Benthic Habitats	Fish	Terrestrial
Reference Number						
1	Abberton Reservoir SPA & Ramsar	✓				
2	Abers - Côtes des légendes SAC		✓			
3	Agger Tange, Nissum Bredning, Skibsted Fjord og Agerø SAC		√			







East Anglia TWO	Designated site	Ornithology	Marine Mammals	Benthic Habitats	Fish	Terrestrial
Reference Number						
4	Ålborg Bugt, Randers Fjord Og Mariager Fjord SAC		✓			
5	Alde, Ore and Butley Estuaries SAC			✓		
6	Alde-Ore Estuary SPA & Ramsar	✓				
7	Anholt og havet nord for SAC		✓			
8	Archipel des Glénan SAC		✓			
9	Baie De Canche Et Couloir Des Trois Estuaires SAC		✓		✓	
10	Baie de Morlaix SAC		✓			
11	Baie de Seine Occidentale SAC		✓			
12	Baie de Seine Occidentale SPA	✓				
13	Baie de Seine Orientale SAC	✓	√			
14	Baie du Mont Saint- Michel SAC		✓			
15	Balgö SAC		✓			
16	Bancs Des Flandres SAC		✓	✓		
17	Bassurelle Sandbank SAC			✓		
18	Benacre to Easton Bavents SPA	✓				
19	Benfleet and Southend Marshes SPA & Ramsar	✓				







East Anglia TWO Reference Number	Designated site	Ornithology	Marine Mammals	Benthic Habitats	Fish	Terrestrial
20	Berwickshire and North Northumberland Coast SAC		✓	✓		
21	Blackwater Estuary (Mid-Essex Coast Phase 4) SPA & Ramsar	✓				
22	Borkum-Riffgrund SCI		✓		✓	
23	Borkum-Riffgrund SPA	✓				
24	Braemar Pockmarks SAC			✓		
25	Breydon Water SPA & Ramsar	✓				
26	Broadland SPA & Ramsar	✓				
27	Bruine Bank pSPA	✓				
28	Buchan Ness to Collieston Coast SPA	✓				
29	Calf of Eday SPA	✓				
30	Cap Sizun SAC		✓			
31	Chausey SAC	✓	✓			
32	Chaussée de Sein SAC		✓			
33	Chesil Beach and The Fleet SPA & Ramsar	✓				
34	Chichester and Langstone Harbours SPA & Ramsar	√				
35	Colne Estuary (Mid- Essex Coast Phase 2) SPA & Ramsar	√				
36	Copinsay SPA	✓				
37	Coquet Island SPA	✓				







East Anglia TWO Reference Number	Designated site	Ornithology	Marine Mammals	Benthic Habitats	Fish	Terrestrial
38	Côte de Granit Rose- Sept Iles SAC	✓	✓			
39	Cromarty Firth SPA & Ramsar	✓				
40	Crouch and Roach Estuaries (Mid-Essex Coast Phase 3) SPA & Ramsar	√				
41	Deben Estuary SPA & Ramsar	✓				
42	Dengie (Mid-Essex Coast Phase 1) SPA & Ramsar	✓				
43	Doggerbank SCI		✓			
44	Doggersbank SCI		√			
45	Dornoch Firth and Loch Fleet SPA & Ramsar	√				
46	Dornoch Firth and Morrich More SAC		√			
47	Dråby Vig SAC		✓			
48	Dünenlandschaft Süd- Sylt SAC		✓			
49	Dunes De La Plaine Maritime Flamande SAC		✓	✓		
50	East Caithness Cliffs SPA	✓				
51	Essex Estuaries SAC			√		
52	Estuaire de la Canche, dunes picardes plaquées sur l'ancienne falaise, forêt d'Hardelot et falaise d'Equihen SAC		~			







East Anglia TWO Reference Number	Designated site	Ornithology	Marine Mammals	Benthic Habitats	Fish	Terrestrial
53	Estuaire de la Seine SCI		✓			
54	Estuaires et Littoral Picards (baies de Somme et d'Authie) SAC		✓		√	
55	Exe Estuary SPA & Ramsar	✓				
56	Fair Isle SPA	✓				
57	Falaise du Bessin Occidental SPA	✓				
58	Falaises du Cran Aux Oeufs et du Cap Gris- Nez, Dunes du Chatelet, Marais de Tardinghen et Dunes de Wissant SAC		✓	✓		
59	Faray and Holm of Faray SAC		√			
60	Farne Islands SPA	✓				
61	Fetlar SPA	✓				
62	Firth of Forth SPA & Ramsar	✓				
63	Firth of Tay & Eden Estuary SPA & Ramsar	✓				
64	Firth of Tay & Eden Estuary SAC		✓			
65	Flamborough and Filey Coast SPA	✓				
66	Flamborough Head SAC			✓		
67	Forth Islands SPA	✓				
68	Foula SPA	✓				







East Anglia TWO Reference Number	Designated site	Ornithology	Marine Mammals	Benthic Habitats	Fish	Terrestrial
69	Foulness (Mid-Essex Coast Phase 5) SPA & Ramsar	✓				
70	Fowlsheugh SPA	✓				
71	Frisian Front SPA	✓				
72	Gibraltar Point SPA & Ramsar	✓				
73	Great Yarmouth North Denes SPA	✓				
74	Greater Wash SPA	✓				
75	Gule Rev SCI		√			
76	Gullmarsfjorden SAC		✓			
77	Haisborough, Hammond and Winterton SAC			✓		
78	Hamburgisches Wattenmeer SCI		✓			
79	Hamford Water SPA & Ramsar	✓				
80	Havet Omking Norde Ronner SAC		✓			
81	Helgoland mit Helgoländer Felssockel SAC		✓			
82	Hermaness, Saxa Vord and Valla Field SPA	✓				
83	Hesselø med omliggende stenrev SAC		√			
84	Hirsholmene, havet vest herfor og Ellinge Å's udløb SAC		√			







East Anglia TWO	Designated site	Ornithology	Marine Mammals	Benthic Habitats	Fish	Terrestrial
Reference Number						
85	Hornsea Mere SPA	✓				
86	Hoy SPA	✓				
87	Humber Estuary SAC		√	✓	✓	
88	Humber Estuary SPA & Ramsar	✓				
89	Hund und Paapsand SCI		✓			
90	Imperial Dock Lock, Leith SPA	✓				
91	Inner Dowsing, Race Bank and North Ridge SCI			✓		
92	Inner Moray Firth SPA & Ramsar	✓				
93	Isle of May SAC		√			
94	Klaverbank SAC		✓			
95	Knudegrund SAC		✓			
96	Kosterfjorden- Väderöfjorden SAC		✓			
97	Kungsbackafjorden SAC		√			
98	Küsten- und Dünenlandschaften Amrums SAC		√			
99	Lindisfarne SPA & Ramsar	✓				
100	Littoral Cauchois SAC			✓		
101	Littoral Seino-Marin SPA	√				
102	Loch of Strathbeg SPA & Ramsar	✓				







East Anglia TWO Reference Number	Designated site	Ornithology	Marine Mammals	Benthic Habitats	Fish	Terrestrial
103	Lønstrup Rødgrund SAC		✓			
104	Løgstør Bredning, Vejlerne og Bulbjerg SAC		✓			
105	Lovns Bredning, Hjarbæk Fjord og Skals, Simested og Nørre Ådal, Skravad Bæk SAC		1			
106	Malmöfjord SAC		√			
107	Marais du Cotentin et du Bessin - Baie des Veys SAC		√			
108	Margate and Long Sands SCI			✓		
109	Marwick Head SPA	✓				
110	Måseskär SAC		√			
111	Medway Estuary and Marshes SPA & Ramsar	✓				
112	Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths and Marshes SAC			✓	√	
113	Minsmere-Walberswick SPA & Ramsar	✓				
114	Montrose Basin SPA & Ramsar	✓				
115	Moray and Nairn Coast SPA & Ramsar	✓				
116	Mousa SPA	✓				
117	Mousa SAC		✓			







East Anglia TWO Reference Number	Designated site	Ornithology	Marine Mammals	Benthic Habitats	Fish	Terrestrial
118	Muhlenberger Loch SPA		✓	✓	✓	
119	Nationalpark Niedersächsisches Wattenmeer SAC		√			
120	Nibe Bredning, Halkær Ådal og Sønderup Ådal SAC		✓			
121	Nidingen SAC		✓			
122	Noordzeekustzone SAC		√	✓	✓	
123	Nordre älvs estuarium SAC		√			
124	Nordvästra Skånes havsområde SAC		√			
125	North Caithness Cliffs SPA	✓				
126	North Norfolk Coast SPA & Ramsar	✓				
127	North Norfolk Sandbanks and Saturn Reef SAC			✓		
128	Northumbria Coast SPA & Ramsar	✓				
129	Noss SPA	✓				
130	NTP S-H Wattenmeer und angrenzende Küstengebiete SAC		✓			
131	Oosterschelde SAC		✓			
132	Orfordness - Shingle Street SAC			✓		
133	Östliche Deutsche Bucht SPA	✓				







East Anglia TWO	Designated site	Ornithology	Marine Mammals	Benthic Habitats	Fish	Terrestrial
Reference Number						
134	Ouessant-Molène SAC		✓			
135	Outer Thames Estuary SPA	✓				
136	Papa Stour SPA	✓				
137	Panache De La Gironde Et Plateau Rocheux De Cordouan (Systeme Pertuis Gironde) SAC		√	✓	√	
138	Papa Westray (North Hill and Holm) SPA	✓				
139	Pater Noster- skärgården SAC		✓			
140	Pentland Firth Islands SPA	✓				
141	Pertuis Charentais SAC		✓	✓	✓	
142	Plymouth Sound and Estuaries SAC			✓	√	
143	Portsmouth Harbour SPA & Ramsar	✓				
144	Presqu'ile de Crozon SAC		✓			
145	Ramsar-Gebiet S-H Wattenmeer und angrenzende Küstengebiete SPA					
146	Récifs et marais arrière- littoraux du Cap Lévi à la Pointe de Saire SAC		✓			
147	Récifs Gris-Nez Blanc- Nez SAC		✓	✓		
148	Ridens et dunes hydrauliques du détroit du Pas-de-Calais SAC		✓	✓		







East Anglia TWO Reference Number	Designated site	Ornithology	Marine Mammals	Benthic Habitats	Fish	Terrestrial
149	River Avon SAC				√	
150	River Derwent SAC				✓	
151	Ronas Hill - North Roe and Tingon SPA	✓				
152	Rousay SPA	✓				
153	Sälöfjorden SAC		√			
154	Sanday SAC		√			
155	Sandbanker ud for Thyboron SAC		✓			
156	Sandbanker ud for Thorsminde SAC		√			
157	Sandlings SPA	✓				✓
158	SBZ 1 / ZPS 1 SAC		√			
159	SBZ 2 / ZPS 2 SAC	✓				
160	SBZ 3 / ZPS 3 SAC	✓				
161	Scanner Pockmark SAC			✓		
162	Schleswig- Holsteinisches Elbastuar und angrenzende Flachen SAC		✓	✓	✓	
163	Seevogelschutzgebiet Helgoland SPA	✓				
164	Severn Estuary SAC			√	✓	
165	Skagens Gren og Skagerrak SAC		√			
166	Solent and Southampton Water SPA & Ramsar	√				
167	Soteskär SAC		√			







East Anglia TWO Reference Number	Designated site	Ornithology	Marine Mammals	Benthic Habitats	Fish	Terrestrial
168	Southern North Sea SAC		✓			
169	St Abb`s Head to Fast Castle SPA	✓				
170	Staverton Park and the Thicks Wantisden SAC					✓
171	Steingrund SAC		√			
172	Store Rev SCI		✓			
173	Stour and Orwell Estuaries SPA & Ramsar	√				
174	Strandenge på Læsø og havet syd herfor SAC		✓			
175	Sumburgh Head SPA	✓				
176	Sydlige Nordsø SAC		√			
177	Sylter Außenriff SCI	✓	✓			
178	Teesmouth and Cleveland Coast SPA & Ramsar	√				
179	Thames Estuary and Marshes SPA & Ramsar	✓				
180	Thanet Coast and Sandwich Bay SPA & Ramsar	✓				
181	Thanet Coast SAC			✓		
182	The Swale SPA & Ramsar	√				
183	The Wash and North Norfolk Coast SAC		✓	✓		
184	The Wash SPA & Ramsar	✓				







East Anglia TWO Reference	Designated site	Ornithology	Marine Mammals	Benthic Habitats	Fish	Terrestrial
Number						
185	Thyboron Stenvolde SCI		✓			
186	Tregor Goëlo SAC		✓			
187	Troup, Pennan and Lion`s Heads SPA	✓				
188	Unterelbe SCI				✓	
189	Unterems und Außenems SCI		✓			
190	Vadehavet med Ribe Å, Tved Å og Varde Å vest for Varde SAC		✓			
191	Venø, Venø Sund SAC		✓			
192	Vlaamse Banken SAC		✓	✓	✓	
193	Vlakte van de Raan SCI/SAC		√		✓	
194	Voordelta SAC and SPA	✓	✓	✓	√	
195	Vrångöskärgården SAC		✓			
196	Waddenzee SPA	✓				
197	Waddenzee SAC		✓	✓		
198	West Westray SPA	✓				
199	Westerschelde & Saeftinghe SAC				✓	
200	Winterton – Horsey Dunes SAC		√			
201	Yell Sound Coast SAC		✓			
202	Ythan Estuary, Sands of Forvie and Meikle Loch SPA	✓				








2.3 Assessment of potential effects

- 6. A summary of the evidence presented in the determination of the risk of likely significant effects (LSE) on the relevant qualifying features of a site is detailed within the footnotes to the screening matrices below.
- 7. The following abbreviations are used within the screening matrices:
 - Y = LSE cannot be excluded
 - N = LSE can be excluded
 - C = construction
 - O = operation
 - D = decommissioning
- 8. Where effects are not applicable to a particular feature they are greyed out.







- a) Survey data show little or no evidence of the SPA features found at that site occurring in the East Anglia TWO windfarm site, and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO windfarm site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), none of these species were recorded in the East Anglia TWO windfarm site). Also see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) Maximum foraging range of breeding cormorants from their colonies is 35km (Thaxter et al. 2012); the East Anglia TWO site is therefore located beyond the maximum range and so has no breeding season connectivity. It is extremely unlikely that cormorants from Abberton Reservoir SPA would visit the East Anglia TWO windfarm site in the non-breeding season as they mostly overwinter in freshwater habitat in southern England Survey data show no evidence of cormorant occurring in the East Anglia TWO windfarm site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470).





Site 1

Name of European Site: Abberton Reservoir SPA and Ramsar

c) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Abberton Reservoir SPA and Ramsar (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

Site	2														
Name of European Site:	Abers -	ers - Côtes Des Legendes SAC													
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	599 (win	(windfarm site)													
Site Features	Likely ef	ly effect(s) of East Anglia TWO													
	Underw	ater noi	ise	Vesse	l Interac	tions	Indire prey	ct effects	s on	Chang quality	ges to wa	ater	In-combination		
	С	C O D C O D C O D												D	
Grey seal Halichoerus grypus	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of the East Anglia TWO windfarm site and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraph 190 and 191 and Table 7.3 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site	3	3													
Name of European Site:	Agger T	ger Tange, Nissum Bredning, Skibsted Fjord og Agerø SAC													
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	603	3													
Site Features	Likely eff	ly effect(s) of East Anglia TWO													
	Underw	ater noi	se	Vesse	l Interac	tions	Indired prey	ct effects	s on	Chang quality	ges to wa	ater	In-con	nbination	1
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Harbour seal Phoca vitulina	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraph 219 and 220 and Table 7.3 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site	4	4													
Name of European Site:	Ålborg l	lborg Bugt, Randers Fjord og Mariager Fjord SAC													
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	843	3													
Site Features	Likely ef	ely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO													
	Underw	ater noi	ise	Vesse	l Interac	tions	Indire prey	ct effect	s on	Chang quality	ges to wa	ater	In-con	nbinatior	1
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Harbour seal Phoca vitulina	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraph 219 and 220 and Table 7.3 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).



121 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).



Site Name of European Site: Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	5 Alde, (3.6	Ore an	d Butle	y Estu	aries S	SAC												
Site Features	Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO																	
	Perma	manent loss Temporary physical disturbance Smothering due to increased sediments Smothering due to contaminated sediments Re- mobilisation of contaminated sediments In-combination and vibration														on		
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Offshore habitats	·	·	•	•	•	•		·			•	•		•	·		•	
Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)
Estuaries	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)
Atlantic Salt Meadows	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)
a) Within range of the	oretical i	ndirect	effect (sedime	nt depo	osition)	but effe	ect negli	igible a	s featur	es are	primaril	y sedin	nentary	(see pa	aragrap	hs 120	and

Applicable to East Anglia TWO





Site	6													
Name of European Site:	Alde-Ore Estuary SPA and Ramsar													
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	a) 37 (windfarm site) and 4 (offshore cable corridor)													
Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO														
	Collision	mortality		Displace	ement/Dis	turbance	Barrier	Effect		Cumulative/In-combination				
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D		
Breeding lesser black-backed gulls Larus fuscus		Y (a)		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (h)	Y (a)	N (h)		
Breeding marsh harrier Circus aeruginosus		N (c)		N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)		
Breeding avocet Recurvirostra avosetta		N (d)		N (d)	N (d)	N (d)	N (d)	N (d)	N (d)	N (h)	N (h)	N (h)		
Breeding little tern Sternula albifrons		N (e)		N (e)	N (e)	N (e)	N (e)	N (e)	N (e)	N (e)	N (e)	N (e)		
Breeding Sandwich tern Sterna sandvicensis		N (f)		N (f)	N (f)	N (f)	N (f)	N (f)	N (f)	N (h)	N (h)	N (h)		
Nonbreeding ruff <i>Philomachus pugnax</i> , avocet, redshank <i>Tringa totanus</i>		N (g)		N (g)	N (g)	N (g)	N (g)	N (g)	N (g)	N (h)	N (h)	N (h)		

- a) Model predictions of collision mortality indicate that LSE cannot be ruled out at screening and so requires further consideration (see paragraph 266 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) Evidence indicates that lesser black-backed gulls are not affected by displacement, disturbance or barrier effects at offshore wind farms (see Table 12.4 Chapter 12 – Offshore Ornithology (APP-060).
- c) Marsh harrier is a migrant species. Satellite tracking suggests that marsh harriers migrate overland to the south coast of England and over the Channel to France, rather than across the North Sea.





Site 6

Name of European Site: Alde-Ore Estuary SPA and Ramsar

Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 37 (windfarm site) and 4 (offshore cable corridor)

- d) Avocet has not been observed in the East Anglia TWO windfarm site during bird surveys (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470)). It is highly unlikely that avocets from this SPA will migrate through the East Anglia TWO windfarm site, and if they did, their flight height is likely not to be at collision risk height.
- e) Breeding little tern has a maximum foraging range of 11km from colonies, so would have no connectivity with the East Anglia TWO site. Migrating little terns are considered to be 'extremely coastal on passage with very few sightings in open ocean or inland' (Forrester et al. 2007), so are unlikely to pass through the East Anglia TWO windfarm site.
- f) Breeding Sandwich tern has a maximum foraging range of 54km from colonies, so would have connectivity with the East Anglia TWO windfarm site. However, only very small numbers of terns of any species were observed in the East Anglia TWO site in surveys (see section 5.2 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470)). Migrating Sandwich terns from this SPA population will form a very small fraction of the very small total numbers of terns passing the site on passage.
- g) Ruff, avocet and redshank were not observed during bird surveys at the East Anglia TWO windfarm site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470)). It is highly unlikely that these birds would migrate through the East Anglia TWO site as their migration is likely to take a coastal route and cross sea at narrow points such as The English Channel. If they did migrate through the East Anglia TWO site their flight height is likely not to be at collision risk height.
- h) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Alde-Ore Estuary SPA and Ramsar (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

Applicable to East Anglia TWO

Page 23





Site	7															
Name of European Site:	Anhol	Anholt og havet nord for SAC														
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	904	904														
Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO																
	Under	vater no	oise	Vessel Interactions			Indirect effects on prey			Chang quality	es to wa	ter	In-combination			
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	
Grey seal Halichoerus grypus	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	
Harbour seal Phoca vitulina	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraph 219 and 220 for harbour seal and 190 and 191 for grey seal and Table 7.3 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)..

Applicable to East Anglia TWO





Site	8															
Name of European Site:	Archip	Archipel des Glénan SAC														
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	638															
Site Features	Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO															
	Underwater noise			Vessel Interactions			Indirect prey	t effects	on	Change quality	es to wa	ter	In-combination			
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	
Grey seal Halichoerus grypus	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 190 and 191 and Table 7.3 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

Applicable to East Anglia TWO

Page 25





9 Site Name of European Site: Baie de Canche et couloir des trois estuaires SAC **Distance to East Anglia TWO** 168 (km) **Marine Mammals** Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Changes to water Underwater noise **Vessel Interactions** Indirect effects on In-combination quality prey 0 0 С 0 D С D С 0 D С D С 0 D Harbour porpoise Phocoena N (a) phocoena Grey seal Halichoerus N (a) grypus Harbour seal Phoca vitulina N (a) Fish Site Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Features Smothering due Electromagnetic Permanent Temporary Re-mobilisation Underwater noise In-combination physical to increased fields (EMF) habitat loss of contaminated and vibration suspended disturbance sediments sediment 0 0 0 0 С 0 D С С D С С С 0 D С D D 0 D D Salmon Ν (a) (a) Salmo salar (a) (a)







Site				9																	
Name of European Site: Baie de Canche et							et coul	oir des	trois e	stuaire	es SAC										
Distance to East Anglia TWO 168 (km)																					
Site Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO																					
Permanent habitat loss	phys	Temporary physical disturbance			Smothering due or increased uspended ediment			Re- mobilisation of contaminated sediments			Underwater noise and vibration			romagr (EMF)		In-co	on				
	С	0	D	С	0	С	0	С	0	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Sea lamprey Petromyzon marinus	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)
River lamprey Lampetra fluviatilis	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)
Allis shad Alosa alosa	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 219 and 220 for harbour seal, paragraphs 190 and 191 for grey seal and paragraphs 168 and 169 for harbour porpoise, of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 9

Name of European Site: Baie de Canche et couloir des trois estuaires SAC

Distance to East Anglia TWO

168

(km)

b) It was agreed as part of the East Anglia TWO Scoping Report that transboundary impacts on fish would be scoped out of the EIA. We have therefore screened them out from consideration in the HRA (see Appendix 10.1 (APP-462).

Site 10 Name of European Site: Baie De Morlaix SAC **Distance to East Anglia TWO** 552 (km) Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site Features Underwater noise **Vessel Interactions** Indirect effects on Changes to water In-combination quality prey С 0 D С 0 С 0 0 D С 0 D D D Grey seal Halichoerus grypus N(b) N(b) N(a) N(a) N(b) N(b) N(b) N(b) N(b) N(b) N(b) N(b) N(b) N(b)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 190 and 191 and Table 7.3 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 11 Name of European Site: Baie de Seine Occidentale SAC **Distance to East Anglia TWO** 350 (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Underwater noise **Vessel Interactions** Indirect effects on Changes to water In-combination quality prey С 0 С С 0 D С 0 D С 0 D D 0 D Harbour porpoise Phocoena N(a) phocoena Harbour seal Phoca vitulina N(a) N(a)

Applicable to East Anglia TWO

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraph 219 and 220 for harbour seal and paragraphs 168 and 169 for harbour porpoise and Table 7.3 of the HRA). Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 12 Name of European Site: Baie de Seine Occidentale SPA **Distance to East Anglia TWO** 350 (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Displacement/Disturbance Collision mortality **Barrier Effect** Cumulative/In-combination 0 D 0 D C 0 D C C 0 D Breeding, wintering and N(a) N(b) N(b) N(a) N(a) N(a) N(a) N(a) N(a) N(b) passage waterbirds

- a) Survey data show little or no evidence of Baie de Seine Occidentale SPA features occurring in the East Anglia TWO site, and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site, as most migrant waterfowl moving between northern breeding areas and southern wintering areas and using staging areas such as Baie de Seine Occidentale in France pass along the west European flyway along the continental coast rather than crossing the North Sea to the UK. At a distance of 341km, the chances of birds from this SPA moving through the East Anglia TWO site are extremely small (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), none of SPA features were recorded in the East Anglia TWO windfarm site). Also see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Baie de Seine Occidentale SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

5.3.2 Information to Support AA – Screening Matrices







Site	13															
Name of European Site:	Baie d	Baie de Seine Orientale SAC														
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	324	324														
Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO																
				Vessel Interactions			Indirec prey	t effects	on	Changes to water quality			In-combination			
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	
Harbour porpoise <i>Phocoena</i> phocoena	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	
Harbour seal Phoca vitulina	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraph 219 and 220 for harbour seal and paragraphs 168 and 169 for harbour porpoise and Table 7.3 of the HRA).



N(a)

N(a)

N(a)

N(a)



Site 14 Name of European Site: **Baie du Mont Saint-Michel SAC Distance to East Anglia TWO** 520 (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Underwater noise Changes to water **Vessel Interactions** Indirect effects on In-combination quality prey С С 0 С 0 D С 0 D С 0 D D 0 D Grey seal Halichoerus grypus N(a) N(a)

N(a)

N(a)

N(a)

N(a)

N(a)

N(a)

N(a)

N(a)

N(a)

N(a)

15														
Balgö	SAC													
903														
					ions	Indirec	t effects	on	_		ter	In-com	bination	
С	0	D	С	0	D	C	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)
	Balgö 903 Likely Under	Balgö SAC 903 Likely effect(s Underwater no	Balgö SAC 903 Likely effect(s) of Eas Underwater noise C O D	Balgö SAC 903 Likely effect(s) of East Anglia Underwater noise Vessel C O D C	Balgö SAC 903 Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Underwater noise Vessel Interact C O D C O	Balgö SAC 903 Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Underwater noise Vessel Interactions C O D C O D	Balgö SAC 903 Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Underwater noise Vessel Interactions Indirectors C O D C O D C	Balgö SAC 903 Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Underwater noise Vessel Interactions Indirect effects prey C O D C O D C O	Balgö SAC 903 Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Underwater noise Vessel Interactions Indirect effects on prey C O D C O D C O D	Balgö SAC 903 Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Underwater noise Vessel Interactions Indirect effects on prey quality C O D C O D C O D C	Balgö SAC 903 Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Underwater noise	Balgö SAC 903 Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Underwater noise	Balgö SAC 903 Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Underwater noise Vessel Interactions Indirect effects on prey quality C O D C O D C O D C	Balgö SAC 903 Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Underwater noise Vessel Interactions Indirect effects on prey quality C O D C O D C O D C O D C O

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraph 219 and 220 for harbour seal and Table 7.3 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044))..

Harbour seal Phoca vitulina

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraph 219 and 220 for harbour seal and paragraphs 190 and 191 for grey seal and Table 7.3 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 16

Name of European Bancs des Flandres SAC

Site:

Distance to East 82 (windfarm site) and 93 (offshore cable corridor)

Anglia TWO (km)

Marine Mammals

Site Features	Likely (effect(s) o	f East An	glia TWO											
	Underv	vater nois	se	Vessel	Interaction	ons	Indirec	effects c	n prey	Change quality	es to wate	er	In-comb	ination	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Harbour porpoise <i>Phocoena</i> <i>phocoena</i>	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)
Grey seal Halichoerus grypus	Y(c)	Y(c)	Y(c)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)
Harbour seal Phoca vitulina	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)

Benthic Habitats

Site Features	Perm	anent lo	oss	physi	orary cal bance		increa	ended	ue to		nobilisat minate d nents			rwater n ibration		In-cor	mbinatio	n
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)		N (b)	N (b)		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)





Site 16

Name of European Bancs des Flandres SAC

Site:

Distance to East 82 (windfarm site) and 93 (offshore cable corridor)

Anglia TWO (km)

- a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraph 219 and 220 for harbour seal, paragraphs 190 and 191 for grey seal and paragraphs 168 and 169 for harbour porpoise and Table 7.3 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) As it has been agreed through the scoping and Evidence Plan Process (EPP) (see Appendix 9.1 (APP-458) that transboundary effects are scoped out for EIA (given the distance to sites in other Members States jurisdictions) these have also been screened out from consideration for HRA purposes
- c) The East Anglia TWO windfarm site is within the foraging range of grey seal and therefore the potential for LSE from underwater noise impacts cannot be ruled out.





Site 17 Name of European Site: **Bassurelle Sandbank SAC Distance to East Anglia TWO** 169 (windfarm site) and 172 (offshore cable corridor) (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Permanent loss Temporary physical Smothering due to Re- mobilisation of Underwater noise In-combination increased disturbance contaminated and vibration suspended sediments sediment С 0 С С 0 С 0 D С 0 D D 0 D С 0 D D N (a) Ν Ν N (a) Sandbanks (a) (a) which are slightly covered by sea water all the time

a) The distance between the offshore project area and the designated site is beyond the range of any potential LSE.





Site 18 **Benacre to Easton Bavents SPA** Name of European Site: 19 (onshore cable corridor) **Distance to East Anglia TWO** (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Displacement/Disturbance Cumulative/In-combination Collision mortality **Barrier Effect** С 0 D 0 0 С D С 0 D С D Breeding Great bittern Botarus stellaris N (a) Breeding Eurasian marsh harrier N (a) Circus aeruginosus Breeding Little tern Sterna albifrons N (a) N (a)

a) No overlap therefore no direct effect and beyond the range of potential significant indirect effect (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 19 **Benfleet & Southend Marshes SPA and Ramsar** Name of European Site: 110 (windfarm site) and 93 (cable corridor) **Distance to East Anglia TWO** (km) Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site Features Displacement/Disturbance Collision mortality **Barrier Effect** Cumulative/In-combination С 0 D С 0 D С 0 D С 0 D Wintering and passage waterbird N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (b) N (b) N (b) N (a) assemblage including as named features brent goose Branta bernicla, dunlin Calidris alpina, knot Calidris canutus, ringed plover Charadrius hiaticula, grey plover Pluvialis squatarola

- b) Survey data show little or no evidence of Benfleet & Southend Marshes SPA features occurring in the East Anglia TWO site, and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site during migration (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), none of these species were recorded in the East Anglia TWO windfarm site). Also see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- c) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Benfleet & Southend Marshes SPA and Ramsar (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

Site



20






Name of European Site: Berwickshire and North Northumberland Coast SAC Distance to East Anglia 4126 (windfarm site) and 407 (offshore cable corridor) TWO (km) **Marine Mammals** Site Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Features Underwater noise Vessel Indirect effects on Changes to In-combination Interactions prey water quality С С 0 D С 0 D 0 D С 0 D С 0 D N(a) N(a) N(a) N(a) Grey seal N(a) Halichoerus grypus **Benthic Habitats**

Site Features	Perma	nent los	S	Tempo	orary phy ance	rsical		ering du sed susp ent			obilisatio ninate d ents	n of	Undervand vib	water no oration	ise	In-com	bination	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Coastal lagoons	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)		N (b)	N (b)		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)
Submerged or partially	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)		N (b)	N (b)		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)



5.3.2 Information to Support AA – Screening Matrices







Site 21

Name of European Site: Blackwater Estuary SPA and Ramsar

Distance to East Anglia TWO 88 (windfarm site) and 64 (offshore cable corridor)

(km)

(KM)												
Site Features	Likely	effect(s)	of East A	nglia TWC								
	Collisio	on morta	lity	Displace	ement/Dist	urbance	Barrier E	Effect		Cumulat	tive/In-cor	nbination
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Wintering and passage waterbird assemblage including as named features brent goose, dunlin, ringed plover, blacktailed godwit <i>Limosa limosa limosa</i> , grey plover		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (f)	N (f)	N (f)
Nonbreeding hen harrier Circus cyaneus		N (b)		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (f)	N (f)	N (f)
Breeding pochard		N (c)		N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (f)	N (f)	N (f)
Breeding ringed plover		N (d)		N (d)	N (d)	N (d)	N (d)	N (d)	N (d)	N (f)	N (f)	N (f)
Breeding little tern		N (e)		N (e)	N (e)	N (e)	N (e)	N (e)	N (e)	N (e)	N (e)	N (e)

- a) Survey data show little or no evidence of Blackwater Estuary SPA features occurring in the East Anglia TWO site, and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site during migration (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), none of these species were recorded in the East Anglia TWO windfarm site).
- b) Survey data show no evidence of Blackwater Estuary SPA feature (hen harrier) occurring in the East Anglia TWO site, and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), this species was not recorded in the East Anglia TWO windfarm site).
- c) Survey data show no evidence of Blackwater Estuary SPA feature (pochard) occurring in the East Anglia TWO site, and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), this species was not recorded in the East Anglia TWO windfarm site).





Site **Blackwater Estuary SPA and Ramsar** Name of European Site: 88 (windfarm site) and 64 (offshore cable corridor) **Distance to East Anglia TWO** (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Displacement/Disturbance Collision mortality **Barrier Effect** Cumulative/In-combination 0 D С 0 D С 0 D С 0 D d) Survey data show no evidence of Blackwater Estuary SPA feature (ringed plover) occurring in the East Anglia TWO site, and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), this species was not recorded in the East Anglia TWO windfarm site). e) e) Breeding little tern has a maximum foraging range of 11km from colonies, so would have no connectivity with the East Anglia TWO site. Migrating

windfarm site).
f) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination

little terns are considered to be 'extremely coastal on passage with very few sightings in open ocean or inland' (Forrester et al. 2007), so are unlikely to pass through the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), this species was not recorded in the East Anglia TWO

f) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Blackwater Estuary SPA and Ramsar (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 22

Name of European

Borkum-Riffgrund (Borkum Reef Ground) SCI

Site:

Distance to East

Anglia TWO (km)

320

Marine Mammals

Site Features	Likely e	ffect(s) of	f East An	glia TWO	1										
	Underw	ater nois	e	Vessel	Interactio	ns	Indirect	effects o	n prey	Change quality	s to wate	r	In-comb	ination	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Harbour porpoise Phocoena phocoena	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)
Grey seal Halichoerus grypus	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)
Harbour seal <i>Phoca</i> vitulina	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)

Fish

Site Features		nanent at loss		physi	orary cal bance		Smoth increa suspe sedime	nded	lue to		nobilisa ntamina nents			rwater i ibration		Electro fields	omagn (EMF)	etic	In-cor	nbinatio	on
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Twaite shad Alosa fallax	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)





Site 22

Name of European Borkum-Riffgrund (Borkum Reef Ground) SCI

Site:

Distance to East 320

Anglia TWO (km)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraph 219 and 220 for harbour seal, paragraphs 190 and 191 for grey seal and paragraphs 168 and 169 for harbour porpoise of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

b) It was agreed as part of the East Anglia TWO Scoping and Evidence Plan Process (EPP) (see Appendix 10.1 (APP-458) that transboundary impacts on fish would be scoped out of the EIA. We have therefore screened them out from consideration in the HRA.

Applicable to East Anglia TWO





Site	23											
Name of European Site:	Borkum-F	Riffgrund	SPA									
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	320											
Site Features	Likely effe	ct(s) of E	ast Anglia	TWO								
	Collision r	nortality		Displace	ement/Dis	turbance	Barrier	Effect		Cumula	tive/In-co	mbination
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Nonbreeding seabird assemblage including as named features black-throated diver <i>Gavia arctica</i> , red-throated diver <i>Gavia stellata</i> , common gull <i>Larus canus</i> , lesser black-backed gull, great black-backed gull <i>Larus marinus</i> , little gull <i>Larus minutus</i> , kittiwake <i>Rissa tridactyla</i> , common tern <i>Sterna hirundo</i> , Arctic tern <i>Sterna paradisaea</i> , Sandwich tern, gannet <i>Morus bassanus</i> , guillemot <i>Uria aalge</i>		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)

- a) Migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site during migration relative to the size of Biologically Defined Minimum Population Scale (BDMPS) regional populations. Not only are the sites 320km apart, but much of the seasonal movement of birds avoids crossing of the North Sea so that birds on the continental side of the North Sea are more likely to move along the continental coast rather than crossing to the UK (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Borkum-Riffgrund SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





24 Site Name of European Site: **Braemar Pockmarks SAC Distance to East Anglia TWO** 741 (km) Site Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Features Permanent loss Temporary physical Smothering due to Re- mobilisation of Underwater noise In-combination disturbance increased contaminated and vibration suspended sediments sediment 0 С 0 0 С 0 D С 0 D С D С 0 D D С D N (a) Submarine structures made by leaking

Applicable to East Anglia TWO

gases

Page 45

a) The distance between the offshore project area and the designated site is beyond the range of any potential LSE (see section 5.2.1 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 25

Name of European Site: Breydon Water SPA and Ramsar

Distance to East Anglia TWO 44 (windfarm site) and 33 (offshore cable corridor)

(km)

Site Features	Likely ef	fect(s) of I	East Angli	a TWO								
	Collision	mortality		Displace	ment/Dist	urbance	Barrier E	ffect		Cumulat	ive/In-con	nbination
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Wintering and passage waterbird assemblage including as named features Bewick's swan Cygnus columbianus bewickii, ruff, golden plover Pluvialis apricaria, avocet, lapwing Vanellus vanellus		Y (a)		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	Y (a)	N (b)
Breeding common tern Sterna hirundo		N (c)		N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (d)	N (d)	N (d)

- a) Band model estimates of collision mortality indicate that LSE cannot be ruled out at the Screening stage (see Appendix 12.2 Annex 4 (APP-470)).
- b) Survey data show little or no evidence of SPA features occurring in East Anglia TWO and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the site during migration (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), none of these species were recorded in the East Anglia TWO windfarm site).
- c) SPA is far beyond the maximum foraging range of common tern (30km) so has no breeding season connectivity. Numbers of SPA common tern migrating through the East Anglia TWO windfarm site are likely to be extremely small relative to BDMPS (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), common terns recorded in very low numbers within the East Anglia TWO windfarm site).
- d) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Breydon Water SPA and Ramsar (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).





Site 26

Name of European Site: Broadland SPA and Ramsar

Distance to East Anglia TWO 34 (windfarm site) and 21 (offshore cable corridor)

(km)

Site Features	Likely effe	ect(s) of Ea	st Anglia TV	VO offshore	e project are	еа						
	Collision i	mortality		Displacer	nent/Disturt	oance	Barrier Ef	fect		Cumulativ	e/In-combi	nation
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Wintering and passage waterbird assemblage including as named features shoveler, wigeon, gadwall, Bewick's swan, whooper swan, ruff	N (b)	Y (a)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (c)	Y (a)	N (c)

- a) Band model estimates of collision mortality indicate that LSE cannot be ruled out at the Screening stage (see Appendix 12.2 Annex 4 (APP-470)).
- b) Survey data show little or no evidence of SPA features occurring in East Anglia TWO and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the site during migration (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), none of these species were recorded in the East Anglia TWO windfarm site).
- c) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site	27											
Name of European Site:	Bruine Ba	nk (Browi	n Ridge) բ	SPA								
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	82 (offsho	re cable (corridor)									
Site Features	Likely e	ffect(s) of	East Angl	ia TWO								
	Collision	n mortality		Displace	ement/Dis	turbance	Barrier E	Effect		Cumulat combina		
	C O D			С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Nonbreeding common guillemots <i>Uria</i> aalge and razorbills <i>Alca torda</i>		N (a)		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)

- a) The designated features of Bruine Bank pSPA are likely to be common guillemot and razorbill, species for which low flight height results in low risk of collision with offshore wind turbines. Furthermore, birds wintering on Bruine Bank are likely to remain at the pSPA because it is a high-quality feeding habitat (i.e. the reason why this concentration of birds is being proposed for SPA status), and so these birds are unlikely to be at risk of collision at the East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044))..
- b) Bruine Bank is high quality feeding habitat for nonbreeding piscivorous seabirds from breeding areas further north, so the birds in that pSPA are unlikely to pass through the East Anglia TWO site on migration as it lies west rather than north of the pSPA. Therefore, displacement, disturbance and barrier effect at the East Anglia TWO site will not be likely to affect birds on Bruine Bank pSPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- c) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Bruine Bank pSPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





5.3.2 Information to Support AA – Screening Matrices

Site	28												
Name of European Site:	Buchan Ne	ess to Col	lieston C	oast SPA									
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	615												
Site Features	Likely ef	ely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO											
	Collision	ollision mortality Displacement/Disturbance Barrier Effect Cumulative/In-combination											
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	
Breeding seabird assemblage, including as named features kittiwake, shag <i>Phalacrocorax aristotelis</i> , fulmar, guillemot, herring gull <i>Larus argentatus</i>		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	

- a) Buchan Ness to Collieston Coast SPA is beyond maximum foraging range of designated seabird species so has no breeding season connectivity. Proportions of these populations migrating through the East Anglia TWO site are likely to be very small relative to BDMPS see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Buchan Ness to Collieston Coast SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 29 Name of European Site: Calf of Eday SPA **Distance to East Anglia TWO** 825 (km) Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site Features Collision mortality Displacement/Disturbance **Barrier Effect** Cumulative/In-combination D D С 0 С 0 С 0 D С 0 D Breeding seabird assemblage including N (a) N (a) N (b) N (b) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (b) as named features cormorant, fulmar Fulmarus glacialis, guillemot, kittiwake

- a) Calf of Eday SPA is beyond maximum foraging range of designated seabird species so has no breeding season connectivity. Proportions of these populations migrating through the East Anglia TWO site are likely to be small relative to BDMPS (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Calf of Eday SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

and great black-backed gull





Site 30 **Cap Sizun SAC** Name of European Site: 639 **Distance to East Anglia TWO** (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Underwater noise **Vessel Interactions** Indirect effects on Changes to water In-combination quality prey С C 0 D С 0 D С 0 D 0 D С 0 D Grey seal N(a) N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 190 and 191 and Table 7.3 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

Site Name of European Site: Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	31 Chaus 430	ey SAC													
Site Features		effect(s) vater no		t Anglia T	TWO Interact	ions	Indirec	t effects	on	Change quality	es to wat	ter	In-com	bination	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	C	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Grey seal	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 190 and 191 and Table 7.3 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site Name of European Site: Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	32 Chaus 700	ssée de	Sein S	AC											
Site Features		effect(s water no		t Anglia Vessel	TWO Interact	ions	Indirec	t effects	on	Chang	es to wa	ter	In-com	bination	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	C	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Grey seal	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)
a) The distance between the	potentia	l impact	range	of East A	.nglia TV	VO and t	he exter	t of any	effect or	n individu	als from	this site	would re	sult in n	0

potential for LSE (see paragraphs 190 and 191 and Table 7.3 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

Site	33													
Name of European Site:	Chesil Bea	ch and The	Fleet SP	A & Rams	ar									
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	360 (windfa	rindfarm site) and 336 (offshore cable corridor)												
Site Features	Likely	effect(s) of	East Angl	ia TWO										
	Collis	on mortality	/	Displac	ement/Dis	turbance	Barrier I	Effect		Cumula	tive/In-cor	mbination		
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D		
Nonbreeding brent goose		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)		

a) Survey data show little or no evidence of Chesil Beach & The Fleet SPA feature (brent goose) occurring in the East Anglia TWO site, and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), none of these species/SPA features were recorded in the East Anglia TWO windfarm site.





b) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Chesil Beach & The Fleet SPA and Ramsar (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).

Site 34 Name of European Site: **Chichester and Langstone Harbours SPA & Ramsar Distance to East Anglia TWO** 245 (windfarm site) and 225 (offshore cable corridor) (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Collision mortality Displacement/Disturbance **Barrier Effect** Cumulative/In-combination С D С 0 D C 0 0 D C 0 D Migratory waterbird assemblage N (a) N (c) N (c) N (c) including as named features pintail Anas acuta, shoveler, teal, wigeon, turnstone Arenaria interpres, brent goose, sanderling Calidris alba, dunlin, ringed plover, bar-tailed godwit Limosa lapponica, red-breasted merganser Mergus serrator, curlew Numenius arquata, grey plover, shelduck Tadorna tadorna, redshank Breeding little tern, common tern, N (b) Sandwich tern

a) Survey data show little or no evidence of Chichester & Langstone Harbour SPA features occurring in the East Anglia TWO site, and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), none of these species/SPA features were recorded in the East Anglia TWO windfarm site).



East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarm 5.3.2 Information to Support AA – Screening Matrices

Site		34														
Name	of European Site:	Chichester	ster and Langstone Harbours SPA & Ramsar ndfarm site) and 225 (offshore cable corridor) ikely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO collision mortality Displacement/Disturbance Barrier Effect Cumulative/In-combinations CO D C O D C O D													
Distan (km)	ce to East Anglia TWO	245 (windfa														
Site Fe	eatures	Likely	effect(s)	of East Angli	ia TWO											
		Collis	ion mortali	ty	Displace	ement/Dis	turbance	Barrier I	Effect		Cumulat	tive/In-cor	nbination			
		С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D			
b)	Little tern, common tern an connectivity between the S Therefore, collision risk, dis	SPA and East	Anglia TV	/O site. Furt	hermore,	these spe	cies tend t	to forage	in coastal	waters rat	her than c	offshore.	no			
c)	The predicted effect attributed assessment for these features.		•				•	•)).			

Site	35														
Name of European Site:	Colne E	Estuary S	PA and R	amsar											
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	77 (win	dfarm site	e) and 55	(offshore	cable co	rridor)									
Site Features		Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Callisian martelity: Displacement/Disturbance Barrier Effect Currentetive/In combination													
		Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Collision mortality Displacement/Disturbance Barrier Effect Cumulative/In-combination													
		С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D		
Nonbreeding brent goose			N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)		
Nonbreeding redshank			N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)		
Nonbreeding hen harrier			N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)		





Site 35 Name of European Site: **Colne Estuary SPA and Ramsar** 77 (windfarm site) and 55 (offshore cable corridor) Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) Breeding pochard N (a) N (c) N (c) N (c) N (a) N (a) Breeding ringed plover N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (c) N (c) N (c) Breeding little tern N (b) N (b)

- a) Survey data show no evidence of the feature occurring in the East Anglia TWO site, and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), none of these species/SPA features were recorded in the East Anglia TWO windfarm site).
- b) Breeding little tern has a maximum foraging range of 11km from colonies, so would have no connectivity with the East Anglia TWO site. Migrating little terns are considered to be 'extremely coastal on passage with very few sightings in open ocean or inland' (Forrester et al. 2007), so are unlikely to pass through the East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Colne Estuary SPA and Ramsar (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).

Applicable to East Anglia TWO





5.3.2 Information to Support AA – Screening Matrices

Site 3	6											
Name of European Site:	Copinsay	SPA										
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 7	'89											
Site Features	Likely ef	fect(s) of	East Angli	a TWO								
	Collision	mortality		Displace	ement/Dist	turbance	Barrier E	Effect		Cumulat	ive/In-cor	mbination
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Breeding seabird assemblage including as named features fulmar, guillemot, kittiwake and great black-backed gull		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)

- a) Copinsay SPA is beyond maximum foraging range of designated seabird species so has no breeding season connectivity. Proportions of these populations migrating through the East Anglia TWO site are likely to be very small relative to BDMPS (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- b) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Copinsay SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).





Site 3	7													
Name of European Site: C	oquet Islaı	nd SPA												
Distance to East Anglia TWO 4 (km)	14													
Site Features	Likely e	ikely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO collision mortality Displacement/Disturbance Barrier Effect Cumulative/In-combination												
	Collisio	n mortality	,	Displace	ement/Dis	turbance	Barrier I	Effect		Cumulat	tive/In-cor	nbination		
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D		
Breeding roseate tern Sterna dougallii, Arctic tern, common tern, Sandwich ter	1	N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)		
Seabird assemblage of international importance		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)		

- a) Coquet Island SPA is far beyond the maximum foraging range of designated seabird species (all less than 55km) so has no breeding season connectivity. Proportions of these populations migrating through the East Anglia TWO site are likely to be very small relative to BDMPS (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- b) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Coquet Island SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).

Applicable to East Anglia TWO






Site 38 **Côte De Granit Rose-Sept-Iles SAC** Name of European Site: 512 **Distance to East Anglia TWO** (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO **Vessel Interactions** Indirect effects on Changes to water In-combination Underwater noise quality prey С 0 D С 0 D С 0 С 0 С 0 D D D Grey seal Halichoerus grypus N(a) a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no

potential for LSE (see paragraphs 190 and 191 and Table 7.3 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

Site 3	9													
Name of European Site:	romarty Fi	rth SPA 8	Ramsar											
Distance to East Anglia TWO 7 (km)	16 (windfar	m site) aı	nd (offsho	ore cable	corridor)									
Site Features	Likely ef	ly effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Displacement/Disturbance Barrier Effect Cumulative/In-combination												
	Collision	y effect(s) of East Anglia TWO sion mortality Displacement/Disturbance Barrier Effect Cumulative/In-combination												
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D		
Wintering and passage waterbird assemblage including as named features curlew, dunlin, grey-lag goose <i>Anser anser</i> , pintail, red-breasted merganser, whooper swan, bar-tailed		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (d)	N (d)	N (d)		








- a) Survey data show little or no evidence of Cromarty Firth SPA features occurring in the East Anglia TWO site, and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), none of these species/SPA features were recorded in the East Anglia TWO windfarm site).
- b) SPA is beyond maximum foraging range of common tern (30km), and so has no breeding season connectivity. Numbers of SPA common tern migrating through the East Anglia TWO site are likely to be extremely small relative to BDMPS (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- c) Osprey has not been observed in the East Anglia TWO site, and it is improbable than any ospreys from the SPA migrate through the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), none of these species/SPA features were recorded in the East Anglia TWO windfarm site).
- d) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Cromarty Firth SPA and Ramsar (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).







- a) Survey data show little or no evidence of Crouch & Roach Estuary SPA feature (brent goose) occurring in the East Anglia TWO site, and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), none of these species/SPA features were recorded in the East Anglia TWO windfarm site).
- b) Survey data show no evidence of Crouch & Roach Estuary SPA feature (hen harrier) occurring in the East Anglia TWO site, and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), none of these species/SPA features were recorded in the East Anglia TWO windfarm site).
- c) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Crouch & Roach Estuary SPA and Ramsar (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).

Applicable to East Anglia TWO

Page 60





Site 41 Name of European Site: **Deben Estuary SPA & Ramsar Distance to East Anglia TWO** 250 (windfarm site) and 20 (offshore cable corridor) (km) Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site Features Collision mortality Displacement/Disturbance **Barrier Effect** Cumulative/In-combination 0 D 0 D С 0 D С 0 D Nonbreeding dark-bellied brent goose N (a) N (c) N (c) N (c) Branta bernicla bernicla N (b) Nonbreeding avocet N (c) N (c) N (c)

- a) Survey data show little or no evidence of Deben Estuary SPA features (brent goose) occurring in the East Anglia TWO site, and migrations of birds from the SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), this species was not recorded in the East Anglia TWO windfarm site).
- b) Survey data show no evidence of avocets occurring within the East Anglia TWO site, and numbers migrating through the site are likely to be negligible (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), this species was not recorded in the East Anglia TWO windfarm site)...
- c) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Deben Estuary SPA and Ramsar (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).





Site 42 Name of European Site: **Dengie SPA & Ramsar** 87 (windfarm site) and 66 (offshore cable corridor) **Distance to East Anglia TWO** (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Collision mortality Displacement/Disturbance Cumulative/In-combination **Barrier Effect** С D С 0 С 0 D 0 D 0 D Nonbreeding brent goose N (a) N (b) N (b) N (b) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (b) Nonbreeding knot N (a) N (a) N (a) N (b) N (b) Nonbreeding grey plover N (a) N (b) N (b) N (b) N (a) N (a) Nonbreeding hen harrier N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (b) N (b) N (b)

- a) Survey data show little or no evidence of Dengie SPA features (brent goose, knot, grey plover, hen harrier) occurring in the East Anglia TWO site, and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), none of these species/SPA features were recorded in the East Anglia TWO windfarm site)..
- b) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Dengie SPA and Ramsar (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).

Applicable to East Anglia TWO

Page 62





Site 43 **Doggerbank SCI** Name of European Site: 365 **Distance to East Anglia TWO** (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Underwater noise **Vessel Interactions** Indirect effects on Changes to water In-combination quality prey С 0 С 0 D С 0 D С 0 D D С 0 D Harbour porpoise Phocoena N(a) phocoena Harbour seal Phoca vitulina N(a) N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraph 219 and 220 for harbour seal and paragraphs 168 and 169 for harbour porpoise of the HRA Screening Report. (APP-044)).





Site Name of European Site: Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	44 Dogge 232	ersbanl	SAC												
Site Features		effect(s water n		t Anglia Vessel	TWO Interact	ions	Indired	t effects	on	Chang quality	es to wa	iter	In-com	bination	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Harbour porpoise <i>Phocoena</i> phocoena	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)
Grey seal Halichoerus grypus	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)
Harbour seal <i>Phoca vitulina</i>	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraph 219 and 220 for harbour seal, paragraphs 190 and 191 for grey seal and paragraphs 168 and 169 for harbour porpoise of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044))..

Applicable to **East Anglia TWO**





Site 45

Name of European Site: Dornoch Firth and Loch Fleet SPA & Ramsar

Distance to East Anglia TWO 722 (windfarm site) and 714 (offshore cable corridor)

(km)

Site Features	Likely ef	fect(s) of I	East Angli	a TWO								
	Collision	mortality		Displace	ement/Dist	turbance	Barrier E	Effect		Cumulat	tive/In-con	nbination
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Wintering and passage waterbird assemblage including as named features curlew, dunlin, greylag goose, wigeon, bar-tailed godwit, teal, oystercatcher		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)
Breeding osprey		N (b)		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)

- a) Survey data show little or no evidence of these features occurring in the East Anglia TWO site, and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), none of these species/SPA features were recorded in the East Anglia TWO windfarm site)..
- b) Osprey has not been observed in the East Anglia TWO site, and it is improbable than any ospreys from the SPA migrate through the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), none of these species/SPA features were recorded in the East Anglia TWO windfarm site).
- c) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).



5.3.2 Information to Support AA – Screening Matrices

Site	46														
Name of European Site:	Dorno	ch Firth	n and M	orrich M	lore SA	c									
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	766														
Site Features	Likely	effect(s)	of Eas	t Anglia	ΓWO										
	Under	water no	oise	Vessel	Interact	ions	Indirec prey	t effects	on	Change quality	es to wa	ter	In-com	bination	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Harbour seal Phoca vitulina	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).



5.3.2 Information to Support AA – Screening Matrices

47 Dråby	Vig SA	С												
642														
Likely	effect(s)	of Eas	t Anglia ⁻	ΓWΟ										
Underv	water no	oise	Vessel	Interact	ons	Indirec prey	t effects	on	Change quality	es to wa	ter	In-com	bination	
С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)
	Dråby 642 Likely (Underv	Dråby Vig SA 642 Likely effect(s) Underwater no	Dråby Vig SAC 642 Likely effect(s) of East Underwater noise C O D	Dråby Vig SAC 642 Likely effect(s) of East Anglia Underwater noise C O D C	Dråby Vig SAC 642 Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Underwater noise Vessel Interaction C O D C O	Dråby Vig SAC 642 Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Underwater noise Vessel Interactions C O D C O D	Dråby Vig SAC 642 Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Underwater noise	Dråby Vig SAC 642 Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Underwater noise	Dråby Vig SAC 642 Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Underwater noise	Dråby Vig SAC 642 Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Underwater noise	Dråby Vig SAC 642 Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Underwater noise	Dråby Vig SAC 642 Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Underwater noise	Dråby Vig SAC 642 Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Underwater noise	Dråby Vig SAC 642 Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Underwater noise

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraph 219 and 220 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).







Site Name of European Site:	48 Düner	ılandsc	haft Sü	d-Sylt S <i>i</i>	AC										
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	486														
Site Features	Likely	ely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO													
	Under	water no	oise	Vessel	Interact	ions	Indired prey	t effects	on	Change quality	es to wat	ter	In-com	bination	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Grey seal Halichoerus grypus	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 190 and 191 and Table 7.3 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).

Applicable to East Anglia TWO





Site 49 Name of European Site: **Dunes De La Plaine Maritime Flamande SAC** Distance to East Anglia TWO 106 (windfarm site) and 118 (offshore cable corridor) (km) **Marine Mammals** Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Vessel Interactions Changes to water Underwater noise Indirect effects on In-combination quality prey С 0 С 0 D 0 D 0 D D O D N(a) N(a) N(a) N(a) N(a) N(a) N(a) N(a) N(a) Harbour seal N(a) N(a) N(a) N(a) N(a) **Benthic Habitats** Site Features Permanent loss Re- mobilisation of Underwater noise and In-combination Temporary physical Smothering due to disturbance increased suspended contaminate d vibration sediment sediments 0 С 0 С 0 D С 0 0 D D D D 0 D Sandbanks which are N (b) slightly covered by sea water all the time N (b) Mudflats and sandflats N (b) not covered by seawater at low tide





Site 49

Name of European Site: Dunes De La Plaine Maritime Flamande SAC

Distance to East Anglia TWO 106 (windfarm site) and 118 (offshore cable corridor)

(km)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the site is beyond that of potential for direct or indirect effects (see paragraph 219 and 220 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).

b) As it has been agreed through the scoping and Evidence Plan Process (EPP) (see Appendix 9.1 (APP-458) that transboundary effects are scoped out for EIA (given the distance to sites in other Members States jurisdictions) these have also been screened out from consideration for HRA purposes.





Site 50 Name of European Site: **East Caithness Cliffs SPA Distance to East Anglia TWO** 741

(km)

Site Features	Likely ef	fect(s) of I	East Angli	a TWO								
	Collision	mortality		Displace	ment/Dist	urbance	Barrier E	Effect		Cumulat	ive/In-con	nbination
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Breeding seabird assemblage including as named features cormorant, guillemot, herring gull, puffin <i>Fratercula arctica</i> , razorbill, shag, fulmar and great black-backed gull		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)
Breeding peregrine Falco peregrinus		N (b)		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)

- a) East Caithness Cliffs SPA is beyond maximum foraging range of designated seabird species so has no breeding season connectivity. Proportions of these populations migrating through the East Anglia TWO site are small relative to BDMPS (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- b) Peregrines breeding in the UK normally remain close to their breeding areas throughout the year, and are therefore very unlikely to migrate offshore (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- c) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at East Caithness Cliffs SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).





Site 51

Name of European Site: Essex Estuaries SAC

Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 77 (windfarm site) 55 (offshore

cable corridor)

Benthic Features

Site Features	Likely	effect(s)	of East	Anglia 7	ΓWO													
	Perma	anent lo	SS	Temp physic distur	cal		Smoth increa suspe sedim	ended	ue to		nobilisati minated ents			rwater n ibration		In-cor	nbinatio	n
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Estuaries	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)		N (a)	N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)
Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)		N (a)	N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)

a) The distance between the offshore project area and the designated site is beyond the range of any potential LSE. Indirect far-field effects are limited to 1km of the works and for the duration of 1 tidal cycle (see paragraph 118 of APP-044).

Applicable to East Anglia TWO





Site	51														
Name of European Site:	Essex	Estuar	ies SA(
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	77 (wi	ndfarm	site) 55	(offsho	re cable	corrido	r)								
Marine Mammals															
Site Features	Likely	kely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO													
	Under	water no	oise	Vessel	Interacti	ions	Indirec prey	t effects	on	Change quality	es to wat	ter	In-com	bination	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Harbour seal Phoca vitulina	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 219 and 220 and Table 7.3 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site Name of European Site:	SAC	re De L	a Canc	he, Dune	es Picar	des Plac	լuees Տւ	ır L'anci	enne Fa	ılaise, Fo	oret D'ha	rdelot E	t Falaise	D'equil	nen
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	155														
Site Features	Likely	y effect(s) of East Anglia TWO													
	Under	water no	oise	Vessel	Interacti	ons	Indirect	t effects	on	Change quality	es to wat	er	In-com	bination	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Harbour seal Phoca vitulina	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 219 and 220 and Table 7.3 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site Name of European Site:	53 Estuai	re de la	Seine	SCI											
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	309														
Site Features		effect(s) water no		t Anglia Vessel	TWO Interact	ions	Indired	t effects	on	Change quality	es to wa	ter	In-com	bination	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Harbour seal <i>Phoca vitulina</i>	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 219 and 220 and Table 7.3 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





54 Site

Name of European Site: Estuaires et littoral picards (baies de Somme et d'Authie) SAC

Distance to East Anglia TWO 189 (windfarm site) and 199 (offshore cable corridor)

(km)

Marine Mammals

Site Features	Likely e	ffect(s) o	f East An	glia TWO	1										
	Underw	ater nois	е	Vessel	Interactio	ns	Indirect	effects or	n prey	Change	s to wate	r quality	In-comb	ination	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Grey seal Halichoerus grypus	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)
Harbour seal <i>Phoca</i> vitulina	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)

Fish

Site	Likely	effect(s) of Ea	ast Ang	lia TW0)															
Features	Perm loss	anent h	nabitat	Temp physic distur	-		Smoth increasuspe suspe sedim	ended	due to		nobilisa ntamina nents			water i			omagn (EMF)	etic	In-cor	nbinatio	on
	C O D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D		
River lamprey	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 190 and 191, paragraphs 219 and 220 and Table 7.3 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 54

Name of European Site: Estuaires et littoral picards (baies de Somme et d'Authie) SAC

Distance to East Anglia TWO 189 (windfarm site) and 199 (offshore cable corridor)

(km)

b) It was agreed as part of the East Anglia TWO Scoping Report that transboundary impacts on fish would be scoped out of the EIA. We have therefore screened them out from consideration in the HRA and Evidence Plan Process (EPP) Appendix 10.1 (APP-462).





5.3.2 Information to Support AA – Screening Matrices

Site	55											
Name of European Site:	Exe Estuar	y SPA & R	lamsar									
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	416 (windfa	rm site) a	nd 390 (o	ffshore ca	able corri	dor)						
Site Features	Likely e	ikely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO										
	Collisio	Collision mortality Displacement/Disturbance Barrier Effect Cumulative/In-combination										
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Migratory waterbird assemblage including as named features brent goose, dunlin, oystercatcher, blacktailed godwit, grey plover, Slavonian grebe <i>Podiceps auritus</i> , avocet		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)

- a) Survey data show little or no evidence of Exe Estuary SPA features occurring in the East Anglia TWO site, and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), none of these species/SPA features were recorded in the East Anglia TWO windfarm site) (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Exe Estuary SPA & Ramsar (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 56

Name of European Site: Fair Isle SPA

Distance to East Anglia TWO 830

(KM)												
Site Features	Likely ef	fect(s) of I	East Anglia	a TWO								
	Collision	mortality		Displace	ment/Dist	urbance	Barrier E	Effect		Cumulat	ive/In-com	bination
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Breeding seabird assemblage including as named features Arctic skua Stercorarius parasiticus, fulmar, gannet, great skua Stercorarius skua, puffin, razorbill, Arctic tern, guillemot, kittiwake, shag		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)
Fair Isle wren		N (b)		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)

- a) Fair Isle SPA is beyond maximum foraging range of designated seabird species so has no breeding season connectivity. Proportions of these populations migrating through the East Anglia TWO site are likely to be very small relative to BDMPS (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) Fair Isle wren is a resident Shetland subspecies that is thought never to leave the island (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- c) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Fair Isle SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site	57											
Name of European Site:	Falaise du	Bessin C	occidenta	SPA								
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	365											
Site Features	Likely e	ffect(s) of	East Angl	ia TWO								
	Collision	n mortality		Displac	ement/Dis	sturbance	Barrier	Effect		Cumula	ative/In-co	mbination
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Breeding kittiwake, herring gull, lesser black-backed gull, fulmar		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (g)	N (g)	N (g)
Nonbreeding red-throated diver		N (b)		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (g)	N (g)	N (g)
Nonbreeding cormorant, shag, red- breasted merganser		N (c)		N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (g)	N (g)	N (g)
Nonbreeding guillemot, razorbill		N (d)		N (d)	N (d)	N (d)	N (d)	N (d)	N (d)	N (g)	N (g)	N (g)
Nonbreeding peregrine, short-eared owl Asio flammeus		N (e)		N (e)	N (e)	N (e)	N (e)	N (e)	N (e)	N (g)	N (g)	N (g)
Breeding Dartford warbler Sylvia undata		N (f)		N (f)	N (f)	N (f)	N (f)	N (f)	N (f)	N (f)	N (f)	N (f)

- a) The SPA is far beyond maximum foraging range of designated seabird species so has no breeding season connectivity. Proportions of these populations migrating through the East Anglia TWO site are likely to be extremely small relative to BDMPS, as these species are likely to migrate into the Atlantic rather than northwards into the North Sea in autumn, and are unlikely to pass through the North Sea in spring (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044))..
- b) Nonbreeding red-throated divers at this SPA are likely to include birds from Scandinavia and the UK, especially juveniles which winter further south than adults. Their migrations between breeding grounds and the SPA probably take most individuals along the continental coast of Europe rather than across the North Sea. Small numbers may cross the North Sea towards the UK or Icelandic breeding grounds. However, red-throated divers tend to fly low over the sea so will be at very low risk of collision. Red-throated divers strongly avoid disturbance and offshore wind farms and so may have to fly further by flying around the East Anglia TWO site rather than through the wind farm. However, in the context of a migration of over





Site	57											
Name of European Site:	Falaise du	Bessin O	ccidental	SPA								
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	365											
Site Features	Likely ef	fect(s) of l	East Angli	a TWO								
	Collision	mortality		Displace	ement/Dist	urbance	Barrier E	Effect		Cumulat	ive/In-com	nbination
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D

1000km, the extra distance flown to pass an offshore wind farm represents a negligible increase in energy expenditure for the very few individuals that might be affected (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

- c) Cormorants, shags and red-breasted mergansers do not normally occur at the East Anglia TWO site based on bird survey data (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470). Furthermore, these species tend to fly low over the sea and so would be at negligible risk of collision, and do not show displacement or barrier effects. Indeed, cormorants seem to benefit from offshore wind farm structures permitting them to extend foraging range offshore, and the same may be true for shag and red-breasted merganser which may also benefit from foraging opportunities around turbine bases.
- d) Nonbreeding guillemots and razorbills fly low over the sea and so are at very low risk of collision. However, they are partially displaced from offshore wind farms and may fly around rather than through offshore wind farms. A very small proportion of the guillemots and razorbills from this SPA might migrate through the East Anglia TWO site towards breeding areas further north, but the area of foraging habitat lost to these birds if they avoid the East Anglia TWO site would be negligible in relation to the wider area of the North Sea and Channel over which they forage, and the increase in migration distance to fly around rather than through the wind farm would be negligible in relation to a migration distance of hundreds of kilometres (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- e) Peregrines in western Europe do not normally migrate, so would be extremely unlikely to move between this SPA and the East Anglia TWO site. Short-eared owls are more migratory, and sometimes cross the North Sea, but since this SPA is 445km from the East Anglia TWO site, the chances of a short-eared owl from the SPA passing through the East Anglia TWO site are extremely small (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- f) Dartford warbler is a resident species that is unlikely to move from this SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- g) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Falaise du Bessin Occidental SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 58

Name of

Falaises du Cran aux Oeufs et du Cap Gris-Nez, Dunes du Chatelet, Marais de Tardinghen et Dunes de Wissant SAC

Europea n Site:

Distanc e to

131 (windfarm site) and 141 (offshore cable corridor)

East **Anglia** TWO (km)

Marine Mammals

Site	Likely	effect(s)	of East A	Anglia TV	VO										
Feature s	Under	water no	ise	Vesse	l Interact	tions	Indired prey	t effects	on	Chang quality		water	In-com	nbination	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Harbour porpoise <i>Phocoena</i> <i>phocoena</i>	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)
Grey seal Halichoer us grypus	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)
Harbour seal <i>Phoca</i> <i>vitulina</i>	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)

Benthic Habitats

Site Feature s	Permai	nent loss		Tempo disturb	rary phys ance	sical	Smother increase sedimen	d suspe			obilisa Itamina Ients		Underv and vib			In-co	mbinat	ion
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D

58

Site

e to **East Anglia**

Name of

Europea n Site: Distanc



131 (windfarm site) and 141 (offshore cable corridor)



Falaises du Cran aux Oeufs et du Cap Gris-Nez, Dunes du Chatelet, Marais de Tardinghen et Dunes de Wissant SAC

TWO (km)																
Sandbank s which are slightly covered by sea water all the time	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	, С Э С	N (b)
Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)
Reefs	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 219 and 220 for harbour seal, paragraphs 190 and 191 for grey seal and paragraphs 168 and 169 for harbour porpoise of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 58

Name of Falaises du Cran aux Oeufs et du Cap Gris-Nez, Dunes du Chatelet, Marais de Tardinghen et Dunes de Wissant SAC

Europea n Site:

Distanc 131 (windfarm site) and 141 (offshore cable corridor)

e to East Anglia TWO (km)

b) As it has been agreed through the scoping and Evidence Plan Process (EPP) (see Appendix 9.1 (APP-458) process that transboundary effects are scoped out for EIA (given the distance to sites in other Members States jurisdictions) these have also been screened out from consideration for HRA purposes..

Site Name of European Site:	59 Faray	and Ho	lm of Fa	aray SA	С										
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	826														
Site Features	Likely	effect(s)	of Eas	t Anglia	TWO										
	Under	water no	oise	Vessel	Interact	ions	Indired prey	t effects	on	Change quality	es to wa	ter	In-com	bination	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Grey seal Halichoerus grypus	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 190 and 191 and Table 7.3 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).



5.3.2 Information to Support AA – Screening Matrices

Site	60											
Name of European Site:	Farne Is	lands SP	A									
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	442											
Site Features	Likely ef	fect(s) of I	East Anglia	a TWO								
	Collision	mortality		Displace	ement/Dis	turbance	Barrier	Effect		Cumula	tive/In-co	mbination
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Breeding Arctic tern, common tern, Sandwich tern		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)
Roseate Tern		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)
Guillemot		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)
Seabird Assemblage		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)

- a) Farne Islands SPA is beyond maximum foraging range of these designated seabird species so has no breeding season connectivity. Proportions of these populations migrating through the East Anglia TWO site are likely to be very small relative to BDMPS (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- b) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Farne Islands SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).





Site 61

Name of European Site: Fetlar SPA

Distance to East Anglia TWO 932

(km)

Site Features	Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TV	NO
	0 111 1 111	

	_	` '	ŭ									
	Collision	mortality		Displace	ment/Dist	urbance	Barrier E	ffect		Cumulat	ive/In-com	nbination
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Breeding seabird assemblage including as named features Arctic skua, fulmar, great skua, Arctic tern		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)
Breeding dunlin, whimbrel <i>Numenius</i> phaeopus, red-necked phalarope <i>Phalaropus lobatus</i>		N (b)		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)

- a) Fetlar SPA is beyond the maximum foraging range of designated seabird species so has no breeding season connectivity. Proportions of these populations migrating through the East Anglia TWO site are small relative to BDMPS (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- b) Dunlin, whimbrel and red-necked phalarope have not been observed migrating through the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470). Red-necked phalaropes from Fetlar SPA have been tracked by geolocator and migrate from Shetland to the Pacific Ocean via Iceland, Greenland and Canada, and so would not pass near to East Anglia TWO (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044). Dunlin and whimbrel from Fetlar SPA migrate south, but are unlikely to pass through the East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- c) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Fetlar SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).





Site 62

Name of European Site: Firth of Forth SPA & Ramsar

Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 511 (windfarm site) and 501 (offshore cable corridor)

Site Features	Likely ef	fect(s) of I	East Angli	a TWO								
	Collision	mortality		Displace	ment/Dist	urbance	Barrier E	Effect		Cumulat	ive/In-com	nbination
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Wintering and passage waterbird assemblage including as named features curlew, dunlin, goldeneye, great crested grebe, knot, lapwing, mallard Anas platyrhynchos, pinkfooted goose Anser brachyrhynchus, red-breasted merganser, ringed plover, Sandwich tern, Slavonian grebe, turnstone, wigeon, common scoter Melanitta nigra, golden plover, longtailed duck Clangula hyemalis, redshank, shelduck, bar-tailed godwit, cormorant, eider Somateria mollissima, grey plover, oystercatcher, red-throated diver, scaup, velvet scoter Melanitta fusca		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)

- a) Migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site during migration. Therefore, proportions of these populations migrating through the East Anglia TWO site are likely to be extremely small relative to BDMPS (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- b) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Firth of Forth SPA & Ramsar (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).





Site 63 Name of European Site: Firth of Tay & Eden Estuary SPA & Ramsar **Distance to East Anglia TWO** 551 (windfarm site) and 542 (offshore cable corridor) (km) Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site Features Collision mortality Displacement/Disturbance **Barrier Effect** Cumulative/In-combination С 0 D С 0 D С 0 D С 0 D N (a) N (a) Wintering and passage waterbird N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (d) N (d) N (d) N (a) assemblage including as named features common scoter, cormorant, eider, goosander Mergus merganser, grey plover, long-tailed duck, redbreasted merganser, sanderling, velvet scoter, dunlin, greylag goose, redshank, oystercatcher, bar-tailed godwit, goldeneye, Icelandic blacktailed godwit Limosa limosa islandica, pink-footed goose N (b) Breeding marsh harrier N (b) Breeding little tern N (c) N (c)

Applicable to East Anglia TWO

a) Survey data show little or no evidence of Firth of Tay & Eden Estuary SPA features occurring in the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).





- b) Marsh harrier is a migrant species. Satellite tracking suggests that marsh harriers from Scotland migrate overland to the south coast of England and over the Channel to France, rather than across the North Sea, and so are unlikely to pass through the East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- c) Breeding little tern has a maximum foraging range of 11km from colonies, so would have no connectivity with the East Anglia TWO site. Migrating little terns are considered to be 'extremely coastal on passage with very few sightings in open ocean or inland' (Forrester et al. 2007), so are unlikely to pass through the East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- d) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Firth of Tay & Eden Estuary SPA & Ramsar (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)..

Site 64

Name of European Site: Firth of Tay & Eden Estuary

SAC

Distance to East Anglia TWO

548

(km)

()															
Site Features	Likely e	effect(s)	of East A	nglia TV	VO										
	Under	derwater noise Vessel interactions Indirect effects on Changes to water In-combination prey quality													
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Harbour seal Phoca vitulina	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 219 and 220 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 65 Name of European Site: Flamborough and Filey Coast SPA 248 **Distance to East Anglia TWO** (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Collision mortality Displacement/Disturbance **Barrier Effect** Cumulative/In-combination D С 0 С 0 D С 0 D С 0 D Breeding kittiwake Y (a) N (b) N (b) N (b) N (b) N (b) N (b) N (c) Y (a) N (c) Y (a) N (e) Breeding gannet N (d) Y (d) N (d) N (e) N (e) N (c) Y (a) N (c) Breeding common guillemot N (f) N (g) Y (h) N (g) N (i) N (g) Y (h) N (c) N (g) N (c) N (f) N (g) Y (h) N (g) N (g) N (i) Breeding razorbill N (g) N (c) Y (h) N (c) Breeding puffin N (f) N (g) Y (h) N (g) N (g) N (i) N (g) N (c) Y (h) N (c) N (f) N (g) Y (h) N (g) N (g) Seabird assemblage N (i) N (g) N (c) Y (h) N (c)

- a) Band model estimates of collision mortality indicate that LSE cannot be ruled out at the Screening stage (see Appendix 12.1 Annex 4 (APP-470)).
- b) Kittiwakes are not considered to be at risk of disturbance and displacement or barrier effects at offshore wind farms therefore LSE can be ruled out.
- c) The predicted effect attributable to the proposed East Anglia TWO project is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall incombination assessment for these features at Flamborough and Filey Coast SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- d) Flamborough and Filey Coast SPA is 239km from East Anglia TWO. Thaxter et al. (2012) report a mean foraging range of breeding gannets as 92.5km, and a maximum recorded distance of 590km. East Anglia TWO is therefore considerably beyond the mean foraging range of breeding gannets, but within their maximum range (see paragraph 269 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044). Breeding gannets from Flamborough & Filey Coast SPA may therefore be affected by displacement (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044). Searle et al. (2014) found that even for offshore wind farms considerably closer to a gannet breeding colony than under consideration here, impacts of displacement were negligible for this species because of its very long foraging range and large area used for foraging. Similarly, impacts of displacement during migration are considered likely to be negligible. Nonetheless, Natural England consider that an LSE cannot be ruled out at the Screening stage.





Site 65

Name of European Site: Flamborough and Filey Coast SPA

Distance to East Anglia TWO 248

(km)

- e) Gannets are not considered at risk of barrier effects due to their wide ranging habits (see (d)), and migrating gannets cover very large distances, extending from the North Sea to West Africa, so that slight local effects would be negligible in the context of their large migrations and area use, therefore LSE can be ruled out.
- f) Common guillemots, razorbills and puffins tend to fly low over the sea so have a very low risk of collision mortality, therefore LSE can be ruled out (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- g) Construction and decommissioning impacts are temporary and localised therefore LSE can be ruled out.
- h) Flamborough and Filey Coast SPA is 216km from East Anglia TWO. Thaxter et al. (2012) report a mean foraging range of breeding common guillemots as 37.8km, and a maximum recorded distance of 135km. Thaxter et al. (2012) report a mean foraging range of breeding puffin as 4km, and a maximum recorded distance of 95km. Thaxter et al. (2012) report a mean foraging range of breeding puffin as 4km, and a maximum recorded distance of 200km. East Anglia TWO is therefore considerably beyond the normal foraging range of these species from Flamborough and Filey Coast SPA. It is therefore unlikely that any breeding adults from Flamborough and Filey Coast SPA will be present at East Anglia TWO during the breeding season. During the nonbreeding season, birds from Flamborough and Filey Coast SPA are likely to be mixed with the large BDMPS populations of these species so that apportioning of the impact of the low level of displacement mortality generates a negligible impact to Flamborough and Filey Coast SPA. Nonetheless, Natural England consider that an LSE cannot be ruled out at the Screening stage (see paragraph 271 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- i) Since East Anglia TWO is beyond the normal foraging range of breeding common guillemots, razorbills and puffins from Flamborough and Filey Coast SPA, there will be no breeding season barrier impact for those populations. During the nonbreeding period birds from Flamborough and Filey Coast SPA are likely to be mixed with the large BDMPS populations of these species so that apportioning of the impact of the low level of displacement to this very large BDMPS population apportions a negligible impact to Flamborough and Filey Coast SPA (see paragraph 269 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)..







Site Name of Eu Distance to (km)			o		_	Head S <i>i</i> cable co												
Site	Likely 6	effect(s)	of East /	Anglia T\	WO													
Features					orary ph cance	ysical	Smoth increa suspe sedim	nded	ue to		nobilisation minated ents	on of		water naibration	oise	In-con	nbinatior	1
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Submerged or partially submerged sea caves	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)		N (a)	N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)

a) The distance between the offshore project area and the designated site is beyond the range of any potential LSE. Indirect far-field effects are limited to 1km of the works and for the duration of 1 tidal cycle (see paragraph 118 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044))





Site 67 Name of European Site: Fo	orth Island	s SPA										
Distance to East Anglia TWO 51 (km)	9											
Site Features	Likely ef	ffect(s) of	East Angli	a TWO								
	Collision	mortality		Displace	ement/Dist	turbance	Barrier E	Effect		Cumulat	tive/In-con	nbination
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Breeding seabird assemblage including as named features gannet, kittiwake, lesser black-backed gull, roseate tern, Sandwich tern, guillemot, razorbill, fulmar, common tern, Arctic tern, cormorant, herring gull, puffin, shag		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)

- a) Forth Islands SPA is beyond maximum foraging range of designated seabird species so has no breeding season connectivity. Proportions of these populations migrating through the East Anglia TWO site are small relative to BDMPS (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Forth Islands SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 68 Name of European Site: **Foula SPA** Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 902 Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site Features Collision mortality Displacement/Disturbance **Barrier Effect** Cumulative/In-combination С 0 D С С 0 0 D 0 D Breeding seabird assemblage including N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (b) N (b) N (b) N (a) as named features Arctic tern, fulmar, guillemot, razorbill, red-throated diver, Arctic skua, kittiwake, shag, Leach's storm-petrel Oceanodroma leucorhoa, great skua, puffin

- a) Foula SPA is beyond maximum foraging range of designated seabird species so has no breeding season connectivity. Proportions of these populations migrating through the East Anglia TWO site are small relative to BDMPS (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Foula SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

Applicable to East Anglia TWO

Page 94





Site 69 Name of European Site: **Foulness SPA and Ramsar** Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 85 (windfarm site) and 69 (offshore cable corridor) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Collision mortality Displacement/Disturbance Cumulative/In-combination **Barrier Effect** C 0 D С \circ 0 D С 0 D Wintering and passage waterbird N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (e) N (e) N (a) N (e) assemblage including as named features brent goose, knot, oystercatcher, bar-tailed godwit, grey plover, avocet, redshank Nonbreeding hen harrier N (b) N (e) N (e) N (e) N (c) Breeding ringed plover, avocet N (c) Breeding little tern, common tern, N (d) Sandwich tern

- a) Survey data show little or no evidence of Foulness SPA features occurring in the East Anglia TWO site, and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site during migration (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470).
- b) Survey data show no evidence of hen harrier occurring in the East Anglia TWO site, and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site during migration, as the species is likely to migrate overland rather than over sea where the option is available (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), none of these species/SPA features were recorded in the East Anglia TWO windfarm site) (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044))..
- c) Ringed plover and avocet have not been observed during bird site-specific surveys. It is highly unlikely that these birds would migrate through the East Anglia TWO site as their migration is likely to take a coastal route and cross sea at narrow points such as The English Channel. If they did migrate through the East Anglia TWO site their flight height is likely not to be at collision risk height (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 69

Name of European Site: Foulness SPA and Ramsar

Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 85 (windfarm site) and 69 (offshore cable corridor)

d) Little tern, common tern and Sandwich tern have maximum foraging ranges from colonies of 11km, 30km and 54km respectively, so there is no connectivity between the SPA and East Anglia TWO site. Furthermore, these species tend to forage in coastal waters rather than offshore.

Therefore, collision risk, displacement and barrier effects can be excluded (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

e) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Foulness SPA and Ramsar (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

Applicable to East Anglia TWO

Page 96



5.3.2 Information to Support AA – Screening Matrices

Site 7	0											
Name of European Site:	owlsheug	gh SPA										
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	80											
Site Features	Likely ef	fect(s) of	East Angli	a TWO								
	Collision	mortality		Displace	ement/Dist	urbance	Barrier E	ffect		Cumulat	tive/In-cor	mbination
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Breeding seabird assemblage including as named features fulmar, guillemot, kittiwake, razorbill, herring gull		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)

- a) Fowlsheugh SPA is beyond maximum foraging range of designated seabird species so has no breeding season connectivity. Proportions of these populations migrating through the East Anglia TWO site are likely to be very small relative to BDMPS (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Fowlsheugh SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

Applicable to East Anglia TWO





Site 7	71												
Name of European Site:	Frisian Front SPA												
Distance to East Anglia TWO 183 (km)													
Site Features	Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO												
	Collision mortality			Displace	ement/Dist	urbance	Barrier Effect			Cumulative/In-combination			
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	
Nonbreeding common guillemot, great skua, great black-backed gull, lesser black-backed gull		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	

- a) Migrations of birds from this pSPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site during migration relative to the size of BDMPS regional populations (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Frisian Front pSPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 72 Name of European Site: **Gibraltar Point SPA and Ramsar** Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 149 Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site Features Cumulative/In-combination Collision mortality Displacement/Disturbance **Barrier Effect** С 0 D 0 C 0 D С 0 D Nonbreeding sanderling, bar-tailed N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (c) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (c) N (c) godwit, grey plover N (b) N (b) Breeding little tern N (b) N (b)

- a) Survey data show no evidence of Gibraltar Point SPA features (sanderling, bar-tailed godwit, grey plover) occurring in the East Anglia TWO site, (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site during migration (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) Breeding little tern has a maximum foraging range of 11km (Thaxter et al. 2012) from colonies, so would have no connectivity with the East Anglia TWO site. Migrating little terns are considered to be 'extremely coastal on passage with very few sightings in open ocean or inland' (Forrester et al. 2007), so are unlikely to pass through the East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- c) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Gibraltar Point SPA and Ramsar (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

Applicable to East Anglia TWO

Page 99





Site 73 Name of European Site: **Great Yarmouth and North Denes SPA** Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 43 (windfarm site) and 34 (offshore cable corridor) Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site Features Displacement/Disturbance Cumulative/In-combination Collision mortality Barrier Effect С 0 D С С 0 D С 0 D 0 D Breeding little tern N (a) N (a) N (a) N (b) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (b) N (b)

- a) Great Yarmouth & North Denes SPA is beyond the maximum foraging range of little tern (11km) and foraging tends to be coastal so has no breeding season connectivity. Proportions of this population migrating through the East Anglia TWO site are likely to be small as the species is thought to remain close to shore during much of its migration through UK waters (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044))..
- b) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Great Yarmouth & North Denes SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

Applicable to East Anglia TWO





Site 74

Name of European Site: Greater Wash SPA

Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 38 (windfarm site) and 24 (offshore cable corridor)

Site Features	Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO													
	Collision mortality		Displace	ement/Dis	turbance	Barrier Effect			Cumulative/In-combination					
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D		
Breeding seabirds (little tern, common tern, Sandwich tern)		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)		
Nonbreeding red-throated diver		N (b)		Y (c)	Y (i)	N (d)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	Y (c)	Y (i)	N (h)		
Nonbreeding little gull		Y (e)		N (f)	N (f)	N (f)	N (f)	N (f)	N (f)	N (h)	Y (e)	N (h)		
Nonbreeding common scoter		N (g)		N (g)	N (g)	N (g)	N (g)	N (g)	N (g)	N (h)	N (h)	N (h)		

- a) Little tern, common tern and Sandwich tern have maximum foraging ranges from colonies of 11km, 30km and 54km respectively, which suggests potential connectivity between the SPA and the East Anglia TWO site. However, the tern colonies are at locations along the Norfolk coast which are beyond these foraging distances from the East Anglia TWO site. Therefore, connectivity between the SPA and East Anglia TWO site is ruled out on the basis of distance. Furthermore, these species tend to forage in coastal waters rather than offshore. Hence, collision risk, displacement and barrier effects can be excluded (see paragraph 273 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) Red-throated divers fly close to the sea surface and are therefore at extremely low risk of collisions or barrier effects.
- c) LSE cannot be ruled out at screening for impacts of Displacement/Disturbance to nonbreeding red-throated divers as a result of construction work (specifically for export cable laying operations through part of the Greater Wash SPA) (see paragraph 274 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- d) Displacement/Disturbance of red-throated diver during operation and decommissioning is considered negligible as the increase in vessel traffic within the SPA due to East Anglia TWO will be negligible compared to the current baseline (see paragraph 274 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- e) There is potential for little gull connectivity between the SPA and the East Anglia TWO site, therefore LSE cannot be ruled out at screening for collision risk impacts to nonbreeding little gull.





Site 74

Name of European Site: Greater Wash SPA

Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 38 (windfarm site) and 24 (offshore cable corridor)

- f) Displacement of little gulls by offshore wind farms appears to be negligible**, indicating no LSE for this SPA feature as a consequence of displacement or barrier effects (see paragraph 264 of the Information to Support Appropriate Assessment Report (APP-043)).
- g) Surveys found no common scoters in the East Anglia TWO site since this species favours waters <20m in depth (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470). Common scoter was also only present at very low densities along the export cable route, therefore no LSE for this SPA feature is predicted.
- h) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Greater Wash SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- i) Following advice from Natural England it is considered that Operations and Maintenance vessels may disturb red-throated divers whilst transiting through the SPA therefore an LSE cannot be screened out (see Appendix 12.1 (APP-469)).

Applicable to East Anglia TWO

Page 102





Site Name of European Site: Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	75 Gule F 659	Rev SCI													
Site Features		effect(s) water no		t Anglia ⁻ Vessel	TWO Interact	ions	Indirec	t effects	on	Changes to water quality			In-combination		
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Harbour porpoise <i>Phocoena</i> phocoena	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 168 and 169 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

Applicable to **East Anglia TWO**





Site Name of European Site:	76 Gullm	arsfjord	den SA0	:											
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	877														
Site Features		effect(s water no		t Anglia Vessel	TWO Interact	ions	Indired	t effects	on	Change quality	es to wa	ter	In-com	bination	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Harbour seal <i>Phoca vitulina</i>	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 219 and 220 for harbour porpoise of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 77

Haisborough, Hammond and Winterton SAC Name of European Site:

Distance to East Anglia TWO

37 (windfarm site) and 30 (offshore cable corridor)

(km)	
Site Features	Likely effect(s) of East
i caluics	Permanent

Site	Likely 6	effect(s)	of East A	Anglia T\	NO													
Features		nent troductio ediment	n of	Tempo disturb	rary phy ance	vsical		ering du sed susp ent			obilisatio ninated ents	n of	Undervand vil	vater no oration	ise	In-com	bination	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time	Y (a)	Y (a)	Y (a)	Y (a)	Y (a)	Y (a)	Y (a)	Y (a)	Y (a)	Y (a)		Y (a)	Y (a)		Y (a)	Y (a)	Y (a)	Y (a)
Reefs	Y (a)	Y (a)	Y (a)	Y (a)	Y (a)	Y (a)	Y (a)	Y (a)	Y (a)	Y (a)		Y (a)	Y (a)		Y (a)	Y (a)	Y (a)	Y (a)

a) Within range of theoretical indirect effect (sediment deposition) but effect negligible. Features are primarily sedimentary. For the purposes of HRA screening indirect far-field effects are limited to 1km of the works and for the duration of 1 tidal cycle (see paragraph 118 of APP-044).





Site Name of European Site:	78 Hamb	urgisch	ies Wat	tenmeer	SCI											
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	444															
Site Features	Likely	effect(s) of Eas	t Anglia	TWO											
	Under	ly effect(s) of East Anglia TWO erwater noise														
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	
Harbour porpoise	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	
Grey seal	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	
Harbour seal	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 219 and 220 for harbour seal, paragraphs 190 and 191 for grey seal and paragraphs 168 and 169 for harbour porpoise of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044))..





Site 79 Name of European Site: **Hamford Water SPA and Ramsar Distance to East Anglia ONE** 38 (cable corridor) North (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia ONE North Displacement/Disturbance **Barrier Effect** Cumulative/In-combination Collision mortality С 0 D D С D С 0 0 0 D Wintering and passage waterbird N (a) N (c) N (c) N (c) assemblage including as named features teal, brent goose, ringed plover, black-tailed godwit, grey plover, avocet, shelduck, redshank Breeding little tern N (b) N (b)

- a) Survey data show little or no evidence of Hamford Water SPA features occurring in the East Anglia ONE North site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia ONE North site during migration (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) Breeding little tern has a maximum foraging range of 11km from colonies, so would have no connectivity with the East Anglia ONE North site.

 Migrating little terns are considered to be 'extremely coastal on passage with very few sightings in open ocean or inland' (Forrester et al. 2007), so are unlikely to pass through the East Anglia ONE North site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- c) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia ONE North is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Hamford Water SPA and Ramsar (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 80 Name of European Site: **Havet omkring Nordre Rønner SAC Distance to East Anglia** 835 TWO (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Cumulative/In-**Underwater Noise** Vessel interactions Indirect effects on prey Changes to water quality combination 0 С С 0 D С 0 D С D 0 D Grey seal N (a) Harbour seal N (a) N (a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of Norfolk Boreas and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE ((see paragraphs 218 and 219 for harbour seal and paragraphs 189 and 190 for grey seal, of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044))





Site Name of European Site:	81 Helgo	land mi	it Helgo	lander F	elssock	el SAC									
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	428														
Site Features	Likely	effect(s) of Eas	t Anglia	TWO										
	Under	water n	oise	Vessel	Interact	ions	Indired prey	t effects	on	Chang quality	es to wa	ter	In-com	bination	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Harbour porpoise <i>Phocoena</i> phocoena	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)
Grey seal Halichoerus grypus	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)
Harbour seal <i>Phoca vitulina</i>	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 219 and 220 for harbour seal, paragraphs 190 and 191 for grey seal and paragraphs 168 and 169 for harbour porpoise of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044))..





Site 82

Name of European Site: Hermaness, Saxa Vord and Valla Field SPA

Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 954

Site Features	Likely eff	fect(s) of E	East Anglia	a TWO								
	Collision	mortality		Displace	ment/Dist	urbance	Barrier E	Effect		Cumulat	ive/In-com	nbination
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Breeding seabird assemblage including as named features gannet, guillemot, red-throated diver, puffin, fulmar, kittiwake, great skua, shag		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)

- a) Hermaness, Saxa Vord & Valla Field SPA is beyond the maximum foraging range of designated seabird species so has no breeding season connectivity. Proportions of these populations migrating through the East Anglia TWO site are likely to be very small relative to BDMPS (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Hermaness, Saxa Vord & Valla Field SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

Applicable to East Anglia TWO





Site Name of European Site:	83 Hesse	lø med	omligg	ende ste	enrev S <i>l</i>	AC										
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	976															
Site Features	Likely	effect(s	of Eas	t Anglia	TWO											
	Under	ely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO derwater noise														
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	
Grey seal Halichoerus grypus	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	
Harbour seal Phoca vitulina	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 219 and 220 for harbour seal and paragraphs 190 and 191 for grey seal of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site Name of European Site:	84 Hirsho	olmene	, havet	vest her	for og E	llinge Å'	's udløb	SAC								
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	813															
Site Features	Likely	ely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO derwater noise														
	Under															
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	
Grey seal Halichoerus grypus	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	
Harbour seal Phoca vitulina	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 219 and 220 for harbour seal and paragraphs 190 and 191 for grey seal of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).







Site	85														
Name of European Site:	Hornsea N	lere SPA													
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	235														
Site Features	Likely e	fect(s) of	East Angli	a TWO											
	Collision	n mortality		Displace	ement/Dist	urbance	Barrier E	Effect		Cumulat	ive/In-cor	nbination			
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D			
Nonbreeding gadwall, mute swan		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)			

- a) Survey data show no evidence of Hornsea Mere SPA features (gadwall, mute swan) occurring in the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site.
- b) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Hornsea Mere SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 86

Name of European Site: Hoy SPA

Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 793

Site Features	Likely ef	fect(s) of I	East Angli	a TWO								
	Collision	mortality		Displace	ement/Dist	urbance	Barrier I	Effect		Cumulat	tive/In-con	nbination
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Breeding seabird assemblage including as named features Arctic skua, great black-backed gull, guillemot, kittiwake, red-throated diver, fulmar, puffin, great skua		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)
Breeding peregrine		N (b)		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)

- a) Hoy SPA is beyond maximum foraging range of designated seabird species so has no breeding season connectivity. Proportions of these populations migrating through the East Anglia TWO site are small relative to BDMPS (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) Peregrines breeding in the UK normally remain close to their breeding areas throughout the year, and are very unlikely to migrate offshore in the UK.
- c) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Hoy SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





87 Site **Humber Estuary SAC** Name of European Site: 178 (windfarm site) and 164 (cable corridor) Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) **Marine Mammals** Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Indirect effects on prey Changes to water Underwater noise Vessel Interactions and In-combination disturbance at seal haul quality outs 0 С 0 С С С D D 0 D 0 D С 0 D Grey seal Halichoerus Y (a) grypus **Fish** Site Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Features Re- mobilisation Permanent **Temporary** Smothering due Underwater noise Electromagnetic In-combination physical fields (EMF) habitat loss to increased of contaminated and vibration disturbance suspended sediments sediment С 0 D 0 С 0 С С С 0 С D D С 0 D 0 D 0 D D Sea N(b) Lamprey Petromyzon marinus River N(b) lamprey Lampetra fluvialitis





Site 87

Name of European Site: Humber Estuary SAC

Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 178 (windfarm site) and 164 (cable corridor)

Benthic habitats

Site Features	Perm	nanent	loss	phys	porary ical rbance		to inc	hering creased ended nent			nobilisa ntamina nents			rwater vibration		In-co	mbinati	on
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Estuaries	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)
Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)
Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)
Coastal lagoons	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)

- a) Potential effects from underwater noise; vessel interactions; changes to water quality; changes to prey resources; and disturbance at seal haul-out sites cannot be ruled out (see Table 7.3 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- b) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see Table 6.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- c) The distance between the offshore project area and the designated site is beyond the range of any potential LSE. Indirect far-field effects are limited to 1km of the works and for the duration of 1 tidal cycle (see paragraph 118 of APP-044).





Site 88 Name of European Site: **Humber Estuary SPA and Ramsar** Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 178 (windfarm site) and 164 (offshore cable corridor) Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site Features Collision mortality Displacement/Disturbance **Barrier Effect** Cumulative/In-combination C 0 0 С С D С D 0 D 0 D Wintering and passage waterbird N (a) N (a) N (g) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (g) N (g) assemblage including as named features teal, wigeon, mallard, turnstone, pochard, scaup, bittern, brent goose, goldeneye, sanderling, dunlin, knot, ringed plover, oystercatcher, bar-tailed godwit, blacktailed godwit, curlew, golden plover, grey plover, avocet, shelduck, redshank, lapwing, whimbrel, ruff, greenshank Tringa nebularia Nonbreeding hen harrier N (b) N (g) N (g) N (g) Breeding bittern N (c) N (c) N (c) N (c) N (c) N (g) N (c) N (c) N (g) N (g) Breeding marsh harrier N (d) Breeding avocet N (e) N (g) N (g) N (g) Breeding little tern N (f) N (f)

5.3.2 Information to Support AA – Screening Matrices



Site 88

Name of European Site: Humber Estuary SPA and Ramsar

Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 178 (windfarm site) and 164 (offshore cable corridor)

- a) Survey data show little or no evidence of Humber Estuary SPA features occurring in the East Anglia TWO sites (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site during migration (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) Survey data show no evidence of Humber Estuary SPA feature hen harrier occurring in the East Anglia TWO sites, and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site during migration as UK birds are likely to migrate overland rather than over the sea where possible (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- c) Bittern has not been observed during bird surveys at East Anglia TWO (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site during migration (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- d) Marsh harrier is a migrant species. Satellite tracking suggests that marsh harriers migrate overland to the south coast of England and over the Channel to France, rather than across the North Sea.
- e) Avocet has not been observed during bird site specific surveys. It is highly unlikely that these birds would migrate through the East Anglia TWO site as their migration is likely to take a coastal route and cross sea at narrow points such as The English Channel. If they did migrate through the East Anglia TWO site their flight height is likely not to be at collision risk height (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- f) Breeding little tern has a maximum foraging range of 11km from colonies, so would have no connectivity with East Anglia TWO. Migrating little terns are considered to be 'extremely coastal on passage with very few sightings in open ocean or inland' (Forrester et al. 2007), so are unlikely to pass through the East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- g) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Humber Estuary SPA and Ramsar (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site Name of European Site: Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	89 Hund (339	und Paa	apsand	SCI											
Site Features	_	effect(s) water no		t Anglia [*] Vessel	TWO Interact	ions	Indirec	t effects	on	Change quality	es to wa	ter	In-com	bination	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Harbour seal	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of the proposed project and the site is beyond that of potential for direct or indirect effects (see paragraphs 219 and 220 and Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





5.3.2 Information to Support AA – Screening Matrices

Site	90														
Name of European Site:	Imperial Do	ck Lock, I	_eith SPA												
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	535														
Site Features	Likely e	Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO													
	Collisio	n mortality		Displace	ement/Dist	turbance	Barrier E	Effect		Cumulat	ive/In-cor	nbination			
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D			
Breeding common tern		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)			

- a) SPA is far beyond maximum foraging range of designated seabird species (common tern) so has no breeding season connectivity. Proportions of these populations migrating through East Anglia TWO are small relative to BDMPS (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Imperial Dock Lock SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 91 Name of European Site: Inner Dowsing, Race Bank and North Ridge SAC **Distance to East Anglia TWO** 118 (windfarm site) and 109 (cable corridor) (km) Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site **Features** Temporary physical Smothering due to Re-mobilisation of In-combination Permanent loss Underwater noise disturbance increased contaminated and vibration suspended sediments sediment 0 0 С 0 С С С 0 D С 0 С 0 D D D D D N (a) Sandbanks which are slightly

N (a)

Applicable to East Anglia TWO

N (a)

covered by sea water all the time

Reefs

Page 121

N (a)

N (a)

a) The distance between the offshore project area and the designated site is beyond the range of any potential LSE. Indirect far-field effects are limited to 1km of the works and for the duration of 1 tidal cycle (see paragraph 118 of APP-044).





Site 92

Name of European Site: Inner Moray Firth SPA & Ramsar

Distance to East Anglia TWO 703 (windfarm site) and 694 (cable corridor)

(km)

Site Features	Likely ef	fect(s) of	East Angli	a TWO								
	Collision	mortality		Displace	ement/Dist	urbance	Barrier E	Effect		Cumulat	tive/In-con	nbination
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Wintering and passage waterbird assemblage including as named features bar-tailed godwit, curlew, goldeneye, greylag goose, redshank, wigeon, goosander, teal, red-breasted merganser, cormorant, oystercatcher, scaup		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (d)	N (d)	N (d)
Breeding osprey		N (b)		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)
Breeding common tern		N (c)		N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (d)	N (d)	N (d)

- a) Survey data show little or no evidence of Inner Moray Firth SPA features occurring in the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470)), and migrations of birds from this SPA and Ramsar are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) Osprey has not been observed in the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470)), and it is extremely unlikely that any ospreys from the Inner Moray Firth SPA migrate through the East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- c) Inner Moray Firth SPA is far beyond maximum foraging range of common tern so has no breeding season connectivity. The proportion of the population migrating through the East Anglia TWO site is likely to be extremely small relative to BDMPS (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





d) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Inner Moray Firth SPA & Ramsar (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

Site Name of European Site: Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	93 Isle of 527	May S	AC												
Site Features	_	effect(s) water no		t Anglia ⁻ Vessel	TWO Interact	ions	Indirec	t effects	on	Change quality	es to wat	er	In-com	bination	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Grey seal Halichoerus grypus	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 190 and 191 and Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

Applicable to East Anglia TWO

Page 123





Site 94 Klaverbank SCI Name of European Site: 177 **Distance to East Anglia TWO** (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Changes to water Underwater noise Vessel Interactions Indirect effects on In-combination prey quality C C С С С 0 D 0 D 0 D 0 D 0 D Harbour porpoise Phocoena N(a) phocoena Grey seal Halichoerus grypus N(a) Harbour seal Phoca vitulina N(a) N(a) N(a) N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 219 and 220 for harbour seal, paragraphs 190 and 191 for grey seal and paragraphs 168 and 169 for harbour porpoise of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).







Site	95														
Name of European Site:	Knude	grund S	AC												
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	746km														
Site Features	Likely 6	effect(s)	of East A	nglia ON	NE North										
	Underv	vater Noi	ise	Vessel	interacti	ons	Indirec	t effects	on prey	Change quality	es to wat	er	Cumula	ative/In- ation	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Grey seal	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)
Harbour seal	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE ((see paragraphs 218 and 219 for harbour seal and paragraphs 189 and 190 for grey seal, of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044))





Site Name of European Site:	96 Koste	rfjordeı	า-Väder	öfjorder	SAC											
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	889															
Site Features	Likely	kely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO nderwater noise														
	Under	water n	oise	Vessel	Interact	ions	Indired prey	t effects	on	Chang quality		ter	In-com	bination		
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	
Harbour porpoise <i>Phocoena</i> phocoena	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	
Harbour seal Phoca vitulina	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	

a) The distance between the potential impact range of the proposed project and the site is beyond that of potential for direct or indirect effects (see paragraphs 219 and 220 for harbour seal and paragraphs 168 and 169 for harbour porpoise of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).



5.3.2 Information to Support AA – Screening Matrices

Site Name of European Site: Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	97 Kungs 877	backaf _.	jorden (SAC											
Site Features		effect(s) water no		t Anglia T Vessel	ΓWΟ Interacti	ions	Indirec	t effects	on	Change	es to wa	ter	In-com	bination	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Harbour seal Phoca vitulina	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of the proposed project and the site is beyond that of potential for direct or indirect effects (see paragraphs 219 and 220 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site Name of European Site:	98 Küste	n- und l	Dünenla	andscha	ften Am	rums S <i>l</i>	AC									
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	482															
Site Features	Likely															
	Under	ely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO derwater noise														
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	
Grey seal Halichoerus grypus	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	

a) The distance between the potential impact range of the proposed project and the site is beyond that of potential for direct or indirect effects (see paragraphs 190 and 191 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044))..





Site	99														
Name of European Site:	_indisfarn	e SPA and	d Ramsar												
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	146 (windf	arm site)	and 437 (offshore (cable cori	ridor)									
Site Features	Likely ef	fect(s) of	East Angli	a TWO											
	Collision	Displacement/Disturbance Barrier Effect Cumulative/In-combination													
	С	O D C O D C O D													
Wintering and passage waterbird assemblage including as named features wigeon, greylag goose, brent goose, sanderling, dunlin, ringed plover, goldeneye, whooper swan, black-tailed godwit, common scoter, red-breasted merganser, golden plover, grey plover, eider, shelduck, redshank		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)			
Breeding little tern, roseate tern		N (b)		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)			

- a) Survey data show little or no evidence of SPA features occurring in East Anglia TWO (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470)) and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the site during migration (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- b) Breeding little tern has a maximum foraging range of 11km from colonies, so would have no connectivity with East Anglia TWO. Migrating little terns are considered to be 'extremely coastal on passage with very few sightings in open ocean or inland' (Forrester et al. 2007), so are unlikely to pass through the East Anglia TWO site. Breeding roseate tern has a maximum foraging range of 30km from colonies, so would have no connectivity with East Anglia TWO. Migrating roseate terns are unlikely to pass through the East Anglia TWO site as their migration tends to be coastal (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Lindisfarne SPA and Ramsar (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).





Site 100

Name of European Site: **Littoral Cauchois SAC** 236 (cable corridor) **Distance to East Anglia**

TWO (km)																		
Site Features	Perma	nent los	ss	Tempo	orary ph pance	ysical	increa	ering du sed nded se			obilisation ninate dents			water no bration	oise	In-com	nbination	1
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Reefs	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)
Perennial vegetation of stony banks	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)
Vegetated sea cliffs of he Atlantic and Baltic coasts	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)
Oligotrophic waters containing very few minerals of sandy plains (Littorelletalia uniflorae)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)
Hard oligo- mesotrophic waters with benthic vegetation of Chara spp.	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)

a) As it has been agreed through the scoping and Evidence Plan Process (EPP) (see Appendix 9.1 (APP-458)) that transboundary effects are scoped out for EIA (given the distance to sites in other Members States jurisdictions) these have also been screened out from consideration for HRA purposes.





Site 101

Name of European Site: Littoral Seino-Marin SPA

Distance to East Anglia TWO 229

(km)

Site Features	Likely ef	fect(s) of I	East Angli	a TWO								
	Collision	mortality		Displace	ement/Dist	turbance	Barrier E	Effect		Cumulat	tive/In-con	nbination
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Breeding seabirds including fulmar, shag, gannet, herring gull, great blackbacked gull, kittiwake		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (f)	N (f)	N (f)
Nonbreeding winter and passage seabird assemblage including as named features red-throated diver, black-throated diver, great crested grebe, fulmar, gannet, cormorant, shag, pomarine skua Stercorarius pomarinus, great skua, Mediterranean gull Larus melanocephalus, little gull, lesser black-backed gull, herring gull, great black-backed gull, kittiwake, Sandwich tern, common tern, guillemot, razorbill		N (b)		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (f)	N (f)	N (f)
Nonbreeding little egret, spoonbill Platalea leucorodia, honey buzzard Pernis apivorus, hen harrier, merlin Falco columbarius, peregrine, avocet		N (c)		N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)
Breeding peregrine		N (d)		N (d)	N (d)	N (d)	N (d)	N (d)	N (d)	N (d)	N (d)	N (d)





Site 101 Name of European Site: **Littoral Seino-Marin SPA Distance to East Anglia TWO** 229 (km) N (e) Nonbreeding woodlark Lullula arborea N (e) N (e)

- a) East Anglia TWO is within the theoretical maximum foraging range of breeding gannets from this SPA but tracking data show that breeding gannets from the SPA do not reach East Anglia TWO. The SPA is far beyond maximum foraging range of other designated seabird species so has no breeding season connectivity. Proportions of these populations migrating through East Anglia TWO are likely to be extremely small relative to BDMPS (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) Proportions of these populations migrating through the East Anglia TWO site are likely to be extremely small relative to BDMPS (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- c) None of these species has been recorded during bird surveys at the East Anglia TWO site. It is unlikely that birds from the SPA will migrate through the East Anglia TWO site, as these species are generally scarce migrants in the UK, and their migrations tend to be coastal rather than over open sea (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- d) Breeding peregrines in western Europe tend to remain close to their breeding site throughout the year so it is extremely unlikely that any from the SPA would reach East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- e) Woodlark is a very scarce migrant to the UK, so it is very unlikely that individuals from the SPA would reach the East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Littoral Seino-Marin SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site	102												
Name of European Site:	Loch	of Strath	beg SPA	& Ramsa	ır								
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	642												
Site Features		Likely eff	fect(s) of	East Angli	a TWO								
		Collision	mortality		Displace	ement/Dis	turbance	Barrier	Effect		Cumula	tive/In-co	mbination
		С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Wintering and passage waterbird assemblage including as named features greylag goose, pink-footed goose, teal, Svalbard barnacle goos <i>Branta leucopsis</i> , whooper swan			N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)
Breeding Sandwich tern			N (b)		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)

- a) Survey data show little or no evidence of Loch of Strathbeg SPA features occurring in the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) Loch of Strathbeg SPA is far beyond maximum foraging range of Sandwich tern (54km, Thaxter et al. 2012) so has no breeding season connectivity. Proportion of the population migrating through the East Anglia TWO site is likely to be extremely small relative to BDMPS (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- c) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Loch of Strathbeg SPA & Ramsar (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 103 Name of European Site: **LØnstrup RØdgrund SAC Distance to East Anglia TWO** 738 (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO **Vessel Interactions** Indirect effects on Changes to water In-combination Underwater noise quality prey С 0 D С 0 D С 0 D С 0 D С 0 D Harbour Porpoise Phocoena N(a) phocoena

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 167 and 168 and Table 7.3 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

Site 104





Name of European Site:	Løgstø	ør Bred	ning, V	ejlerne c	og Bulbj	erg SAC	;								
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	679														
Site Features	Likely	effect(s)	of East	t Anglia	TWO										
	Under	water no	oise	Vessel	Interact	ions	Indirec prey	t effects	on	Change quality	es to wa	ter	In-com	bination	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Harbour seal Phoca vitulina	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 219 and 220 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site Name of European Site: Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	105 Lovns Bredning, Hjarbæk Fjord og Skals, Simested og Nørre Ådal, Skravad Bæk SAC 676														
Site Features		effect(s) water no		t Anglia TWO Vessel Interactions			Indirect effects on prey			Changes to water quality			In-combination		
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Harbour seal Phoca vitulina	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 219 and 220 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

Applicable to East Anglia TWO





Site 106 **Malmöfjord SAC** Name of European Site: 882 **Distance to East Anglia TWO** (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO **Vessel Interactions** Indirect effects on Changes to water In-combination Underwater noise quality prey С 0 D С С С 0 С 0 0 D 0 D D D Harbour seal Phoca vitulina N(a) N(a)

Applicable to East Anglia TWO

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 219 and 220 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site Name of European Site:	107 Marais du Cotentin et du Bessin - Baie des Veys SAC														
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	378														
Site Features	Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO														
	Underwater noise			Vessel Interactions			Indirect effects on prey			Changes to water quality			In-combination		
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Grey seal Halichoerus grypus	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)
Harbour seal <i>Phoca vitulina</i>	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 219 and 220 for harbour seal and paragraphs 190 and 191 for grey seal, of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 108 Name of European Site: **Margate and Long Sands SCI Distance to East Anglia TWO** 39 (windfarm site) and 37 (cable corridor) (km) Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site Features Permanent loss Temporary physical Smothering due to Re-mobilisation of Underwater noise In-combination disturbance increased contaminated and vibration suspended sediments sediment С С 0 С D С 0 D 0 С 0 С 0 D D D D N (a) Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time

Applicable to East Anglia TWO

Page 139

a) The distance between the offshore project area and the designated site is beyond the range of any potential LSE. Indirect far-field effects are limited to 1km of the works and for the duration of 1 tidal cycle (see paragraph 118 of APP-044)







Site 1	09											
Name of European Site:	Marwick H	ead SPA										
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 8	29											
Site Features	Likely ef	fect(s) of l	East Angli	a TWO								
	Collision	mortality		Displace	ement/Dist	urbance	Barrier E	Effect		Cumulat	ive/In-con	nbination
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Breeding seabird assemblage including as named features guillemot and kittiwake		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)

- a) Marwick Head SPA is beyond maximum foraging range of designated seabird species so has no breeding season connectivity. Proportions of these populations migrating through the East Anglia TWO site are small relative to BDMPS (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Marwick Head SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site	110	OA													
Name of European Site:	Måses	kar SA	C												
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	871														
Site Features	Likely	effect(s)	of East	t Anglia	TWO										
	Underv	water no	oise	Vessel	Interact	ions	Indirec prey	t effects	on	Change quality	es to wa	ter	In-com	bination	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Harbour seal Phoca vitulina	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 219 and 220 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

Applicable to **East Anglia TWO**





Site	111											
Name of European Site:	Medway	/ Estuary	& Marshe	es SPA ar	nd Ramsa	r						
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	118 (wir	ndfarm sit	te) and 10)1 (offsho	re cable o	corridor)						
Site Features	Likely ef	fect(s) of E	East Angli	a TWO								
	Collision	mortality		Displace	ment/Dist	urbance	Barrier E	Effect		Cumulat	ive/In-com	bination
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Wintering and passage waterbird assemblage including as named features pintail, shoveler, teal, wigeon, turnstone, brent goose, dunlin, knot, ringed plover Bewick's swan, oystercatcher, black-tailed godwit, curlew, grey plover, great crested grebe, avocet, shelduck, greenshank, redshank		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (d)	N (d)	N (d)
Breeding avocet		N (b)		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)
Breeding little tern, common tern		N (c)		N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)

- a) Survey data show little or no evidence of Medway Estuary & Marshes SPA features occurring in the East Anglia TWO site site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) Avocet has not been observed during bird site-specific surveys site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470). It is highly unlikely that these birds would migrate through the East Anglia TWO site as their migration is likely to take a coastal route and cross sea at narrow points such as The English Channel. If they did migrate through the East Anglia TWO site their flight height is likely not to be at collision risk height (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- c) Breeding little tern has a maximum foraging range of 11km from colonies (Thaxter et al. 2012), so would have no connectivity with East Anglia TWO. Migrating little terns are considered to be 'extremely coastal on passage with very few sightings in open ocean or inland' (Forrester et al. 2007), so





Site

Name of European Site: Medway Estuary & Marshes SPA and Ramsar

111

are unlikely to pass through the East Anglia TWO site. Breeding common tern has a maximum foraging range of 30km from colonies (Thaxter et al. 2012), so would have no connectivity with East Anglia TWO. Migrating common terns are unlikely to pass through the East Anglia TWO site as their migration tends to be coastal where that is an option (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

d) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Medway Estuary & Marshes SPA and Ramsar (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).







Site					112																	
Name of	Europe	ean Site)		Mins	mere to	o Walk	perswic	k Heath	ns and	l Marsł	nes SA(
Distance	to Eas	t Angli	a TWO	(km)	1.8kr	n (offs	nore c	able co	orridor)													
Fish																						
Site	Likely	effect(s) of Ea	ast Ang	lia ONE	E North																
Features	Perm loss	anent h	nabitat	physi	oorary cal bance		incre susp	othering eased eended ment	due to	of co	mobilis ontamir ments			derwate d vibrat			ectrom elds (El	_	tic	In-c	combina	tion
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0		D	С	0	D
Sea Lamprey	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a	N) (a		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)
River lamprey	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a) (a		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)
Twaite shad	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a) (a		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)
Benthic H	labitat	s																				
Site	Likely	effect(s) of Ea	ast Ang	lia ONE	North																
Features				Pe	ermane	nt loss	р	empora hysical listurba		in sı	mother crease uspend edimen	ed		Re- mo contam sedime	ninate o		Unde and v		er noise on	e l	n-comb	ination
				С	C	D	C		D	С	С	D		С	0	D	С	0	D	(c c) D





Site	11	2																
Name of European Site	Mi	nsmei	re to W	albers	wick H	eaths	and Ma	rshes	SAC									
Distance to East Anglia TWO (ki	n) 1.8	3km (c	ffshor	e cable	corri	dor)	,					,	,	,		,		
Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)															
Estuaries	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)															
Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)															
Reefs	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)															

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see section 6.2.1, of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

b) The distance between the offshore project area and the designated site is beyond the range of any potential LSE. Indirect far-field effects are limited to 1km of the works and for the duration of 1 tidal cycle (see paragraph 118 of APP-044).





Site 113 Name of European Site: **Minsmere - Walberswick SPA and Ramsar Distance to East Anglia TWO** 34 (windfarm site) and 2 (cable corridor) (km) Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site Features Collision mortality Displacement/Disturbance Cumulative/In-combination **Barrier Effect** 0 D 0 D С 0 С С D С 0 D Wintering shoveler, gadwall, white-N (a) N (g) N (g) N (g) fronted goose Nonbreeding hen harrier N (b) N (b) N (b) N (b) N (b) N (g) N (b) N (b) N (g) N (g) Breeding shoveler, teal, gadwall, N (c) N (g) N (g) N (g) bittern, avocet Breeding nightjar Caprimulgus N (d) N (g) N (d) N (d) N (d) N (d) N (d) N (d) N (g) N (g) europaeus Breeding marsh harrier N (e) Breeding little tern N (f) N (f)





Site 113

Name of European Site: Minsmere - Walberswick SPA and Ramsar

Distance to East Anglia TWO 34 (windfarm site) and 2 (cable corridor)

(km)

- a) Survey data show no evidence of Minsmere-Walberswick SPA features shoveler, gadwall or white-fronted goose occurring in the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site.
- b) Survey data show no evidence of hen harrier occurring in the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site as UK birds are likely to migrate overland rather than over the sea where possible (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- c) Survey data show no evidence of Minsmere-Walberswick SPA features shoveler, teal, gadwall, bittern or avocet occurring in the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- d) Survey data show no evidence of nightjar occurring in the East Anglia TWO OWF site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site as UK birds are likely to migrate overland rather than over the sea where possible and make short sea crossings from southern England to France (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- e) Marsh harrier is a migrant species. Satellite tracking suggests that marsh harriers migrate overland to the south coast of England and over the Channel to France, rather than across the North Sea (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- f) Breeding little tern has a maximum foraging range of 11km from colonies (Thaxter et al. 2012), so would have no connectivity with East Anglia TWO. Migrating little terns are considered to be 'extremely coastal on passage with very few sightings in open ocean or inland' (Forrester et al. 2007), so are unlikely to pass through the East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- g) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Minsmere-Walberswick SPA and Ramsar (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).







Site	11	4										
Name of European Site:	Mc	ntros	е Ва	sin SPA &	Ramsar							
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	57	2										
Site Features	Lik	ely eff	fect(s	s) of East A	nglia TWC)						
		llision ortality		Displace	ment/Distu	rbance	Barr	ier Effect		Cumulative	e/In-combir	nation
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Wintering and passage waterbird assemblage including as named features dunlin, eider, knot, shelduck, wigeon, pink-footed goose, greylag goose, redshank, oystercatcher		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)

- a) Survey data show little or no evidence of Montrose Basin SPA features occurring in the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Montrose Basin SPA and Ramsar (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 115 Name of European Site: **Moray and Nairn Coast SPA & Ramsar Distance to East Anglia TWO** 679 (km) Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site Features Displacement/Disturbance **Barrier Effect** Cumulative/In-combination Collision mortality D С 0 С D С D С 0 0 0 D Wintering and passage waterbird N (a) N (c) N (c) N (c) assemblage including as named features common scoter, long-tailed duck, oystercatcher, bar-tailed godwit, wigeon, pink-footed goose, redbreasted merganser, redshank, velvet scoter, greylag goose, dunlin N (b) N (b) Breeding osprey N (b) N (b)

- a) Survey data show little or no evidence of Moray & Nairn Coast SPA features occurring in the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) Osprey has not been observed in the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), and it is improbable that any ospreys from the SPA migrate through the East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- c) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Moray & Nairn Coast SPA and Ramsar (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 116 Name of European Site: Mousa SPA **Distance to East Anglia TWO** 883 (km) Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site Features Collision mortality Displacement/Disturbance Cumulative/In-combination **Barrier Effect** С D С С 0 0 D С 0 0 D D Breeding Arctic tern N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (c) N (a) N (a) N (c) N (c)

a) Mousa SPA is beyond maximum foraging range of Arctic tern (30km, Thaxter et al. 2012) so has no breeding season connectivity. The proportion of the population migrating through the East Anglia TWO site is likely to be extremely small relative to BDMPS(see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

N (b)

N (b)

N (b)

N (b)

N (b)

N (b)

- b) European storm-petrels were not observed in the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), and are rarely seen anywhere in the southern North Sea, so evidence suggests that this species migrates from its breeding site on Mousa into the North Atlantic and not normally through the North Sea (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- c) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Mousa SPA(see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

N (b)

N (b)

Breeding European storm-petrel

Hydrobates pelagicus

N (b)

N (b)





Site 117 **Mousa SAC** Name of European Site: 878 **Distance to East Anglia TWO** (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Underwater noise **Vessel Interactions** Indirect effects on Changes to water In-combination prey quality С 0 С 0 0 С 0 D 0 D С D D С D Harbour seal Phoca vitulina N(a) N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 219 and 220 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 118

Name of European Site Mühlenberger Loch SPA

Distance to East Anglia ONE 526km

North (km)

Marine Mammals															
Site Features	Likely eff	ect(s) of E	ast Angl	ia ONE N	lorth										
	Underwa	iter noise		Vessel	Interaction	ons	Indirect	effects on	prey	Change quality	es to wate	er	In-com	bination	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Harbour porpoise	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N
Phocoena phocoena															(a)
Harbour seal	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N
Phoca vitulina															(a)

Fish

Site	Likely	y effect((s) of E	ast Ang	glia ONI	E North	ı														
Features		at loss		Temp physi distur	-		increa	ended	due to		nobilisa ntamina nents			rwater ribratior			romagn (EMF)	etic	In-cor	mbinatio	on
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Houting	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)
Twaite shad	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)
Lampern	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)
Great sea	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
lamprey	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)





Site Name of E Distance t North (km)	o East		ONE		118 Mühlei 526km	nberge	r Loch	SPA													
Salmon	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)
Benthic Ha	abitats																				
Site Features	Likely	/ effect(s) of Ea	ast Angl	ia ONE	North	1			1						1					
				Perr	nanent	loss	phys	porary sical ırbance		incre	ased ended	due to	of co	nobilisa ntamina nents			erwater vibratio		In-co	mbinati	on
	СО							0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Estuaries					N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)

- a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia ONE North and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 218 and 219 for harbour seal and paragraphs 167 and 168 for harbour porpoise, of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) It was agreed as part of the East Anglia ONE North Scoping and Evidence Plan Process (EPP) (see Appendix 9.1 (APP-458) and Appendix 10.1 (APP-462) that transboundary impacts on fish and benthic habitats would be scoped out of the EIA. We have therefore screened them out from consideration in the HRA





Site 119 Nationalpark Niedersächsisched Wattenmeer SAC Name of European Site: 329 **Distance to East Anglia TWO** (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO In-combination Underwater noise **Vessel Interactions** Indirect effects on Changes to water quality prey С C 0 D С 0 D С 0 D 0 D С 0 D Harbour porpoise Phocoena N(a) phocoena Grey seal Halichoerus grypus N(a) Harbour seal Phoca vitulina N(a) N(a)

Applicable to East Anglia TWO

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 219 and 220 for harbour seal, paragraphs 190 and 191 for grey seal and paragraphs 168 and 169 for harbour porpoise, of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





120 Site Nibe Bredning, Halkær Ådal og Sønderup Ådal SAC Name of European Site: 682 **Distance to East Anglia TWO** (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Underwater noise **Vessel Interactions** Indirect effects on Changes to water In-combination quality prey 0 С 0 0 С 0 D С 0 D С D D С D Harbour seal Phoca vitulina N(a) N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 219 and 220 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).







Site Name of European Site: Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	121 Niding 883	en SA(;												
Site Features	Likely	effect(s)) of Eas	t Anglia	TWO										
	Under	vater no	oise	Vessel	Interact	ions	Indirec	t effects	on	Change	es to wa	ter	In-com	bination	
							prey			quality					
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Harbour seal Phoca vitulina	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 219 and 220 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





RENEWABLES

122 Site

Noordzeekustzone SAC Name of European Site:

Distance to East Anglia TWO

163

(km)

		e I			

Site Features	Likely 6	effect(s)	of East Ar	nglia TWC)										
	Underv	water nois	se		Interaction		Indirect	effects o	n prey	Change quality	s to wate	er	In-com	bination	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Harbour porpoise	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)
Grey seal	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)
Harbour seal	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)

Fish

Site Features	Likely	Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO																			
	Permanent habitat loss		Temporary physical disturbance		Smothering due to increased suspended sediment		Re- mobilisation of contaminated sediments		Underwater noise and vibration			Electromagnetic fields (EMF)			In-combination						
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Sea Lamprey	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)
Allis Shad	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)
Twaite Shad	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)	N(b)





Site 122

Name of European Site: Noordzeekustzone SAC

Distance to East Anglia TWO

163

(km)

Benthic Habitats

Site Features	Permanent loss			Temporary physical disturbance			Smothering due to increased suspended sediment			Re- mobilisation of contaminate d sediments			Underwater noise and vibration			In-combination		
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)
Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)

- a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 219 and 220 for harbour seal, paragraphs 190 and 191 for grey seal and paragraphs 168 and 169 for harbour porpoise, of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) It was agreed as part of the East Anglia TWO Scoping and Evidence Plan Process (EPP) (see Appendix 10.1 (APP-462) that transboundary impacts on fish would be scoped out of the EIA. We have therefore screened them out from consideration in the HRA
- c) As it has been agreed through the scoping and Evidence Plan Process (EPP) (see Appendix 9.1 (APP-458) that transboundary effects are scoped out for EIA (given the distance to sites in other Members States jurisdictions) these have also been screened out from consideration for HRA purposes.





123 Site Nordre älvs estuarium SAC Name of European Site: 850 **Distance to East Anglia TWO** (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Underwater noise **Vessel Interactions** Indirect effects on Changes to water In-combination quality prey С 0 С 0 С 0 D 0 D С D D С 0 D Harbour seal Phoca vitulina N(a) N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 219 and 220 and Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 124 Nordvästra Skånes havsområde SAC Name of European Site: 975 **Distance to East Anglia TWO** (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Underwater noise **Vessel Interactions** Indirect effects on Changes to water In-combination quality prey С С 0 D С 0 D С 0 D 0 D С 0 D Grey seal Halichoerus grypus N(a) Harbour seal Phoca vitulina N(a) N(a)

Applicable to East Anglia TWO

Page 160

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 219 and 220 for harbour seal and paragraphs 190 and 191 for harbour porpoise, of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





125 Site Name of European Site: **North Caithness Cliffs SPA** Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 769 Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site Features Collision mortality Displacement/Disturbance **Barrier Effect** Cumulative/In-combination D С 0 С 0 0 D 0 D Breeding seabird assemblage including N (a) N (a) N (c) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (c) N (c) N (a) as named features fulmar, guillemot, kittiwake, razorbill, puffin N (b) Breeding peregrine N (b) N (b) N (b)

- a) North Caithness Cliffs SPA is far beyond the maximum foraging range of designated seabird species so has no breeding season connectivity. Proportions of these populations migrating through the East Anglia TWO site are small relative to BDMPS (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) Peregrines breeding in the UK normally remain close to their breeding areas throughout the year, and are very unlikely to migrate offshore from the UK (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- c) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at North Caithness Cliffs SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

Applicable to East Anglia TWO





Site 126 Name of European Site: North Norfolk Coast SPA and Ramsar Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 99 (windfarm site) and 87 (cable corridor) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Collision mortality Displacement/Disturbance **Barrier Effect** Cumulative/In-combination C 0 D С \circ C D C 0 D Y (a) Wintering and passage waterbird N (a) N (a) N (a) Y (a) N (f) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (f) assemblage including as named features wigeon, pink-footed goose, brent goose, knot, avocet Breeding bittern N (b) N (f) N (f) N (f) Breeding marsh harrier N (c) N (f) N (f) N (f) Breeding avocet N (d) N (d) N (d) N (d) N (f) N (f) N (f) N (d) N (d) N (d) N (e) Breeding little tern, common tern, N (e) N (e) N (e) N (e) N (e) N (e) N (f) N (f) N (f) Sandwich tern

- a) Survey data show little or no evidence of North Norfolk Coast SPA features wigeon, pink-footed goose, brent goose, knot, avocet occurring in the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site during migration (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) Survey data show no evidence of North Norfolk Coast SPA feature bittern occurring in the East Anglia TWO site, and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- c) Marsh harrier is a migrant species. Satellite tracking suggests that marsh harriers migrate overland to the south coast of England and over the Channel to France, rather than across the North Sea (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- d) Survey data show no evidence of North Norfolk Coast SPA feature avocet occurring in the East Anglia TWO site, and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 126

Name of European Site: North Norfolk Coast SPA and Ramsar

Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 99 (windfarm site) and 87 (cable corridor)

e) Little tern, common tern and Sandwich tern have maximum foraging ranges from colonies of 11km, 30km and 54km respectively (Thaxter et al. 2012), so there is no connectivity between the SPA and East Anglia TWO site. Furthermore, these species tend to forage in coastal waters rather than offshore. Therefore, collision risk, displacement and barrier effects can be excluded (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

f) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at North Norfolk Coast SPA and Ramsar (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site Name of Eu Distance to (km)		127 North Norfolk Sandbanks and Saturn Reef SAC 75 (windfarm site) and 73 (cable corridor)																
Site	Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO																	
Features	Permanent loss			Temporary physical disturbance			Smothering due to increased suspended sediment			Re- mobilisation of contaminated sediments			Underwater noise and vibration			In-combination		
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)		N (a)	N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)
Reefs	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)		N (a)	N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)

a) Beyond the range of potential impact. Indirect far-field effects are limited to 1km of the works and for the duration of 1 tidal cycle (see paragraph 118 of APP-044).





Site 128

Name of European Site: Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar

Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 350 (windfarm site) and 339 (cable corridor)

Site Features	Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO												
	Collision mortality			Displace	ement/Dist	turbance	Barrier E	Effect		Cumulative/In-combination			
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	
Nonbreeding turnstone, purple sandpiper Calidris maritima		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	
Breeding little tern		N (b)		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	
Arctic tern		N (b)		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	

- a) Survey data show little or no evidence of SPA features occurring in East Anglia TWO (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470) and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the site during migration (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- b) Breeding little tern has a maximum foraging range of 11km from colonies (Thaxter et al. 2012), so would have no connectivity with East Anglia TWO. Migrating little terns are considered to be 'extremely coastal on passage with very few sightings in open ocean or inland' (Forrester et al. 2007), so are unlikely to pass through the East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- c) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).





Site 129

Name of European Site: **Noss SPA**

Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 889

Site Features	Likely ef	Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO												
	Collision mortality			Displace	ement/Dist	turbance	Barrier Effect			Cumulative/In-combination				
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D		
Breeding seabird assemblage including as named features gannet, fulmar, guillemot, kittiwake, puffin, great skua		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)		

- a) SPA is far beyond maximum foraging range of designated seabird species so has no breeding season connectivity. Proportions of these populations migrating through East Anglia TWO are small relative to BDMPS (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- b) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Noss SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).

Applicable to East Anglia TWO





Site 130 NTP S-H Wattenmeer und angrenzende Kustengebiete SAC Name of European Site: 448 **Distance to East Anglia TWO** (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Indirect effects on Changes to water Underwater noise **Vessel Interactions** In-combination quality prey С 0 D С 0 С 0 D С 0 D С 0 D D Harbour porpoise *Phocoena* N(a) phocoena Grey seal Halichoerus grypus N(a) Harbour seal Phoca vitulina N(a) N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of the proposed project and the site is beyond that of potential for direct or indirect effects (see paragraphs 219 and 220 for harbour seal, paragraphs 190 and 191 for grey seal and paragraphs 168 and 169 for harbour porpoise, of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 131 **Oosterschelde SAC** Name of European Site: 104 **Distance to East Anglia TWO** (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO **Vessel Interactions** Changes to water Underwater noise Indirect effects on In-combination quality prev С С С С С 0 D 0 D 0 D 0 D 0 D Harbour porpoise Phocoena N(a) phocoena Grey seal Halichoerus grypus N(a) Harbour seal Phoca vitulina N(a) N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of the proposed project and the extent of any impact on individuals from this site result are negligible and would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 219 and 220 for harbour seal, paragraphs 190 and 191 for grey seal and paragraphs 168 and 169 for harbour porpoise, of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 132 Name of European Site: **Orfordness - Shingle Street SAC** 37 (windfarm site) and 5 (cable corridor) **Distance to East Anglia TWO** (km) Site Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Features Permanent loss Temporary physical Smothering due to Re-mobilisation of Underwater noise In-combination disturbance increased contaminated and vibration suspended sediments sediment 0 С С 0 С 0 С 0 С 0 С D 0 D D D D D N (a) Coastal

lagoons

a) The primary feature of the SAC is a series of percolation lagoons which are separated from the marine environment by the Orford shingle beach. These features are described as non-marine as they occur landward of highest astronomical tide. Therefore, due to a physical barrier there is no pathway between the source of any effects in the marine environment and the receptor (see Table 5.2 of HRA Screening Report (APP-470).






Site 133 Name of European Site: Östliche Deutsche Bucht SPA **Distance to East Anglia TWO** 434 (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Displacement/Disturbance Collision mortality **Barrier Effect** Cumulative/In-combination С 0 D 0 D С 0 D 0 D Ornithology Nonbreeding seabirds (razorbill, N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (b) N (b) N (b) N (a) fulmar, black-throated diver, redthroated diver, herring gull, common gull, lesser black-backed gull, great black-backed gull, little gull, blackheaded gull Chroicocephalus ridibundus, common scoter, great crested grebe, kittiwake, common tern, Arctic tern, sandwich tern, gannet, guillemot) a) Migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through East Anglia TWO during migration relative to the size of BDMPS regional populations (see Table 5.2 of HRA Screening Report (APP-470)). b) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at östliche Deutsche Bucht SPA (see Table 5.2 of HRA Screening Report (APP-470)). Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Changes to water In-combination Underwater noise Vessel Interactions Indirect effects on quality prey







c) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE. (see paragraphs 219 and 220 for harbour seal, paragraphs 190 and 191 for grey seal and paragraphs 168 and 169 for harbour porpoise, of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





134 Site **Ouessant-Molene SAC** Name of European Site: 630 **Distance to East Anglia TWO** (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Changes to water Underwater noise **Vessel Interactions** Indirect effects on In-combination quality prey С С С 0 0 0 D 0 D С 0 D D С D Grey seal Halichoerus grypus N(a) N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any impact on individuals from this site result are negligible and would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 190 and 191 and Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 135 Name of European Site: **Outer Thames Estuary SPA** Within cable corridor Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site Features Collision mortality Displacement/Disturbance **Barrier Effect** Cumulative/In-combination С С С 0 D 0 С 0 D 0 D D Nonbreeding red-throated divers Y (b) Y (b) Y (a) Y (b) Y (c) Y (b) Y (a) Y (b) Y (b) Y (a) & (c) N (d) N (d) N (d) Breeding little tern and common tern N (d) N (d) N (d) N (d) N (d) N (d) N (d)

- a) Given the overlap of the East Anglia TWO cable corridor with this SPA, collision risk cannot be ruled out at this stage and further assessment is required (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- b) Given the overlap of the East Anglia TWO cable corridor with this SPA, further detailed assessment is appropriate. Disturbance to red-throated diver is possible, especially during export cable installation. Great Yarmouth may be used as a port for construction vessels for the East Anglia TWO site; this port is located very close to the northern extent of the SPA however is outside the main concentrations of red-throated divers. This, together with the extent of existing vessel movements in the area means the addition of construction traffic as a result of the Project will make little difference to the existing baseline and therefore the potential for LSE is considered to be negligible (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- c) Following advice from Natural England it is considered that Operations and Maintenance vessels and the operational windfarm array site itself may disturb red-throated divers whilst transiting through the SPA therefore an LSE cannot be screened out (see Appendix 12.1 (APP-469)).
- d) SPA is beyond maximum foraging range of designated breeding seabird species (terns) and tern foraging tends to be coastal so has no breeding season connectivity. Proportions of these populations migrating through East Anglia TWO are likely to be small as these species are thought to remain close to shore during much of their migration through UK waters (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).





Site 136 Name of European Site: Papa Stour SPA Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 922 Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site Features Displacement/Disturbance **Barrier Effect** Cumulative/In-combination Collision mortality С C 0 D С 0 0 D 0 D Breeding Arctic tern N (a) N (a) N (a) N (c) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (c) N (c) N (b) Breeding ringed plover N (b) N (b)

- a) Papa Stour SPA is beyond maximum foraging range of Arctic tern so has no breeding season connectivity. The proportion of the population migrating through the East Anglia TWO site is very small relative to BDMPS (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- b) Ringed plovers breeding in Scotland 'tend to winter locally or move only short distances' (Forrester et al. 2007) so birds from Papa Stour are extremely unlikely to reach the East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- c) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Papa Stour SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).

Applicable to East Anglia TWO

Page 174





Site 137 Name of European Site Panache De La Gironde Et Plateau Rocheux De Cordouan (Système Pertuis Gironde) SAC Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 753 (cable corridor) **Marine Mammals** Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Indirect effects on prey Changes to water quality Underwater noise Vessel Interactions In-combination 0 С C 0 D С D 0 D С D С 0 D Harbour porpoise N (a) Phocoena phocoena Grey seal N (a) Halichoerus grypus Fish Site Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO **Features** Temporary Smothering due to Re-mobilisation Underwater noise Electromagnetic Permanent habitat In-combination physical increased of contaminated fields (EMF) loss and vibration disturbance suspended sediments sediment С 0 С 0 С С 0 С 0 D С 0 С 0 D D 0 D D D D Atlantic Ν sturgeon (b) River Ν lamprey (b) (b)

Ν

(b)

Allis

shad

Ν

(b)





Site						137																
Name of E	Europe	an Site				Panacl	ne De	La Gi	ironde	Et PI	ateau	Rocl	neux	De Cor	douan	(Systèr	ne Peri	tuis Gir	onde) (SAC		
Distance	to East	t Anglia	TWO	(km)		753 (ca	able c	orrido	or)													
Twaite shad	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N) (b		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)
Lampern	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N) (b		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)
Great sea lamprey	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)) (b		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)
Salmon	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N) (b		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)
Benthic H	labitat	S						·	·					·	·	·	·	·			·	
Site	Likely	effect(s	s) of Ea	ast Ang	lia TW	O																
Features			Peri	manent	р	empora hysical listurbar	J	due incre susp	othering to eased pended iment	ı	Re- mobili of contar d sedi	minate	n r	Jnderwa noise ar vibration	ıd	In-c	ombina	tion				
			С	0	D	С	()	D	С	0	[)	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Sandbank slightly co water all tl	vered b	y sea	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)) (b)		p)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)
Estuaries			N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)		p)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)





Site			13	7														
Name of European Site			Pa	nache	De La G	Sironde	Et Pla	teau Ro	ocheux	De Co	douan	(Systèr	ne Per	tuis Gir	onde) 🤄	SAC		
Distance to East Anglia	TWO (ŀ	km)	75	3 (cable	e corrid	or)												
Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide	N (b)																	
Reefs	N (b)																	

- a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 189 and 190 for grey seal and paragraphs 167 and 168 for harbour porpoise, of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) It was agreed as part of the East Anglia TWO Scoping and Evidence Plan Process (EPP) (see Appendix 9.1 (APP-458) and Appendix 10.1 (APP-462) that transboundary impacts on benthic habitats fish would be scoped out of the EIA. We have therefore screened them out from consideration in the HRA

Applicable to East Anglia TWO





Site 138 Name of European Site: Papa Westray (North Hill and Holm) SPA Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 842 Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site Features Collision mortality Displacement/Disturbance **Barrier Effect** Cumulative/In-combination 0 0 С С D С 0 D 0 Breeding Arctic tern N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (b) N (b) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (b) N (a) Breeding Arctic skua N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (b) N (b) N (b)

- a) Papa Westray SPA is beyond the maximum foraging range of Arctic tern or Arctic skua so has no breeding season connectivity. Proportions of these populations migrating through the East Anglia TWO site are likely to be extremely small relative to BDMPS (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Papa Westray SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

Applicable to East Anglia TWO

Page 178





Site Name of European Site: Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	139 Pater 867	Noster-	skärgåı	den SA	С										
Site Features	Likely	effect(s)	of Eas	t Anglia	TWO										
	Under	water no	oise	Vessel	Interact	ions	Indired prey	t effects	on	Change quality	es to wa	ter	In-com	bination	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Harbour seal Phoca vitulina	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the site is beyond that of potential for direct or indirect effects (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).







Site	140												
Name of European Site:	Pent	land Firth	Islands	SPA									
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	777												
Site Features		Likely ef	fect(s) of I	East Angli	a TWO								
		Collision	mortality		Displace	ement/Dist	urbance	Barrier E	Effect		Cumulat	ive/In-con	nbination
		С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Breeding Arctic tern			N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)

- a) Pentland Firth Islands SPA is beyond maximum foraging range of Arctic tern so has no breeding season connectivity. The proportion of the population migrating through the East Anglia TWO site is likely to be extremely small relative to BDMPS (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Pentland Firth Islands SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 141 Name of European Site **Pertuis Charentais SAC** Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 682 **Marine Mammals** Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site Features Indirect effects on prey Changes to water Underwater noise **Vessel Interactions** In-combination quality 0 С 0 С 0 D С 0 D С D D С 0 D N (a) N (a) Harbour porpoise N (a) Ν Phocoena phocoena (a) Grey seal N (a) Ν Halichoerus grypus (a) Fish Site Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO **Features** Temporary Smothering due Re- mobilisation of Electromagnetic Permanent habitat Underwater noise In-combination to increased physical contaminated fields (EMF) and vibration loss disturbance suspended sediments sediment С 0 D С С 0 C 0 D 0 D С 0 D С 0 D D С 0 D Atlantic N (b) N (b) Ν Ν N (b) N (b) Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν sturgeon (b) (b River N (b) N (b) N (b) Ν Ν N (b) Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν lamprey (b) (b Allis Ν N (b) N (b) N (b) N (b) Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν shad (b) (b





Site				14	1																
Name of I	Europea	an Site		Pe	ertuis C	haren	tais S <i>l</i>	AC													
Distance	to East	Anglia	TWO (k	m) 68	2																
Twaite shad	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b
Lamper n	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b
Great sea lamprey	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)
Salmon	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)
Benthic F	labitats					1															
Site	Likely	effect(s)	of East	Anglia T	WO																
Features				Perma		ss	physi	oorary cal bance		Smoti increa suspe sedim	ended	due to		nobilisa minate nents			rwater		In-co	mbinati	ion
				С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Sandbank covered b time		_	-	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)





Site	14	11																
Name of European Site	Pe	ertuis	Charer	ntais S	AC													
Distance to East Anglia TWO (k	m) 68	2			,		,	,				_			,		,	
Estuaries	N (b)	N (b)	(b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)												
Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)															
Reefs	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)															
Large shallow inlets and bays	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)															
Submerged or partially submerged sea caves	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)															
Salicornia and other annuals colonizing mud and sand	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)															
Annual vegetation of drift lines	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)															





Site 141

Name of European Site Pertuis Charentais SAC

Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 682

- a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 189 and 190 for grey seal and paragraphs 167 and 168 for harbour porpoise, of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) It was agreed as part of the East Anglia TWO Scoping and Evidence Plan Process (EPP) (see Appendix 9.1 (APP-458) and Appendix 10.1 (APP-462) that transboundary impacts on benthic habitats fish would be scoped out of the EIA. We have therefore screened them out from consideration in the HRA





Site 142 Name of European Site **Plymouth Sound and Estuaries SAC Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)** 477 (shortest distance overland) **Fish** Site Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO **Features Temporary** Smothering due to Underwater noise Electromagnetic Permanent habitat Re-mobilisation In-combination physical increased of contaminated and vibration fields (EMF) loss disturbance suspended sediments sediment С С 0 С D С 0 С 0 С 0 D 0 D D 0 D С 0 D D Allis Ν shad (a) **Benthic Habitats** Site Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO **Features** Permanent loss Temporary Smothering due to Re-mobilisation Underwater noise In-combination physical increased of contaminate d and vibration disturbance suspended sediments sediment С 0 С D 0 С D С 0 D 0 С D 0 D С 0 D Sandbanks which are slightly Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν covered by sea water all the time (b) Estuaries Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν (b) Large shallow inlets and bays Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν (b) (b)





Site	•	142																
Name of European Site	1	Plymou ⁻	th Sou	nd and	Estua	ries S	AC											
Distance to East Anglia TWO (kr	n) 4	177 (sho	ortest o	distanc	e over	land)												
Reefs	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)
Atlantic salt meadows	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)

- a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see section 6.2.1, of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) The distance between the offshore project area and the designated site is beyond the range of any potential LSE. Indirect far-field effects are limited to 1km of the works and for the duration of 1 tidal cycle (see paragraph 118 of APP-044).





Site	143											
Name of European Site:	Portsmouth	Harbour	SPA									
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	261											
Site Features	Likely e	ffect(s) of	East Angli	a TWO								
	Collisio	n mortality		Displace	ement/Dist	turbance	Barrier E	ffect		Cumulat	tive/In-cor	mbination
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Nonbreeding brent goose, dunlin, black-tailed godwit, red-breasted merganser		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)

- a) Survey data show little or no evidence of Portsmouth Harbour SPA features occurring in the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470)), and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Portsmouth Harbour SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

Applicable to East Anglia TWO







Site	144														
Name of European Site:	Presq	u'ile De	Crozor	SAC											
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	630														
Site Features	Likely	effect(s)	of Eas	t Anglia	TWO										
	Under	water no	oise	Vessel	Interacti	ons	Indired prey	t effects	on	Change quality	es to wa	ter	In-com	bination	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Grey seal Halichoerus grypus	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 190 and 191 and Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 145 Name of European Site: Ramsar-Gebiet S-H Wattenmeer und angrenzende Küstengebiete SPA Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 448 Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site Features Collision mortality Displacement/Disturbance **Barrier Effect** Cumulative/In-combination C 0 С С С D 0 D 0 D 0 D Breeding seabirds including common N (a) N (e) N (e) N (e) gull, lesser black-backed gull, great black-backed gull, Mediterranean gull, black-headed gull, little tern, common tern, Arctic tern, Sandwich tern, black tern, gull-billed tern Gelochelidon nilotica N (b) Nonbreeding seabirds including N (b) N (b) N (b) N (b) N (b) N (b) N (e) N (e) N (e) razorbill, black-throated diver, redthroated diver, common gull, lesser black-backed gull, great black-backed gull, Mediterranean gull, black-headed gull, little gull, kittiwake, little tern, common tern, Arctic tern, Sandwich tern, cormorant, guillemot Waterbirds including pintail, shoveler, N (c) N (e) N (e) N (e) teal, wigeon, mallard, garganey Anas querquedula, grey heron Ardea cinerea, turnstone, bittern, brent goose, barnacle goose, sanderling, dunlin, curlew sandpiper, ringed plover, Kentish plover Charadrius





Site 145 Name of European Site: Ramsar-Gebiet S-H Wattenmeer und angrenzende Küstengebiete SPA Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 448 Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site Features Displacement/Disturbance Cumulative/In-combination Collision mortality **Barrier Effect** C 0 C D C D С 0 0 0 alexandrinus, Bewick's swan, whooper swan, snipe Gallinago gallinago, oystercatcher, black-winged stilt Himantopus himantopus, bar-tailed godwit, black-tailed godwit, common scoter, red-breasted merganser, curlew, whimbrel, ruff, spoonbill, golden plover, grey plover, red-necked grebe Podiceps grisegena, black-necked grebe Podiceps nigricollis, avocet, eider, shelduck, greenshank, redshank, lapwing Terrestrial birds (various species) N (d) N (d)

- a) The East Anglia TWO site is beyond maximum foraging range of designated breeding seabird species from this SPA, so has no breeding season connectivity. Proportions of these populations migrating through the East Anglia TWO site are likely to be extremely small relative to BDMPS (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) Migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site relative to the size of BDMPS regional populations, not only because of the distance, but also because seabirds and waterbirds from this SPA are likely to migrate predominantly along the continental coast of the North Sea towards northern breeding grounds rather than across the southern North Sea (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).



East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarm 5.3.2 Information to Support AA – Screening Matrices

Site	145											
Name of European Site:	Ramsar-G	ebiet S-H	Wattenm	eer und a	ngrenzen	de Küster	ngebiete S	SPA				
Distance to East Anglia TWO (kn	n) 448											
Site Features	Likely e	ffect(s) of	East Angli	a TWO								
	Collisio	n mortality		Displace	ment/Dist	urbance	Barrier E	Effect		Cumula	tive/In-con	nbination
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
c) Survey data show little or r (APP-470)), and migrations these birds are likely to ren	of birds from	his SPA a	re likely to	result in r	negligible	numbers p	passing th	rough the	East Angl	lia TWO s		
d) Terrestrial birds from this S the continental coast (see	•	•	•	•		o migrate a	are more I	ikely to fo	llow the w	est Europ	ean flywa	y along
e) The predicted effect attribute assessment for these features.		•				•		te to or alt	ter the ove	erall in-cor	mbination	





Site	146														
Name of European Site:	Récifs	et mar	ais arrië	ère-littor	aux du	Cap Lév	i à la Po	inte de	Saire S	AC .					
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	355														
Site Features	Likely	effect(s)	of East	t Anglia	TWO										
	Under	water no	oise	Vessel	Interact	ions	Indirect prey	t effects	on	Change quality	es to wa	ter	In-com	bination	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Grey seal Halichoerus grypus	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)
Harbour seal Phoca vitulina	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the site is beyond that of potential for direct or indirect effects (see paragraphs 219 and 220 for harbour seal and paragraphs 190 and 191 for grey seal, of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

Applicable to **East Anglia TWO**

Page 192





Site 147

Name of European Site: Recifs Gris-Nez Blanc-Nez SAC

Distance to East Anglia TWO 123 (windfarm site) and 131 (offshore cable corridor)

(km)

Marine Mammals

Site Features	Likely	effect(s) of Eas	t Anglia	TWO										
	Under	water n	oise	Vesse	l Interac	ctions	Indired prey	t effects	s on	Chang quality	jes to w	ater	In-con	nbinatio	า
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Harbour porpoise Phocoena phocoena	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)
Grey seal <i>Halichoerus</i> grypus	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)
Harbour seal <i>Phoca</i> vitulina	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)

Benthic Habitats

Site Features	Perma	nent los	SS	Tempo disturb	orary phy ance	ysical	increas	ering du sed nded se			obilisatio ninate d ents		Under and vik	water no oration	oise	In-com	bination	1
			С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	
Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)		N (b)	N (b)		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)
Reefs	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)		N (b)	N (b)		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 219 and 220 for harbour seal, paragraphs 190 and 191 for grey seal and paragraphs 168 and 169 for harbour porpoise, of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 147

Name of European Site: Recifs Gris-Nez Blanc-Nez SAC

Distance to East Anglia TWO 123 (windfarm site) and 131 (offshore cable corridor)

(km)

b) As it has been agreed through the scoping and Evidence Plan Process (EPP) (see Appendix 9.1 (APP-458) that transboundary effects are scoped out for EIA (given the distance to sites in other Members States jurisdictions) these have also been screened out from consideration for HRA purposes





Site 148

Name of European Site: Ridens et dunes hydrauliques du detroit du Pas-de-Calais SAC

Distance to East Anglia TWO 132

(km)

	ine			

Site Features	Likely	effect(s) of Eas	t Anglia	TWO										
	Under	water n	oise	Vesse	l Interac	ctions	Indired prey	t effect	s on	Chang quality	jes to w	ater	In-con	nbinatio	n
	С	O D) N(a) N(a)		С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Harbour porpoise Phocoena phocoena	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)
Grey seal <i>Halichoerus</i> grypus	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)
Harbour seal <i>Phoca</i> vitulina	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)

Benthic Habitats

Site Features	Perma	nent los	SS	Tempo disturb	orary phy ance	ysical	increas	ering du sed nded se		Re- mo contant sedime	ninate d		Undervand vik	water no oration	oise	In-com	binatior	1
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)		N (b)	N (b)		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)
Reefs	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)		N (b)	N (b)		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)





Site 148

Name of European Site: Ridens et dunes hydrauliques du detroit du Pas-de-Calais SAC

Distance to East Anglia TWO 132

(km)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the site is beyond that of potential for direct or indirect effects (see paragraphs 219 and 220 for harbour seal, paragraphs 190 and 191 for grey seal and paragraphs 168 and 169 for harbour porpoise, of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

b) As it has been agreed through the scoping and Evidence Plan Process (EPP) (see Appendix 9.1 (APP-458)) process that transboundary effects are scoped out for EIA (given the distance to sites in other Members States jurisdictions) these have also been screened out from consideration for HRA purposes.





Site 149

Name of European Site River Avon SAC

Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 300 (shortest distance overland)

Fish

Site	Likely	effect(s) of Ea	ast Angl	lia TWC)															
Features	Permanent habitat loss Temporary physical disturbance C O D C O D					Smoth increa suspe sedim	ended	due to		nobilisa ntamina nents			rwater ribratior			omagn (EMF)	etic	In-cor	nbinatio	on	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Sea lamprey	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)
Salmon	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see section 6.2.1, of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

С

River lamprey

0

N (a) N (a)



С

D

0

С

D

0



С

D

0

N (a) N (a) N (a)

D

SCOTTISHPOWER

Site 150 **River Derwent SAC** Name of European Site: 261 **Distance to East Anglia TWO** (km) Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site features Smothering due to Re- mobilisation Underwater noise Electromagnetic Permanent habitat **Temporary** In-combination physical increased of contaminated and vibration fields (EMF) loss suspended disturbance sediments sediment

N (a) | N (a

С

D

0

С

D

0

D

С

0

N (a) N (a)

a) River lamprey are restricted to rivers and coasts so there can be no direct interaction with the proposed East Anglia TWO project. Sea lamprey could in theory be present in the vicinity of the proposed East Anglia TWO project, but given their life history interaction would be limited. The distance between the proposed project and the site precludes direct impact upon the site and its supporting habitats (see Table 5.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-470)).





Site	151														
Name of European Site:	Ronas H	lill - North	Roe and	Tingon Si	PA										
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	938														
Site Features	Likely	xely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO													
	Collisio	billision mortality Displacement/Disturbance Barrier Effect Cumulative/Incombination													
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D			
Breeding great skua		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (d)	N (d)	N (d)			
Breeding red-throated diver		N (b)		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (d)	N (d)	N (d)			
Breeding merlin		N (c)		N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (d)	N (d)	N (d)			

- a) Ronas Hill, North Roe & Tingon SPA is beyond maximum foraging range of great skua so has no breeding season connectivity. The proportion of the population migrating through the East Anglia TWO site is likely to be extremely small relative to BDMPS (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) Ronas Hill, North Roe & Tingon SPA is beyond maximum foraging range of red-throated diver so has no breeding season connectivity. The proportion of the population migrating through the East Anglia TWO site is likely to be extremely small relative to BDMPS (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- c) Merlins from this population are likely to migrate to wintering areas that are predominantly within the UK. A few, mostly young birds, may winter on the European continent so could possibly pass through the East Anglia TWO site. However, no merlins have been seen during site specific surveys, and the chances of any from this SPA passing through the site are likely to be extremely low (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- d) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Ronas Hill, North Roe & Tingon SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 152 Name of European Site: **Rousay SPA Distance to East Anglia TWO** 826 (km) Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site Features Collision mortality Displacement/Disturbance **Barrier Effect** Cumulative/In-combination D С С 0 С 0 D 0 D С 0 D Breeding seabird assemblage including N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (b) N (b) N (a) N (a) N (b) as named features guillemot, Arctic skua, Arctic tern, kittiwake, fulmar

- a) Rousay SPA is beyond maximum foraging range of designated seabird species so has no breeding season connectivity. Proportions of these populations migrating through the East Anglia TWO site are small relative to BDMPS (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Rousay SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

Applicable to East Anglia TWO





Site	153														
Name of European Site:	Sälöfjo	orden S	AC												
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	858														
Site Features	Likely	effect(s)	of Eas	t Anglia	TWO										
	Under	water no	oise	Vessel	Interact	ions	Indirec prey	t effects	on	Change quality	es to wa	ter	In-com	bination	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Harbour seal Phoca vitulina	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the site is beyond that of potential for direct or indirect effects (see paragraphs 219 and 220 and Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 154 Sanday SAC Name of European Site: 745 **Distance to East Anglia TWO** (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Underwater noise **Vessel Interactions** Indirect effects on Changes to water In-combination quality prey С 0 D С 0 С 0 D С 0 D C 0 D D N(a) Harbour seal Phoca vitulina N(a) a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no

potential for LSE (see paragraphs 219 and 220 and Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

Site	155														
Name of European Site:	Sandk	anker (ud for T	hyboror	SAC										
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	582														
Site Features	Likely	effect(s) of Eas	t Anglia	TWO										
	Under	water n	oise	Vessel	Interacti	ions	Indired prey	t effects	on	Change quality	es to wa	ter	In-com	bination	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Harbour Porpoise <i>Phocoena</i> phocoena	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 167 and 168 and Table 7.3 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

Applicable to East Anglia TWO





Site 156 Name of European Site: Sandbanker ud for Thorsminde SAC **Distance to East Anglia TWO** 582 (km) Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site Features Changes to water Underwater noise **Vessel Interactions** Indirect effects on In-combination quality prey С 0 D С D С 0 D С 0 С 0 0 D D Harbour Porpoise Phocoena N(a) phocoena a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no

potential for LSE (see paragraphs 167 and 168 and Table 7.3 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

Site Name of European Site: Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	157 Sandlings Within on		ble corri	idor					
Site Features	Likely effe		ast Angli	a TWO Displacement	/Disturbance	Э	In combina	ation	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Breeding nightjar Caprimulgus europaeus	Y (a)	Y (a)	Y (a)	Y (a)	Y (a)	Y (a)	Y (a)	Y (a)	Y (a)
Breeding woodlark Lullula arborea	Y (a)	Y (a)	Y (a)	Y (a)	Y (a)	Y (a)	Y (a)	Y (a)	Y (a)

a) Potential for direct and indirect effects (LSE) during all phases of development and therefore screened in (see Table 4.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-470).





Site 158 SBZ 1 / ZPS 1 SAC Name of European Site: 94 (windfarm site) and 107 (offshore cable corridor) **Distance to East Anglia TWO** (km) Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site Features Underwater noise **Vessel Interactions** Indirect effects on Changes to water In-combination quality prey C С 0 D C 0 D C 0 D 0 D C 0 D Harbour seal Phoca vitulina N(a) Y(b) Y(b) N(a) Grey seal Halichoerus grypus Y(b) N(a) N(a) N(a) N(a) N(a) N(a) N(a) N(a) N(a) N(a)

- a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 219 and 220 for harbour seal and paragraphs 190 and 191 for harbour porpoise, of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) The East Anglia TWO windfarm site is within the foraging range of grey seal and therefore the potential for LSE from underwater noise impacts cannot be ruled out.

Applicable to East Anglia TWO

Page 204





Site 159 SBZ 2 / ZPS SAC Name of European Site: 84 (windfarm site) and 100 (offshore cable corridor) **Distance to East Anglia TWO** (km) Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site Features Underwater noise **Vessel Interactions** Indirect effects on Changes to water In-combination quality prev С С С 0 D С D С 0 D 0 D 0 D 0 Grey seal Halichoerus grypus Y(b) Y(b) Y(b) N(a) N(a)

- a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 190 and 191 and Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) The East Anglia TWO windfarm site is within the foraging range of grey seal and therefore the potential for LSE from underwater noise impacts cannot be ruled out.

Site Name of European Site: Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)		/ ZPS 3 ndfarm		nd 108 (d	offshore	cable c	orridor)								
Site Features		effect(s) vater no		t Anglia Vessel C	TWO Interact	ions	prey	et effects	on D	Change quality C	es to wa	ter	-	bination	D
Grey seal Halichoerus grypus	Y(b)	Y(b)	Y(b)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	J	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)

- a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 190 and 191 and Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) The East Anglia TWO windfarm site is within the foraging range of grey seal and therefore the potential for LSE from underwater noise impacts cannot be ruled out.

Applicable to East Anglia TWO





Site 161 Name of European Site: **Scanner Pockmark SAC Distance to East Anglia TWO** 667 (km) Site Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Features Permanent loss Temporary physical Smothering due to Re-mobilisation of Underwater noise In-combination disturbance increased contaminated and vibration suspended sediments sediment С 0 0 С 0 С 0 D С 0 С 0 D С D D D D N (a) Submarine structures made by leaking

Applicable to **East Anglia TWO**

gases

a) The distance between the offshore project area and the designated site is beyond the range of any potential LSE. Indirect far-field effects are limited to 1km of the works and for the duration of 1 tidal cycle (see paragraph 118 of APP-044).





Site 162 Name of European Site SchleswigHolsteinisches Elbastuar und angrenzende Flachen SAC Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 470 **Marine Mammals** Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site Features Indirect effects on prey Changes to water Underwater noise **Vessel Interactions** In-combination quality 0 C 0 D С 0 D С 0 D С D С 0 D N (a) Ν Harbour seal Phoca vitulina (a) **Fish** Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site **Features** Permanent Temporary Smothering due to Re-mobilisation Underwater noise Electromagnetic In-combination physical increased of contaminated fields (EMF) habitat loss and vibration disturbance suspended sediments sediment 0 С D С 0 С С С С D 0 D С 0 D 0 D 0 0 D D Houting Ν (b) Twaite Ν shad (b) Lampern Ν (b) Great sea Ν lamprey (b) Salmon Ν (b) (b)





Site 162

Name of European Site SchleswigHolsteinisches Elbastuar und angrenzende Flachen SAC

Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 470

Benthic Habitats

Site Features	Likely effect(s) of Eas	t Angli	a TWO																
T eatures		Perm	nanent lo	oss	physic	oorary cal bance		increa	ended	due to	1	nobilisa ntamina nents			rwater ibratior		In-cor	mbinatio	on
		С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Estuaries	Estuaries		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)
	nd sandflats not y seawater at low tide	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)
Large shall	low inlets and bays	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)
	and other annuals mud and sand	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)
	It meadows (Glauco- etalia maritimae)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)

- a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 218 and 219, of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) It was agreed as part of the East Anglia TWO Scoping and Evidence Plan Process (EPP) (see Appendix 9.1 (APP-458) and Appendix 10.1 (APP-462) that transboundary impacts on benthic habitats fish would be scoped out of the EIA. We have therefore screened them out from consideration in the HRA





Site 163 Name of European Site: Seevogelschutzgebiet Helgoland SPA 428 **Distance to East Anglia TWO** (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Displacement/Disturbance **Barrier Effect** Cumulative/In-combination Collision mortality 0 D С 0 D С D С 0 0 D Breeding seabird assemblage including N (a) N (c) N (c) N (c) as named features razorbill, fulmar, herring gull, lesser black-backed gull, kittiwake, gannet, guillemot Nonbreeding seabird assemblage N (b) N (c) N (c) N (c) including razorbill, black-throated diver, red-throated diver, common gull, lesser black-backed gull, little gull, kittiwake, common scoter, red-necked grebe, eider, common tern, Arctic tern, Sandwich tern, gannet, guillemot

- a) Tracking data from gannets breeding on Helgoland show these birds do not travel in the direction of or as far as the East Anglia TWO site despite this site being within theoretical maximum foraging range of gannet. East Anglia TWO is beyond the maximum foraging range of other seabird species at Seevogelschutzgebeit Helgoland SPA. Proportions of these populations migrating through East Anglia TWO are likely to be very small relative to BDMPS regional populations (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) Migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site during migration relative to the size of BDMPS regional populations, not only because the sites are 428km apart, but also because nonbreeding seabirds from this SPA are likely to migrate predominantly along the continental coast of the North Sea towards northern breeding grounds rather than across the southern North Sea.





Site 163

Name of European Site: Seevogelschutzgebiet Helgoland SPA

Distance to East Anglia TWO 428


(km)

c) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Seevogelschutzgebeit Helgoland SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 164 Name of European Site **Severn Estuary SAC Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)** 312 (shortest distance overland) **Fish** Site Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO **Features Temporary** Smothering due to Underwater noise Electromagnetic Permanent habitat Re- mobilisation In-combination physical increased of contaminated and vibration fields (EMF) loss disturbance suspended sediments sediment С 0 С 0 D С D С 0 0 С 0 D С 0 D D 0 D С D Sea Ν Lamprey (a) River Ν lamprey (a) **Twaite** Ν shad (a) **Benthic Habitats** Site Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO **Features** Permanent loss **Temporary** Smothering due to Re-mobilisation Underwater noise In-combination of contaminated physical increased and vibration disturbance suspended sediments sediment 0 0 С D С 0 D С 0 D С D С 0 D С 0 D Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν **Estuaries** Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν (b) (b)







Site		164																
Name of European Site		Severn	Estuar	y SAC														
Distance to East Anglia TWO (ki	n)	312 (sh	ortest	distand	ce ove	rland)				,					,			
Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide	N (b)																	
Atlantic salt meadows	N (b)																	

- a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see section 6.2.1, of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) The distance between the offshore project area and the designated site is beyond the range of any potential LSE. Indirect far-field effects are limited to 1km of the works and for the duration of 1 tidal cycle (see paragraph 118 of APP-044).





Site 165 Name of European Site: Skagens Gren og Skagerrak SAC **Distance to East Anglia TWO** 770 (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Indirect effects on Underwater noise **Vessel Interactions** Changes to water In-combination quality prey 0 С 0 0 С 0 С 0 С D D D D С D Harbour porpoise *Phocoena* N(a) phocoena

Applicable to East Anglia TWO

Page 213

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the site is beyond that of potential for direct or indirect effects (see paragraphs 168 and 169, of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044))..





Site 166 Name of European Site: Solent & Southampton Water SPA & Ramsar (offshore cable corridor) Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 267 Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Collision mortality Displacement/Disturbance **Barrier Effect** Cumulative/In-combination C 0 D С \circ D C 0 D С 0 D N (a) Nonbreeding teal, brent goose, ringed N (a) N (a) N (a) N (d) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (d) N (d) plover, black-tailed godwit N (b) Breeding Mediterranean gull N (b) Breeding little tern, common tern, N (c) roseate tern, Sandwich tern

- a) Survey data show little or no evidence of Solent & Southampton Water SPA and Ramsar features occurring in the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), and migrations of birds from this site are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) No Mediterranean gulls were recorded in the East Anglia TWO site during bird surveys. This species is scarce in England, although increasing. Birds from the SPA are unlikely to migrate through the East Anglia TWO site. Thaxter et al. (2012) report the maximum foraging range of breeding Mediterranean gulls as 20km, so birds from this SPA will not have connectivity with the East Anglia TWO site during breeding (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- c) Little tern, common tern, roseate tern and Sandwich tern have maximum foraging ranges from colonies of 11km, 30km, 30km and 54km respectively (Thaxter et al. 2012), so there is no connectivity between the SPA and the East Anglia TWO site which are 244km apart. Furthermore, these species tend to forage in coastal waters rather than offshore. Therefore, collision risk, displacement and barrier effects can be excluded (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- d) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Solent & Southampton Water SPA and Ramsar(see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site	167														
Name of European Site:	Sotesl	kär SAC	;												
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	885														
Site Features	Likely	effect(s	of Eas	t Anglia	TWO										
	Under	water no	oise	Vessel	Interact	ions	Indirect prey	t effects	on	Change quality	es to wa	ter	In-com	bination	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Harbour seal Phoca vitulina	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 219 and 220 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 168 **Southern North Sea SAC** Name of European Site: 0 (cable corridor and windfarm site) **Distance to East Anglia TWO** (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Underwater noise **Vessel Interactions** Indirect effects on In-combination Changes to water quality prey С С С 0 С С 0 D 0 D 0 D D 0 D Harbour porpoise Phocoena Y(a) phocoena

a) The offshore project area is within the SAC and therefore LSE cannot be ruled out at the screening stage. It is assumed that all harbour porpoise in this area are associated with this SAC (see paragraphs 168 and 169, of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 169

Name of European Site: St Abb's Head to Fast Castle SPA

Distance to East Anglia TWO 487

(km)

Site Features	Likely ef	fect(s) of l	East Angli	a TWO								
	Collision	mortality		Displace	ement/Dist	urbance	Barrier E	ffect		Cumulat	ive/In-com	bination
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Breeding seabird assemblage including as named features herring gull, kittiwake, razorbill, guillemot, shag		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)

- a) St Abbs Head to Fast Castle SPA is beyond maximum foraging range of designated seabird species so has no breeding season connectivity.

 Proportions of these populations migrating through the East Anglia TWO site are likely to be very small relative to BDMPS (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at St Abbs Head to Fast Castle SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

Applicable to East Anglia TWO





Site Name of European Site: Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	170 Staverton Park and the 1 6 (onshore cable corrido		
Site Features	Likely effect(s) of East An Habitat Loss	glia TWO	D
Old acidophilous oak woods with <i>Euercus robur</i> on sandy plains	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)

a) No overlap therefore no direct effect and beyond the range of potential significant indirect effect (see Table 3.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-470).





Site 171 Name of European Site: **Steingrund SAC Distance to East Anglia TWO** 438 (km) Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site Features Underwater noise **Vessel Interactions** Indirect effects on Changes to water In-combination quality prey С 0 С 0 С D 0 D С 0 D 0 D С D Harbour porpoise Phocoena N(a) phocoena Grey seal Halichoerus grypus N(a) Harbour seal Phoca vitulina N(a) N(a)

Applicable to East Anglia TWO

Page 219

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the site is beyond that of potential for direct or indirect effects (see paragraphs 219 and 220 for harbour seal, paragraphs 190 and 191 for grey seal and paragraphs 168 and 169 for harbour porpoise, of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 172 Name of European Site: Store Rev SCI **Distance to East Anglia TWO** 743 (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Underwater noise **Vessel Interactions** Indirect effects on Changes to water In-combination quality prey 0 С 0 D С 0 D С 0 D С D С 0 D Harbour porpoise *Phocoena* N(a) phocoena

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the site is beyond that of potential for direct or indirect effects(see paragraphs 168 and 169, of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 173 Name of European Site: **Stour & Orwell Estuaries SPA and Ramsar Distance to East Anglia TWO** 57 (windfarm site) and 31 (cable corridor) (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Collision mortality Displacement/Disturbance **Barrier Effect** Cumulative/In-combination С 0 D С D С D С 0 0 0 D Wintering and passage waterbird N (a) N (c) N (c) N (c) assemblage including as named features pintail, wigeon, gadwall, turnstone, brent goose, goldeneye, dunlin, knot, ringed plover, black-tailed godwit, curlew, cormorant, grey plover, great crested grebe, shelduck, redshank, lapwing N (b) N (b) N (b) N (b) Breeding avocet N (b) N (b) N (b) N (c) N (c) N (c)

- a) Survey data show little or no evidence of Stour & Orwell Estuaries SPA features occurring in the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site.
- b) Survey data show no evidence of Stour & Orwell Estuaries SPA feature avocet occurring in the East Anglia TWO OWF site(see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- c) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Stour & Orwell Estuaries SPA and Ramsar (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 174 Name of European Site: Strandenge på Læsø og havet syd herfor SAC **Distance to East Anglia TWO** 843 (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Indirect effects on Changes to water In-combination Underwater noise **Vessel Interactions** quality prey С 0 D C 0 D С 0 D C 0 D С 0 D Grey seal Halichoerus grypus N(a) Harbour seal Phoca vitulina N(a) N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the site is beyond that of potential for direct or indirect effects (see paragraphs 219 and 220 for harbour seal and paragraphs 190 and 191 for grey seal, of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 175 Name of European Site: **Sumburgh Head SPA** Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 862 Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Collision mortality Displacement/Disturbance **Barrier Effect** Cumulative/In-combination С 0 С D С 0 0 0 D Breeding seabird assemblage including N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (b) N (b) N (b) N (a) as named features kittiwake, fulmar, guillemot, Arctic tern

- a) Sumburgh Head SPA is beyond maximum foraging range of designated seabird species so has no breeding season connectivity. Proportions of these populations migrating through the East Anglia TWO site are likely to be very small relative to BDMPS (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Sumburgh Head SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

Applicable to East Anglia TWO





Site 176

Name of European Site: Sydlige Nordsø SAC

Distance to East Anglia TWO

456

(km)

Site Features	Likely	effect(s) of Eas	t Anglia	TWO											
	Under	water n	oise	Vessel	Interacti	ions		t effects	on		es to wa	ter	In-com	bination		
		prey quality														
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	
Harbour porpoise <i>Phocoena</i> phocoena	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	
Grey seal Halichoerus grypus	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	
Harbour seal Phoca vitulina	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the site is beyond that of potential for direct or indirect effects (see paragraphs 219 and 2120 for harbour seal, paragraphs 190 and 191 for grey seal and paragraphs 168 and 169 for harbour porpoise, of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site				177														
Name of	European Site:			Sylter	' Auß	Senriff SC												
Distance	to East Anglia TWO	(km)		400														
Ornitholo	ogy																	
Site Featu	ıres		Likely	effect(s) of	East Ang	lia TWC)										
			Collisio	n moi	rtality	'	Displa	cement/D	istur	oance	В	arrier Eff	ect		Cumu	lative/Ir	n-comb	ination
			С	0	[D	С	0)	С		0	D	С	0		D
including I throated d black-bacl backed gu kittiwake,	ling seabird assemblag black-throated diver, re diver, common gull, les ked gull, great black- ull, little gull, gannet, common tern, Arctic to tern, guillemot	ed- sser		effect(s) of East Anglia TWO													N (b)	
Site Featu		Like	ly effect	(s) of	East	Anglia T	WO											
		Und	erwater	noise		Vessel	Interacti	ons	Indi	rect ef	fects	on prey	Chan qualit	ges to wat	er	In-cor	nbinatio	on
		С	0	D		С	0	D	С	О)	D	Ċ	0	D	С	0	D
Harbour p phocoena	orpoise <i>Phocoena</i>	N (c) N (c	;) N	(c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (d	;) N	(c)	N (c)	N (c)		N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)
Grey seal	Halichoerus grypus	N (c) N (c	;) N	(c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (d	;) N	(c)	N (c)	N (c)		N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)
Harbour s	eal <i>Phoca vitulina</i>	N (c) N (c	;) N	(c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (0	;) N	(c)	N (c)	N (c)		N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)
Fish						•			•						•			
Site	Likely effect(s) of Ea										1			- ·				
Features	Permanent habitat loss	Tempo physic disturb	al		incr sus	othering of eased pended iment	due to	Re- mot contami sedimer	nated			derwater d vibratio		Electrom fields (EN		In	-combi	nation







- a) Migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site relative to the size of BDMPS regional populations, not only because the sites are 400km apart, but also because nonbreeding seabirds from this SPA are likely to migrate predominantly along the continental coast of the North Sea towards northern breeding grounds rather than across the southern North Sea (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Sylter Außenriff SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- c) It was agreed as part of the East Anglia TWO Scoping and Evidence Plan Process (EPP) (see Appendix 10.1 (APP-462) that transboundary impacts on fish would be scoped out of the EIA. We have therefore screened them out from consideration in the HRA.

Applicable to East Anglia TWO

Page 226





Site 178 Name of European Site: **Teesmouth and Cleveland Coast SPA and Ramsar** 332 **Distance to East Anglia TWO** (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Displacement/Disturbance **Barrier Effect** Cumulative/In-combination Collision mortality С 0 D С D С D С 0 0 0 D N (a) N (a) Nonbreeding knot, redshank N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (d) N (d) N (d) Nonbreeding Sandwich tern N (b) N (d) N (d) N (d) Breeding little tern N (c) N (c)

- a) Survey data show little or no evidence of Teesmouth & Cleveland Coast SPA features knot or redshank occurring in the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site.
- b) Nonbreeding Sandwich terns at Teesmouth & Cleveland Coast SPA may migrate between the SPA and wintering areas off west Africa. This could take them near to East Anglia TWO. However, very few terns of any species were seen in the East Anglia TWO site during bird surveys, and the Sandwich tern tends to migrate close to the coast where that is possible, so there are unlikely to be significant numbers reaching the East Anglia TWO site. The few that do will have a very low collision risk due to their generally low flight height and displacement/barrier effects will be negligible in the context of a migration of thousands of kilometres (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- c) Breeding little tern has a maximum foraging range of 11km from colonies (Thaxter et al. 2012), so would have no connectivity with East Anglia TWO. Migrating little terns are considered to be 'extremely coastal on passage with very few sightings in open ocean or inland' (Forrester et al. 2007), so are unlikely to pass through the East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- d) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Teesmouth & Cleveland Coast SPA and Ramsar (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).





Site 179 Name of European Site: **Thames Estuary and Marshes SPA and Ramsar** Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 116 (windfarm site) and 99 (offshore cable corridor) Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site Features Displacement/Disturbance Collision mortality **Barrier Effect** Cumulative/In-combination D С 0 С 0 D С 0 D С 0 D Wintering and passage waterbird N (a) N (a) N (a) N (c) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (c) N (c) assemblage including as named features dunlin, knot, ringed plover, black-tailed godwit, grey plover, avocet, redshank Nonbreeding hen harrier N (b) N (c) N (c) N (c)

- a) Survey data show little or no evidence of Thames Estuary & Marshes SPA features occurring in the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- b) Survey data show no evidence of hen harrier occurring in the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site, as the species is likely to migrate overland rather than over sea where the option is available (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- c) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Thames Estuary & Marshes SPA and Ramsar (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).







Site	180														
Name of European Site:	Thanet Co	ast and Sa	ndwich B	ay SPA a	nd Ramsa	ar									
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	87 (windfa	ndfarm site and 88 (offshore cable corridor)) kely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO													
Site Features	Likely	Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO													
	Collis	on mortality	,	Displac	ement/Dis	turbance	Barrier	Effect		Cumula	tive/In-co	mbination			
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D			
Nonbreeding turnstone, golden plove	ər	N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)			
Breeding little tern		N (b)		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)			

- a) Survey data show little or no evidence of Thanet Coast & Sandwich Bay SPA features turnstone or golden plover occurring in the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site.
- b) Breeding little tern has a maximum foraging range of 11km from colonies (Thaxter et al. 2012), so would have no connectivity with the East Anglia TWO site. Migrating little terns are considered to be 'extremely coastal on passage with very few sightings in open ocean or inland' (Forrester et al. 2007), so are unlikely to pass through the East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- c) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Thanet Coast & Sandwich Bay SPA and Ramsar (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).





Site 181 Name of European Site: **Thanet Coast SAC Distance to East Anglia TWO** 86 (km) Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site Features Permanent loss Temporary physical Smothering due to Re- mobilisation of Underwater noise In-combination disturbance increased contaminated and vibration suspended sediments sediment 0 С 0 С 0 С 0 D С С 0 С 0 D D D D D N (a) Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time N (a) Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide N (a) Reefs

a) The distance between the offshore project area and the designated site is beyond the range of any potential LSE. Indirect far-field effects are limited to 1km of the works and for the duration of 1 tidal cycle (see paragraph 118 of APP-044)).





Site	182													
Name of European Site:	The S	Swale SPA 8	& Ram	sar										
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	109 (\	windfarm si	te) an	d 98 (cable corri	dor)									
Site Features	Like													
	Colli	ely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO lision mortality Displacement/Disturbance Barrier Effect Cumulative/In- combination												
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D		
Wintering and passage waterbird assemblage including as named features brent goose, dunlin, redshank		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)		

- a) Survey data show little or no evidence of The Swale SPA and Ramsar features occurring in the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), and migrations of birds from this site are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- b) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at The Swale SPA and Ramsar (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).

Applicable to East Anglia TWO





Site 183 The Wash and North Norfolk Coast SAC Name of European Site: 99 (windfarm site) and 90 (cable corridor) Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) **Marine Mammals** Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site Features In-combination Indirect effects on prey Vessel Interactions/ Changes to water quality Underwater noise disturbance at seal haul out sites 0 С С 0 0 С 0 D С 0 D D С D D Y (a) Y (a) Y (a) Harbour seal Y (a) Phoca vitulina Y (a) Y (a) Y (a) Grey seal Y (a) Halichoerus grypus **Benthic Habitats** Temporary physical Smothering due to Site Features Permanent loss Re- mobilisation of Underwater In-combination disturbance increased suspended contaminated noise and sediment sediments vibration 0 0 D С 0 С D С D С 0 D С 0 D С 0 D Sandbanks which Ν N (b) N (b) N (b) N (b) N (b) Ν Ν N (b) N (b) Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν are slightly (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) covered by sea water all the time Mudflats and Ν N (b) N (b) N (b) N (b) N (b) Ν Ν N (b) N (b) Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν sandflats not (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) covered by seawater at low tide Large shallow N (b) N (b) Ν N (b) Ν N (b) N (b) Ν N (b) N (b) Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν inlets and bays (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b)





Site 183

Name of European Site: The Wash and North Norfolk Coast SAC
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 99 (windfarm site) and 90 (cable corridor)

- a) Potential effects from underwater noise; vessel interactions; changes to water quality; changes to prey resources; and disturbance at seal haul-out sites for foraging grey and harbour seal cannot be ruled out. Nearest SAC for harbour seal to East Anglia TWO. Assumed that all harbour seal in the East Anglia TWO area are associated with this SAC. Potential for vessel interactions and disturbance at seal haul-out sites depending on vessel route and therefore LSE cannot be ruled out see Table 7.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- b) The distance between East Anglia TWO and the designated site is beyond the range of any potential LSE. Indirect far-field effects are limited to 1km of the works and for the duration of 1 tidal cycle (see paragraph 118 of APP-044)





Site 184

Name of European Site: The Wash SPA and Ramsar

Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 128 (windfarm site) and 106 (cable corridor)

Site Features	Likely ef	fect(s) of I	East Anglia	a TWO								
	Collision	mortality		Displace	ement/Dist	urbance	Barrier E	Effect		Cumulat	tive/In-con	nbination
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Wintering and passage waterbird assemblage including as named features pintail, wigeon, gadwall, pinkfooted goose, turnstone, brent goose, goldeneye, sanderling, dunlin, knot, Bewick's swan, oystercatcher, bartailed godwit, black-tailed godwit, common scoter, curlew, grey plover, shelduck, redshank		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)
Breeding little tern, common tern		N (b)		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)

- a) Survey data show little or no evidence of The Wash SPA features occurring in the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) Little tern and common tern have maximum foraging ranges from colonies of 11km and 30km respectively (Thaxter et al. 2012), so there is no connectivity between the SPA and East Anglia TWO site which are 106km apart. Furthermore, these species tend to forage in coastal waters rather than offshore. Therefore, collision risk, displacement and barrier effects can be excluded (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- c) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at The Wash SPA and Ramsar(see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 185 **Thyboron Stenvolde SCI** Name of European Site: **Distance to East Anglia TWO** 595 (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO **Vessel Interactions** Indirect effects on Changes to water In-combination Underwater noise quality prey С 0 D С 0 D С 0 D С 0 D С 0 D Harbour Porpoise *Phocoena* N(a) phocoena

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 167 and 168 and Table 7.3 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 186 Tregor Goëlo SAC Name of European Site: 498 **Distance to East Anglia TWO** (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Underwater noise **Vessel Interactions** Indirect effects on Changes to water In-combination quality prey С 0 D С 0 С 0 D С 0 D С 0 D D Grey seal Halichoerus grypus N(a) N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 190 and 191 and Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 187 Name of European Site: Troup, Pennan and Lion's Heads SPA Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 657 Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site Features Displacement/Disturbance Cumulative/In-combination Collision mortality **Barrier Effect** С 0 D С С 0 0 D 0 D D Breeding seabird assemblage including N (a) N (b) N (b) N (b) as named features razorbill, fulmar, guillemot, kittiwake, herring gull

- a) Troup, Pennan & Lion's Heads SPA is beyond maximum foraging range of designated seabird species so has no breeding season connectivity.

 Proportions of these populations migrating through the East Anglia TWO site are likely to be very small relative to BDMPS (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Troup, Pennan & Lion's Heads SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

Applicable to East Anglia TWO

Page 237





Site 188

Name of European Site Unterelbe SCI

Distance to	o East	Anglia	TWO (km)	470																
Fish																					
Site	Likely	y effect((s) of Ea	ast Ang	glia TW	0															
Features	Perm	anent h	nabitat	phys	porary ical rbance		incre	ased ended	due to	of co	nobilisa ntamina nents			rwater vibratior			romagn (EMF)		In-co	mbinatio	on
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Houting	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)
Twaite shad	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)
Lampern	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)
Great sea	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
lamprey	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)
Salmon	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)

a) It was agreed as part of the East Anglia TWO Scoping and Evidence Plan Process (EPP) (see Appendix 9.1 (APP-458) and Appendix 10.1 (APP-462) that transboundary impacts on benthic habitats fish would be scoped out of the EIA. We have therefore screened them out from consideration in the HRA





Site	189															
Name of European Site:	Untere	ems und	d Außer	nems SC	CI											
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	343															
Site Features	Likely	y effect(s) of East Anglia TWO														
	Under	water no	oise	Vessel	Interact	ions	Indirec prey	t effects	on	Change quality	es to wa	ter	In-com	bination		
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	
Harbour seal Phoca vitulina	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 190 and 191 and Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

Applicable to **East Anglia TWO**





Site	190														
Name of European Site:	Vadeh	navet m	ed Ribe	Å, Tvec	l Å og V	arde Å v	est for	Varde S	AC						
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	507														
Site Features	Likely	effect(s) of Eas	t Anglia	TWO										
	Under	kely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Inderwater noise Vessel Interactions Indirect effects on quality In-combination													
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Harbour porpoise <i>Phocoena</i> phocoena	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)
Grey seal Halichoerus grypus	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)
Harbour seal Phoca vitulina	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the site is beyond that of potential for direct or indirect effects (see paragraphs 219 and 220 for harbour seal, paragraphs 190 and 191 for grey seal and paragraphs 168 and 169 for harbour porpoise, of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 191 Name of European Site: Venø, Venø Sund SAC **Distance to East Anglia TWO** 626 (km) Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Indirect effects on Underwater noise **Vessel Interactions** Changes to water In-combination quality prey 0 С 0 0 С 0 0 С D D С D D С D Harbour seal Phoca vitulina N(a) N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the site is beyond that of potential for direct or indirect effects(see paragraphs 219 and 220 and Table 7.3 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

Applicable to East Anglia TWO

Page 241





Site 192 Name of European Site: Vlaamse Banken SAC **Distance to East Anglia TWO** 59 (windfarm site) and 72 (offshore cable corridor) (km) **Marine Mammals** Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site Features Vessel Interactions and Indirect effects on prey Changes to water quality In-combination Underwater noise disturbance at seal haul outs С С 0 D С 0 D 0 D С 0 D 0 D Harbour porpoise Ν N (a) Ν N (a) Ν Phocoena (a) (a) (a) phocoena Υ N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) Grey seal Υ Y (b) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) Ν Halichoerus (b) (b) (a) grypus Υ Υ Y (b) N (a) Harbour seal Ν Phoca vitulina (b) (b) (a) Fish Site Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO **Features** Temporary Smothering due to Electromagnetic Permanent Re-mobilisation Underwater noise In-combination physical fields (EMF) habitat loss increased of contaminated and vibration suspended disturbance sediments sediment 0 0 0 D С 0 D С D С 0 D С D С 0 D С 0 D С





Site				1	92																			
Name of I	Europe	ean Sit	e:	\	/laams	se Bank	en SA	C																
Sea Lamprey	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c) N	l(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	:)	N(c)	N(c	: N(c) N	l(c)
Twaite N(c N(c N(c Shad))) Benthic habitats		N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c) N	l(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	N(c)	:)	N(c)	N(c	: N(c) N	l(c)	
Benthic h	nabitat	s	<u>'</u>		•		<u> </u>	<u> </u>							•	•				•	•	'	'	
Site Featu	Site Features		P	erman	ent los	SS	phys	porar sical ırbanc		i			ue to pended	cont	mobilisa aminate ments			nderw nd vib		r noise on	!	In-co	mbina	atio
			С	C)	D	С	0	[) (С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0)	D		С	0	D
Reefs	Reefs		N(d) N	(d)	N(d)	N(d)	N(d)	N(d)	٨	۷(d)	N(d)	N(d	N(d)	N(d)	N(d)	N(d) N((d)	N(d)		N(d)	N(d)	N()
Sandbank slightly co water all t	vered	by sea	N(d) N	(d)	N(d)	N(d)	N(d)	N(d)	N	N(d)	N(d)	N(d	N(d)	N(d)	N(d)	N(d) N((d)	N(d)		N(d)	N(d)	N()

- a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 219 and 220 for harbour seal, paragraphs 190 and 191 for grey seal and paragraphs 168 and 169 for harbour porpoise, of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) The East Anglia TWO windfarm site is within the foraging range of grey and harbour seal and therefore the potential for LSE from underwater noise impacts cannot be ruled out (see paragraphs 219 and 220 and Table 7.3 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- c) It was agreed as part of the East Anglia TWO Scoping Report that transboundary impacts on fish would be scoped out of the EIA. We have therefore screened them out from consideration in the HRA
- d) As it has been agreed through the scoping and Evidence Plan Process (EPP) Appendix 10.1 (APP-462) that transboundary effects are scoped out for EIA (given the distance to sites in other Members States jurisdictions) these have also been screened out from consideration for HRA purposes.





Site 193

Name of European Site: Vlakte van de Raan SCI/SAC

Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 82 (windfarm site) and 99 (cable corridor)

Marine Mammals

Site Features	Likely e	Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO														
	Underw	ater nois	е	Vessel	Interactio	ns	Indirect	effects o	n prey	Change	s to wate	r quality	In-comb	ination		
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	
Harbour porpoise Phocoena phocoena	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	
Grey seal Halichoerus grypus	Y (b)	Y (b)	Y (b)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	
Harbour seal <i>Phoca</i> vitulina	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	

Fish

Site Features	Likely	effect(s) of Ea	ast Ang	lia TW0)															
Features	Perm loss	disturbance			Smoth increasuspe suspe sedim	ended	due to		nobilisa ntamina nents			water i			omagn (EMF)	etic	In-cor	nbinatio	on		
	C O D N N N		D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Sea	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Lamprey	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)
River	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
lamprey	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)
Twaite	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Shad	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)





Site 193

Name of European Site: Vlakte van de Raan SCI/SAC

Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 82 (windfarm site) and 99 (cable corridor)

- a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 219 and 220 for harbour seal, paragraphs 190 and 191 for grey seal and paragraphs 168 and 169 for harbour porpoise, of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) The East Anglia TWO windfarm site is within the foraging range of grey seal and therefore the potential for LSE from underwater noise impacts cannot be ruled out.
- c) It was agreed as part of the East Anglia TWO Scoping and the Evidence Plan Process (EPP) (see Appendix 10.1 (APP-462) that transboundary impacts on fish would be scoped out of the EIA. We have therefore screened them out from consideration in the HRA

Applicable to **East Anglia TWO**

Page 245





Site 194 Name of European Site Voordelta SPA and SAC **Distance to East Anglia TWO** 84 (windfarm site) and 101 (offshore cable corridor) (km) Ornithology Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Displacement/Disturbance Collision mortality **Barrier Effect** Cumulative/Incombination С С 0 D С 0 D 0 D С 0 D N (a) N (a) Wintering and passage waterbirds including N (a) N (a) N (a) N (a) N(a) N (b) N (b) N (b) cormorant, shelduck, ringed plover, dunlin, goldeneye, sanderling, little gull, eider, great crested grebe, greylag goose, Sandwich tern, avocet, gadwall, Slavonian grebe, spoonbill, red-breasted merganser, pintail, red-throated diver, bar-tailed godwit, oystercatcher, shoveler, wigeon, turnstone, scaup, redshank, common tern, teal, curlew, grey plover, common scoter **Marine Mammals** Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site Features In-combination Underwater noise **Vessel Interactions** Indirect effects on prey Changes to water quality С С С С 0 0 D 0 D 0 D D N (c) Harbour porpoise Phocoena phocoena Y (e) Y (e) N (c) N (c) Y (e) N (c) Grev seal Halichoerus grypus Harbour seal *Phoca* N (c) vitulina





Site 194 Name of European Site Voordelta SPA and SAC **Distance to East Anglia TWO** 84 (windfarm site) and 101 (offshore cable corridor) (km) **Fish** Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site **Features** Permanent Temporary Smothering due to Re- mobilisation of Underwater noise Electromagnetic In-combination habitat loss physical increased contaminated fields (EMF) and vibration disturbance suspended sediments sediment С С 0 D С 0 D 0 D С 0 D D С 0 D С 0 D С 0 Sea N(d) N(d)N(d) N(d) lamprey River N(d) lamprey Allis N(d) shad **Twaite** N(d) shad **Benthic Habitats** Site Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO **Features** Smothering due to Re- mobilisation of Permanent loss Temporary Underwater noise In-combination physical increased contaminate d and vibration disturbance suspended sediments sediment С 0 D С 0 D С 0 D С 0 D С 0 С 0 D D





Site 194 Name of European Site Voordelta SPA and SAC **Distance to East Anglia TWO** 84 (windfarm site) and 101 (offshore cable corridor) (km) Sandbanks which are slightly N(e) covered by sea water all the time

- a) Survey data show little or no evidence of Voordelta SPA features occurring in the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Voordelta SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- c) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 219 and 220 for harbour seal, paragraphs 190 and 191 for grey seal and paragraphs 168 and 169 for harbour porpoise, of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- d) It was agreed as part of the East Anglia TWO Scoping and Evidence Plan Process (EPP) (see Appendix 10.1 (APP-462) that transboundary impacts on fish would be scoped out of the EIA. We have therefore screened them out from consideration in the HRA.
- e) As it has been agreed through the scoping and Evidence Plan Process (EPP) (see Appendix 10.1 (APP-462) that transboundary effects are scoped out for EIA (given the distance to sites in other Members States jurisdictions) these have also been screened out from consideration for HRA purposes.
- f) The East Anglia TWO windfarm site is within the foraging range of grey seal and therefore the potential for LSE from underwater noise impacts cannot be ruled out (see Table 7.3 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site	195														
Name of European Site:	Vrång	öskärga	ården S	AC											
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	862														
Site Features	Likely	effect(s)	of Eas	t Anglia	TWO										
	Under	lerwater noise		Vessel	Interacti	ions	Indired prey	t effects	on	Change quality	es to wa	ter	In-com	bination	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Harbour seal Phoca vitulina	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the site is beyond that of potential for direct or indirect effects (see paragraphs 219 and 220 and Table 7.3 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 196

Name of European Site: Waddenzee (Wadden Sea) SPA

Distance to East Anglia TWO 186

(km)

(KIII)														
Site Features	Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO													
	Collision	mortality		Displace	ement/Dist	turbance	Barrier E	Effect		Cumulat	ive/In-con	nbination		
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D		
Breeding seabird assemblage including as named features lesser black-backed gull, little tern, common tern, Arctic tern, Sandwich tern		N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (d)	N (d)	N (d)		
Breeding waterbirds including Kentish plover, ringed plover, marsh harrier, spoonbill, avocet		N (b)		N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (b)	N (d)	N (d)	N (d)		
Wintering and passage waterbirds including pintail, shoveler, teal, wigeon, mallard, gadwall, greylag goose, bean goose <i>Anser fabalis</i> , turnstone, scaup, brent goose, barnacle goose, goldeneye, sanderling, dunlin, knot, curlew sandpiper, ringed plover, black tern <i>Chlidonias niger</i> , hen harrier, Bewick's swan, oystercatcher, bartailed godwit, black-tailed godwit, redbreasted merganser, goosander, curlew, cormorant, spoonbill, golden plover, grey plover, great crested grebe, avocet, eider, shelduck,		N (c)		N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (c)	N (d)	N (d)	N (d)		





Site 196

Name of European Site: Waddenzee (Wadden Sea) SPA

Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)

greenshank, redshank, lapwing

- a) The East Anglia TWO site is far beyond the mean maximum foraging range of designated breeding seabird species from this SPA, so has no breeding season connectivity. Proportions of these populations migrating through the East Anglia TWO site are likely to be extremely small relative to BDMPS, not only because of the distance, but also because birds from this SPA are likely to use the west European flyway along the continental coast rather than crossing the southern North Sea. Lesser black-backed gull tracking has shown breeding birds do not cross the North Sea therefore no connectivity is expected for this species (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) Survey data show little or no evidence of Waddenzee SPA breeding waterbird features occurring in the East Anglia TWO site see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- c) Survey data show little or no evidence of Waddenzee SPA nonbreeding waterbird features occurring in the East Anglia TWO site see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- d) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Waddenzee SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 197 Waddenzee SAC Name of European Site: 186 **Distance to East Anglia TWO** (km) **Marine Mammals** Site Features Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Changes to water Underwater noise **Vessel Interactions** Indirect effects on In-combination quality prey С 0 D С 0 D С 0 D С 0 D С 0 D Harbour porpoise N(a) Phocoena phocoena Grey seal Halichoerus N(a) grypus Harbour seal Phoca N(a) vitulina **Benthic Habitats** Temporary physical Smothering due to Permanent loss Re- mobilisation of Underwater noise Site Features In-combination disturbance increased contaminate d and vibration suspended sediments sediment С 0 D С 0 D С 0 D С 0 D С 0 D С 0 D Sandbanks which are N (b) Ν N (b) N (b) Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν N (b) Ν N (b) Ν N (b) N (b) N (b) slightly covered by sea (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) water all the time Estuaries N (b) Ν N (b) N (b) N (b) Ν N (b) Ν N (b) N (b) N (b) Ν Ν Ν Ν Ν (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) Mudflats and sandflats N (b) Ν Ν N (b) Ν N (b) N (b) Ν Ν N (b) Ν Ν N (b) N (b) N (b) Ν not covered by (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) seawater at low tide





Site 197

Name of European Site: Waddenzee SAC

Distance to East Anglia TWO 186

(km)

- a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 219 and 220 for harbour seal, paragraphs 190 and 191 for grey seal and paragraphs 168 and 169 for harbour porpoise, of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) As it has been agreed through the scoping and Evidence Plan Process (EPP) (see Appendix 9.1 (APP-458)) that transboundary effects are scoped out for EIA (given the distance to sites in other Members States jurisdictions) these have also been screened out from consideration for HRA purposes.





Site 198 Name of European Site: **West Westray SPA** Distance to East Anglia TWO (km) 837 Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site Features Collision mortality Displacement/Disturbance **Barrier Effect** Cumulative/In-combination 0 С С 0 0 D С D D С 0 D Breeding seabird assemblage including N (a) N (b) N (b) N (b) as named features kittiwake, Arctic tern, fulmar, razorbill, Arctic skua,

- a) West Westray SPA is beyond maximum foraging range of designated seabird species so has no breeding season connectivity. Proportions of these populations migrating through the East Anglia TWO site are likely to be very small relative to BDMPS (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).
- b) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at West Westray SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044).

Applicable to East Anglia TWO

guillemot

Page 254





Site 199

Name of European Westerschelde & Saeftinghe SAC

Site:

Distance to East 106 (windfarm site) and 128 (offshore cable corridor)

Anglia TWO (km)

Site	Likely	effect(s) of Ea	ast Ang	lia TWC)															
Features	Permanent habitat loss			Temp physic disturb	cal		Smoth increa suspe sedim	nded	due to		nobilisa ntamina nents			rwater i			omagn (EMF)	etic	In-cor	nbinatio	on
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
River lamprey	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)
Twaite Shad	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE.





Site	200														
Name of European Site:	Winte	rton – F	lorsey	Dunes S	AC										
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	60 (ca	ble cor	ridor)												
Site Features		effect(s water n	<i>'</i>	t Anglia Vessel	TWO Interact	ions	Indired	ct effects	on	Change	es to wa	ter	In-com	bination	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Grey seal Halichoerus grypus	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)		N (a)	N (a)	N (a)	N (a)

a) There is no potential for any direct disturbance as a result of activities within the East Anglia TWO windfarm site due to the distance between the site and the closest point onshore (31km). There is also no potential for any direct disturbance as a result of activities within the East Anglia TWO offshore cable corridor due to the distance between the nearest major haul-out site at Winterton-Horsey and the cable landfall at Sizewell, which is located over 60km along the coast (Table 7.3 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).

Applicable to East Anglia TWO

East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarm 5.3.2 Information to Support AA – Screening Matrices







Site	201														
Name of European Site:	Yell So	ound Co	oast SA	C											
Distance to East Anglia TWO (km)	938														
Site Features		effect(s) water no		t Anglia Vessel	TWO Interact	ions	Indired	t effects	on	_	es to wa	ter	In-com	bination	
	С	0	D	С	0	D	prey C	0	D	quality C	0	D	С	0	D
Harbour Seal Phoca vitulina	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)		N(a)	N(a)	N(a)	N(a)

a) The distance between the potential impact range of East Anglia TWO and the extent of any effect on individuals from this site would result in no potential for LSE (see paragraphs 219 and 220 and Table 7.3 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).





Site 202 Name of European Site: Ythan Estuary, Sands of Forvie and Meikle Loch SPA **Distance to East Anglia TWO** 615 (km) Likely effect(s) of East Anglia TWO Site Features Collision mortality Displacement/Disturbance **Barrier Effect** Cumulative/In-combination С 0 D С 0 С С 0 D 0 D D Wintering and passage waterbird N (a) N (c) N (c) N (c) assemblage including as named features lapwing, eider, pink-footed goose, redshank Breeding little tern, common tern, N (b) Sandwich tern

- a) Survey data show little or no evidence of Ythan Estuary, Sands of Forvie & Meikle Loch SPA features occurring in the East Anglia TWO site (see section 5.1 of Appendix 12.2 (APP-470), and migrations of birds from this SPA are likely to result in negligible numbers passing through the East Anglia TWO site (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- b) Little tern, common tern and Sandwich tern have maximum foraging ranges from colonies of 11km, 30km and 54km respectively, so there is no connectivity between the SPA and East Anglia TWO site which are 608km apart. Furthermore, these species tend to forage in coastal waters rather than offshore. Therefore, collision risk, displacement and barrier effects can be excluded (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).
- c) The predicted effect attributable to East Anglia TWO is so small that it would not significantly contribute to or alter the overall in-combination assessment for these features at Ythan Estuary, Sands of Forvie & Meikle Loch SPA (see Table 8.2 of the HRA Screening Report (APP-044)).